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TRANSLITERATION

Vowels:
The seven different sounds of an Ethiopic letter are respectively represented as follows:
ä; u; i; a; é; i; and o.

Explosives:
I have used the following equivalents for the five explosives in Ethiopic and Amharic:
q = the explosive form of 'k'.
ʃ = the explosive form of 't'.
p = the explosive form of 'p'. No other special symbol has been used for the letter 'p' because it only occurs here in its explosive form.
ﻰ = the explosive form of 's'.
ch = the explosive form of 'ch'.
CHAPTER I

Introduction

More than three hundred years of European scholarship has produced an extensive literature on the languages, history, and cultures of the Ethiopian peoples. Yet Conti Rossini’s Storia d’Etiopia—written more than forty years ago—still remains a unique contribution in the specific field of the critical study of Ethiopian history. Ullendorff has recently furnished the book with a much-needed index which has made it more accessible to the student. But the absence of a bibliography, and of the copious annotations so characteristic of Conti Rossini’s other writings, is still a serious handicap. More important still, successive volumes to Storia d’Etiopia are yet to be written.

The date 1270, with which Conti Rossini ends his book, has provided the starting-point for my attempt in this book to reconstruct the more limited story of the development of Church and State in Ethiopia until the wars of Gragn. The nature of the material which I have utilized—consisting mainly of hagiographical traditions and the available royal chronicles—was a major factor in determining the title of the book.

Ever since 1896, when Ignazio Guidi and Conti Rossini simultaneously published Gādlā Arāgawī and Gādlā Tāklā-Haymanot, respectively, the value of the lives of the local saints for the study of Ethiopian history has been increasingly recognized. Introducing Conti Rossini’s Il ‘Gadla Takla-Haymanot’ Guidi had the following to say on the subject:

A class of sources very important for the history of Abyssinia are the lives of those saints who had some influence on the events and the development of that country: neither should this cause any surprise if one considers the more or less theocratic nature of the government and the power of the clergy.¹

Following this judicious statement, many of the lives of the saints available in the Ethiopic manuscript collections of European libraries

have been published, translated, and annotated. It now seems that European libraries can yield little more of the hagiographical traditions. In Ethiopia, however, the resources of the church in this respect are almost untouched. This has already been indicated by G. Ellero,¹ A. Mordini,² and R. Schneider³ for the province of Tigré. More recently Dr. Sergew Hable-Selassie of the Haile Selassie I University has been engaged in a survey of the historical sources available in the libraries of the Ethiopian Church. This has taken him to many of the monasteries in the provinces of Tigré, Gojjam, and Shäwa. His still unpublished list of the new documents he has uncovered includes many lives of saints which still await a critical study. My own short tour of a selected group of medieval monasteries in central Ethiopia has also been very encouraging. I have listed in the bibliography only a selection of the unpublished material I was able to consult in these distant libraries.

The most searching analytical study of the Ethiopic hagiographical literature in general was made by Conti Rossini.⁴ Apart from the translated lives of the saints and martyrs of the early Christian church, the hagiographies of the Ethiopian church consist of two major divisions, namely those dealing with the local saints (a) of the Aksumite period, and (b) of the late medieval period. All the hagiographies falling under this second category belong to the period after 1270, and it is with them that we are mainly concerned. To determine the historical value of such hagiographical works, Conti Rossini has proposed a simple general rule: "Their value as a contribution for the reconstruction of the political and ecclesiastical history [of Ethiopia] is inversely proportional to the distance, in time, of the saint whom they intend to celebrate."⁵

The date of the composition of a Gädil is thus a crucial factor. But it is often very difficult to establish precisely. The hagiographical traditions about a local saint generally consist of four essential parts:

(a) the story of his life: his evangelical work and/or his monastic pursuits in an isolated hermitage;

(b) the Kidan, or pact, which he receives from God in return for his endeavours. According to this the saint receives God's forgiveness for the sins of whoever prays and is charitable to the poor and to the Church in his name;

¹ BSGI, ser. 7, vol. iv (1939); RSE, i (1941).
² RSE, xii (1953).
⁵ Ibid., p. 404 n. 2.
(c) the miracles attributed to him both in his lifetime and after his death; and

(d) his Mālk, or the short hymns composed in praise of his holy life.

Each of these sections, in part or as a whole, may be written at different periods of time and thus complicate the problem of fixing the date of the Gādlī. Generally, the Mālk and many of the miracles attributed to the saint after his death are written much later. The major purpose of a Gādlī being to edify the congregation to whom it is read, and to increase the prestige of the community to which the saint belonged, the narrative of the post-mortem miracles is often paraphrased, amplified, and occasionally re-edited. This also seems to apply, to a lesser extent, to the Kidān.

But to try to determine the chronological context of a local saint, only the story of his life and the traditions about the miracles in his own lifetime may be taken as the basic parts of the Gādlī. Additional help is sometimes provided by the hagiographer, who says whether he is writing from a personal acquaintance with the saint, or from information gathered from others, or by divine inspiration. The value of these indications by the author(s) only lies in helping one to form an initial assessment of the work. By far the most final bases of determining the date of a Gādlī are its own internal evidence and the corroboration which its story may receive from other independent sources.

Conti Rossini has divided the medieval lives of saints at his disposal—most of which are now published—into three categories:

(a) The hagiographies which are more or less biographical in character, and which have much historical significance as such. Among some others he included Gādlī Filipos and Yohannis (Bizân), and Gādlī Abākārāzun, in this category. Of the unpublished hagiographies which I have listed in the bibliography Nos. 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11, and all the Stephanite ones belong to this major division;

(b) those which have important traditions, but which are greatly affected by their narrative of wondrous tales about the life of the saint. He included in this category Gādlī Tāklā-Haymanot, and Gādlī Ewoṣṭatēwos. Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6 in the bibliography seem to belong here; and

(c) those which are written many years after the event they describe and tend to be without much significance. Conti Rossini has Gādlī
Lalibāla, and Gādlā Gābrā-Mānfas-Qidus in this division, to which Nos. 1 and 2 of my bibliography also seem to belong.

For the religious and political history of the country in the middle of the fifteen century, Zār’a-Ya’iqob’s Māḥafā-Birhan and Māḥafā-Milad occupy an outstanding place in a class of their own. From these two compositions of the scholar king, it is possible to reconstruct the major aspects of his religious policy and to obtain intimate details of political and military events which are only given an incidental mention in the official chronicle of the reign.

The very few royal chronicles available are an obviously more important source of medieval Ethiopian history. For the whole period covered by this book only the chronicles of Amdā-Šiyon (1314–44), Zār’a-Ya’iqob (1434–68), Bā’iḍa-Maryam (1468–78), and much shorter entries about the following reigns until the wars of Gragn have come down to us. These have all been published and translated during the last hundred years. All my attempts to obtain other chronicles for this purpose during my short visits to Hayq, Gishān, Lalibāla, Dīma, Dābrā-Wārq, and some of the island monasteries of Lake Ṭana have been in vain. But I was able to gather a number of small isolated notes, particularly in the form of land grants, from early manuscripts of the Four Gospels, similar to those in Conti Rossini’s ‘L’evangelo d’oro’. These notes have been of great help in forming a more complete picture of the medieval period, and they shed fresh light particularly on the chronology of the kingdom.

A brief look at the bibliography shows how the student of Ethiopian history is indebted to the many European scholars who have devoted lifetime efforts to the study of Ethiopian culture. Thanks to this, we have a large body of Ethiopic texts published and translated into European languages with annotations and scholarly comments. I have made extensive use of this large collection of printed primary source material, besides the new unpublished historical documents which are listed in the bibliography. There is no doubt that a more complete picture of the story of Church and State in Ethiopia can only be formed after a much closer investigation of the traditions of the peoples and of the churches considered in this book. No study comparable to Conti Rossini’s ‘Studi su popolazioni . . .’, Kolmodin’s Traditions, and many of the other monographs dealing with the tribal groups in Eritrea, is yet available for the whole area south of and including the province of Tigré. Until this is done, the
increasingly well-known history of the late medieval period will always look like a clear incident in a hazy background.

**Sabeanization of northern Ethiopia**

The ultimate origins of the Ethiopian State lie in the remote past, when Sabean settlements were established in northern Ethiopia, and a brief recapitulation of the major lines of development in the early history of the Ethiopian region is very essential here. South Arabian immigrants began to settle in the hinterland of Adulis as far inland as the surroundings of Aksum before the fifth century B.C.1 From then on, their settlements became the spearhead of a long process of semitization in the Ethiopian region. The extensive area of north-east Africa, between the Red Sea and the Nile as far north as the First Cataract and including the whole of the Ethiopian region, is still predominantly inhabited by a large family of people known to anthropologists and linguists as the Kushites.2 Already at the time of the south Arabian immigration it seems that the Kushites of the Ethiopian plateau consisted of three distinctive linguistic groups. The area north of the Barka-Ansaba basin was the homeland of the Béja pastoralists.3 South of the Mārāb and Bālāsā rivers, and probably extending as far south as the Jāma river, and from the edge of the plateau in the east to the valley of the river Tākāzé in the west, there lived the Agāw.4 The narrow wedge on the Eritrean plateau between the Béja and the Agāw was apparently occupied by the Kunama-Barya group, whose linguistic features represent a cross between Kushitic and a more ancient language spoken in the area.5

---

4 Conti Rossini, *Schizzo etnico*, p. 64; *Storia d’Etiopia*, p. 74. Cohen, op. cit., p. 44: Ge’ez Tigrigna and Amharic (particularly northern Amharic) have Agāw substrata. Ullendorff, op. cit., p. 28.
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The third major group of Kushites, namely the Sidama, probably occupied the extensive area south of the Jäma river, the plateau of Shäwa, Harär, and Bali, and extended right across the basins of the Rift Valley lakes and the Omo valley as far west as the left bank of the Blue Nile and beyond the river Didessä.

It was on this Kushitic population of the Ethiopian plateau that the south Arabian settlers began to exert their pressure and to usher in an intensive cultural and political development, of which the Christian kingdom in 1270 was only part of the result. Nothing Rossini demonstrates that the earliest contact made by the settlers from south Arabia must have been with non-Agäw speakers and probably with the Kunama or the Barya. Following Conti Rossini, Cohen also concludes that this must have protected Ge'ez from early 'couchitization', op. cit., p. 44. Cf. also Conti Rossini, 'Per la conoscenza della lingua cunama' in GSAI, xvi (1903), pp. 190–1. Pollera, A., I Baria e i Cunama (1913), pp. 11–14.


2 Cohen, op. cit., p. 45. More recently Cerulli has concluded that the Semitic language, Harari, has developed on Sidama substrata and that it was through the latter that it received other Kushitic influences, Studi etiopici: i. La lingua e la storia di Harar (1936), pp. 440–2. He closes the book with the following authoritative and cautious remarks: '... in the areas where the language we now call Harari was spoken, the Semites were superimposed on the preexisting Sidama populations.'

3 Cerulli, E., Studi etiopici: ii. La lingua e la storia dei Sidamo (1938), pp. 1–2, 31–3.


6 Conti Rossini had long proposed that these settlers predominantly consisted of Habashat tribes whose original homeland he traced back to the south-western corner of the south Arabian peninsula, 'Sugli Habasat', pp. 39–55; 'Expéditions', pp. 6–7; Storia d’Ethiopia, p. 109. His location of the area whence the major south Arabian influence came to Ethiopia is still generally considered valid. But his identification of Habashat tribes in south Arabia has been contested recently in the light of much more epigraphical material than he had at his disposal. Irvine, A. K., 'On the identity of the Habeshat in the South Arabian Inscriptions', in JSS, x (1965), pp. 178–96. Drewes, A. J., Inscriptions de l'Éthiopie antique (1962), pp. 2–5, 89–93.
precise is known about the nature of the initial confrontation between these settlers and the indigenous peoples of northern Ethiopia. It is apparent however that the arrival of a settler population from across the Red Sea was only the last decisive phase of the long intercourse between the Ethiopian region and the ancient civilizations of Egypt and Arabia.\(^1\) Strongly motivated, no doubt, by their knowledge of the terrain and of its potential resources and probably also making use of the long amicable relations they had already established with the local people, intrepid individuals or groups of individuals from south Arabia trickled on for centuries until they finally gained a permanent foothold in what are today the highland districts of northern Tigré and southern Eritrea. Ancient hunting stations on the African coast between the straits of Bab-el-Mandeb and the Dahlak islands probably served them as a spring-board for an effective penetration of the interior. From the epigraphical and archaeological findings it would appear that the route from the coast leading to Adulis, Mätara, and Yéha was their most important highway.\(^2\) They probably came in different dialectal groups.\(^3\) At first each group probably consisted of a small number of individuals who set out for Africa with specific hunting or commercial interests, only expecting to stay there for a short time. It is most likely that it was during these


\(^3\) Conti Rossini, Storia d’Etiopia, p. 109. Id., Etiopia e genti d’Etiopia, pp. 126–7. Ullendorff, The Semitic Languages of Ethiopia, pp. 7–8. The problem of the origin (or origins) of the modern Semitic languages is also relevant for the direction of both the initial south Arabian migration and the eventual expansion of the kingdom of Aksum and its medieval counterpart. The view generally accepted is that the earliest immigrants probably spoke different dialects of a common south Arabian language of which the modern Semitic languages of Ethiopia are the distant offspring. This implies that the spread of Semitic influence in the Ethiopian region originally had northern Ethiopia as a single point of departure. While accepting this as ‘la plus vraisemblable’ in the case of Amharic at least, Cohen has long drawn attention to the possibility that other ports like Raheita and Zeila may have also been used by south Arabian immigrants to move over to the regions of Amhara, Shawa, and Harár, Études, pp. 3–4, 38–40, 46–52. Cf. also his review of Ullendorff, The Semitic Languages, in BO, xiii (1956), pp. 14–15; 20. Cerulli has proposed a compromise solution, Studi etiopici: i. La lingua e la storia di Harar (1936), pp. 441–2.
INTRODUCTION

ey early visits that they first established direct contacts with the local people. The identity and the way of life of these natives can only be surmised at this stage. Some isolated linguistic data seem to indicate that the earliest people they met in the Eritrean plateau were the non-Kushitic ancestors of the Kunama-Barya people.\textsuperscript{1} But it was with the Agäw group of the Kushitic peoples of northern Ethiopia that the south Arabian immigrants established a lasting relationship, and it was among them that their cultural and political impact was most deeply felt.\textsuperscript{2} It is most likely that at the time of their earliest contact with the south Arabsians the native people were in a primitive stage of material culture, and lived in small isolated clans or groups of clans with no state or political organizations.\textsuperscript{3} This must have given the immigrants an excellent opportunity to assert themselves and easily reduce the local population to a position of political vassalage. The immigrants themselves probably consisted of small tribal groups, each constituting a different political unit of its own. Thus the political system they introduced from the very beginning was not characterized by a large unitary State and only consisted of small units based on tribal groupings. This characteristic organization left an indelible mark on the political developments which their arrival in Africa must have initiated among the local people, and we shall have much occasion to see it at work in the course of this study. Each of these groups probably imposed itself on the section of the local people in whose neighbourhood it happened to settle, and began to integrate them into its superior cultural and political system. It is thus possible to imagine that by the middle of the fifth century B.C.—to which period the earliest epigraphical material seems to belong—the Agäw population of northern Tigré had already been organized into small political units with the settler population as nuclei.\textsuperscript{4} The formation of these units probably followed both the clan groups of the native peoples and the tribal or dialectal divisions of the immigrants.

Conti Rossini had envisaged the possibility of an initial period of

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{1} Conti Rossini, \textit{La Langue des Kemant en Abyssinie} (1912), p. 39. Cohen, \textit{Études}, p. 44.
\item \textsuperscript{3} Ibid., pp. 148–9.
\end{itemize}
direct political control of these settlements by a south Arabian kingdom. This postulate does not seem to be necessary for the reconstruction of the history of this early period and, among the increasing amount of epigraphical material since discovered in Ethiopia, not a single sign of such a direct south Arabian rule has been procured. This seems to indicate that the south Arabian settlements were primarily private in origin, and did not represent an official act of political expansion and conquest by any state in the Arabian peninsula. Nevertheless, contacts between the two sides of the Red Sea were clearly frequent; the settler population probably continued to receive a regular flow of new immigrants, and by the mid-fifth century B.C. an independent political structure had already evolved in northern Ethiopia. By then also the political leadership was probably no longer purely south Arabian.

The existence of such an early political organization in northern Tigré is attested by the recent epigraphical discoveries at Azbî-Déra and Hawiltè. The earliest indication of a kingdom in this region is obtained from the Azbî-Déra inscription on a stone altar dedicated to the south Arabian god Almouqah. The anonymous king of this inscription bore a long title styling himself 'King of Sr’n, Ygzyan, Mkbr of D’mnt and SB . . .'. The twin titles of Mkln (= King) and Mkrb which this sovereign held at this early stage are most significant, not only in showing the south Arabian origin of the organization of state but also in pointing to the direction of the future development of the institution of kingship in Ethiopia. Mkrb was the title held by the supreme ruler of Saba in south Arabia between the ninth and the end of the fifth centuries B.C., and it meant 'Prêtre-Prince, Prince-Sacrificateur'. By the end of the fifth century, it was no longer in use there, and the rulers of Saba began to assume the title of 'King of Saba'. On the Tigrean plateau, however, the kings apparently continued to use it together with the new title of Mkln. It is not known exactly when the practice started nor when it was discontinued. But

---

6 Ibid., p. 101.
the king of the Azbi-Déra inscription appears to be neither the first nor the last to bear the title, and two other inscriptions found at Hawiliti and at another unknown place probably belonged to his own sons. From the epigraphic remains of the period it is apparent that the territory over which these kings maintained a political supremacy largely consisted of the triangle between Adulis on the coastal strip, the eastern edge of the Tigré plateau around Azbi-Déra, and the surroundings of Hawiliti and Aksum. Its centre of gravity probably lay in the region of Shîmâzana and southern Akâlâ-Guzay. There is no need to imagine at this stage a strong unitary state. Neither the apparent dialectal fragmentations of the first south Arabian settlers (probably also augmented by their contending economic and commercial interests), nor the clan groupings of the local people on which they were superimposed, would seem to warrant such an early political development. What seems to be most likely is that there were a number of small autonomous political units sharing the same social and cultural heritage, among which one unit may have won sufficient economic and military prestige to enable its leader to assume royal titles such as those of the Azbi-Déra inscription. It is in fact very significant that two of the tribal groups mentioned in this Sabean inscription as the subjects of the king—namely Sr’n and Ygzyan—also appear many centuries later in two Ethiopic inscriptions as being engaged in rebellious military hostilities against King Ezana.

If the dating of the Azbi-Déra king (c. 450 B.C.) is correct, eight centuries separate him from Ezana, and the feeling of self-identity and independence of the peoples of his ‘kingdom’ could have only been much stronger. This picture of small political units, vying among themselves, may also provide at least part of the explanation for the

---

1 Only one letter of the king’s name is intact in the Azbi-Déra inscription. However, from the two other inscriptions referred to above, Schneider has proposed a reconstruction of the name, and even suggests that the authors of the two inscriptions were probably the sons of the Azbi-Déra king, op. cit., p. 65.

2 The first inscription discovered by the German expedition at Dâbrâ Pânţál-lewon near Aksum seems to have a special relation with the Azbi-Déra one, Littmann, Deutsche Aksum-Expedition, iv (1913), p. 1; Caquot and Drewes, op. cit., pp. 30–2; Contenson, H. de, ‘Les Principales Étapes de l’Éthiopie antique’, p. 13.
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long silence of the classical writers about any state organization in the region.

Nevertheless, continuously inspired by their close contacts with the Arabian peninsula, the peoples of the region seem to have gradually made their impact felt among their neighbours. The annals of this period are completely shrouded in uncertainty and a full reconstruction of its history must await further archaeological discoveries in the area. But there can be no doubt that it was a crucial formative period in which the activities of the sabaeanized inhabitants of northern Ethiopia must have produced a chain of political and social developments further inland in various directions. Hunting, or commercial interests, as well as deliberate attempts at territorial expansion must have spurred groups of individuals to push on into the interior. Already in the middle of the fourth century B.C. King Harsiotef (c. 404–369 B.C.) of Meroe claimed to have sent a successful expedition against a town called Habasa (?Habasi = Hebsi). The inhabitants of the town are called Metit and are said to have agreed to pay tribute to Meroe.1 A variant of the name Metit is also found in one of Ezana’s inscriptions,2 and with additional material from the classical writers and local tradition Conti Rossini has proposed an identification with an ancient people in the Barya-Kunama area of south-western Eritrea.3 The leaders of the Metit people are called Baruga and Semnasa in Harsiotef’s inscription. These are south Arabian names4 and may very well represent the spearhead of the commercial and political activities of the residents of northern Ethiopia at the time. It is especially significant that individuals bearing south Arabian names should be mentioned as the leaders of the local Metit people. It is precisely in this characteristic pattern of a few south Arabian or sabaeanized individuals moving far into the interior and assuming political and cultural leadership among the Cushitic people of the Ethiopian region that one can best envisage the origin and the eventual expansion of the embryonic state organization long established in northern Ethiopia.5 A fragment of a Sabaean inscription

2 Littmann, op. cit., Inscription 8.
5 Essentially, the same pattern will also be seen at work in the medieval as well as the relatively modern periods of Ethiopian history.
recently found at Hawiti makes a vague reference to ‘the red and the black’.¹ This clearly ethnic description is probably a reference to the crucial process of the confrontation between the culturally superior, south Arabian (or seabeanized) groups and the natives of the interior. It is impossible to say exactly how far inland this cultural influence was felt before the rise of Aksum. But the time difference between the earliest Sabean inscriptions in Ethiopia (fifth century B.C.) and the first mention of the kingdom of Aksum by classical writers (second century A.D.) seems to suggest that during the long interval new ideas of social and political reorganization had been percolating slowly among the Kushitic tribes further west and south, beyond the seabeanized triangle of northern Ethiopia. The extensive sphere of influence of the kingdom of Aksum soon after its full emergence in documentary history is otherwise inexplicable.

**Pre-Christian Aksum**

Despite the clear evidence of the inscriptions for the early existence of a kingdom in northern Ethiopia, there is no mention of an organized state in the area by the classical writers until the middle of the second century of our era. Ptolemy was the first to make an unequivocal reference to ‘Axume where is the King’s palace’.² Conti Rossini has already shown the limitations of the knowledge of these writers concerning the inhabitants of even the coastal areas,³ and their long silence about the political situation further inland cannot be taken as absolute negative evidence. Moreover it is very clear from the compilations of both Strabo (d. A.D. 24) and Pliny (d. A.D. 79) that trade between Ptolemaic Egypt and the Ethiopian region was of very long standing. This trade was most active between Ptolemais (founded in about 269–264 B.C.),⁴ and the straits of Bab-el-Mandeb, where a town called Deire is located by Strabo on the authority of Artemidorus (second century B.C.), and Eratosthenes (d. 192 B.C.).⁵ Another

---

¹ Schneider, ‘Inscriptions d’Enda-Çerqos’, p. 62. See, however, Drewes’s reservations as to this interpretation, *Inscriptions de l’Éthiopie antique*, p. 98 n. 2.
⁵ Conti Rossini, ‘La città di Deire e i due laghi di Strabo XVI, 14’, *RRAL*, xxix (1920), pp. 291, 295. Strabo mentions a stela erected here with hieroglyphic
port, probably near the present site of Assab,\(^1\) was called Arsinoe after the sister of Ptolemy II Philadelphus (c. 284–247 B.C.), who is described by Pliny as the monarch of Egypt 'who first thoroughly explored the cave-dweller country'.\(^2\)

The port of Saba, mentioned by Artemidorus and Ptolemy, which was probably the same as the fifteenth-century site of Girar just to the north of Miṣiwwa, was probably founded by Sabean traders in pre-Hellenic times.\(^3\) Archaeological studies of Adulis, first mentioned by Pliny, also indicate a much earlier, pre-Hellenic date for the establishment of the site.\(^4\) This coastal strip between Tolemais and Deire, which classical documentary material shows to have been studded with hunting and trading stations by the middle of the third century B.C., served the rich hinterland of the highland areas of northern Ethiopia and probably also the regions further south. Pliny's description of Adulis suggests a strong tradition of commerce in the area and the commodities are reminiscent of later, medieval times: 'Here is a very large trading centre of the cave-dwellers and also of the Ethiopians . . .; they bring into it a large quantity of ivory, rhinoceros horns, hippopotamus hides, tortoise shells, apes and slaves.'\(^5\)

Somewhere between Adulis and another site he calls Isis, Pliny also speaks of 'a bay in the coast that has not been explored, which is surprising, in view of the fact that traders ransack more remote districts . . .'.\(^6\) These 'remote districts' are certainly the country of 'the numerous tribes of Asachae who are said to be five days' journey from the sea; they live by hunting elephants'.\(^7\) The coastal market towns and the interior which they served had been visited by south inscriptions commemorating the conquests of Sesostries, i.e. Ramses II, king of Egypt about 1333 B.C. Pliny takes the conquests of the same king as far as Mossylites Cape and Harbour, the last place he mentions near Cape Guardafui, *Natural History*, vi. 32. 174.

\(^1\) Conti Rossini, *Storia d'Ethiopia*, p. 60.
\(^2\) vi. 32. 168.
\(^3\) Conti Rossini, 'Commenti e notizie di geografi classici', pp. 15–17.
\(^4\) Ibid., pp. 17–18. Here the author identifies Adulis with Melinos Limni; Littmann, op. cit. i, p. 42. Pliny attributed the origin of the town to runaway slaves from Egypt. He did this by attaching a Greek meaning (*a-doulos* = non-slave, free) to the name Adulis, which may have only been Kushitic in origin, Conti Rossini, *Storia d'Ethiopia*, pp. 104–6.
\(^5\) vi. 34. 172.
\(^6\) Ibid., para. 173.
\(^7\) vi. 35. 191. The distance from the sea, and the name Asachae which has a slight onomatopoeic connection with Aksum, or Aksumites have to some suggested that Pliny may have been referring to the latter. Contenson, 'Les premiers rois d'Axoum', p. 77. Conti Rossini only takes the Asachae as one of the tribes in northern Ethiopia of south Arabian origin, 'Commenti e notizie di geografi classici', pp. 14–15; Id., *Storia d'Ethiopia*, p. 63.
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Arabian merchants for centuries, and the Greco-Egyptian explorer-traders of the coastal areas were only following in their footsteps. Even here, however, they never replaced the local merchants. It is probably owing to the economic strength of the local traders that the direct knowledge of the classical writers concerning the region long remained defective and superficial.

But in the long run the revival of direct Egyptian interest in the Red Sea and in the eastern trade opened a new chapter in the history of the Ethiopian region, and had far-reaching consequences in the political and economic development of northern Ethiopia. Before the advent of the Hellenic traders, the Ethiopian region had been a huge reserve for the economic activities of south Arabian merchants, and Ethiopian commerce had been only a small appendage to Arabian trade. The curiosities and products of the African side of the Red Sea reached the eastern Mediterranean and even Alexandria and lower Egypt via the land routes of the Arabian peninsula.¹ Local participation in international trade could have only been minimal. The hunter-traders who had settled in the Ethiopian region had only acted as distant suppliers of their African products to the agents of the commercial magnates of Arabia. Imports from Mediterranean and eastern countries could have only come through Arab middlemen and both their volume and quality were doubtless determined by them. Thus both for its cultural inspiration and its economic development the Ethiopian region had depended entirely on south Arabia. Conti Rossini’s oft-quoted generalization that ‘la civilità etiopica non è se non un riflesso della civilità sud-arabica’² is perhaps true only up to this point. The reopening of direct contacts between Egypt and the Red Sea area under the Ptolemies drastically changed the situation. Its effects were not immediate, and much of the African trade followed the ancient Arabian routes long after the advent of the Greco-Egyptian traders.³ But part of the trade soon began to be diverted in the direction of Egypt, whose merchants came to the African coast and made direct transactions with the local people. They brought with them Egyptian and other Mediterranean products in exchange. The items of export from Adulis listed by Pliny are repeated almost verbatim by the anonymous author of the Periplus

² Storia d’Etiopia, p. 106.
about the end of the second or the beginning of the third century A.D. ¹ Probably, the imports were also similar at the times of the two authors. According to the Periplus, these included brass and copper objects, iron bars for making spears, and weapons such as axes, adzes, and swords. The supply of these valuable goods, which helped to revolutionize their military and economic power, and the creation of an alternative market for their products no doubt impressed the local people, and probably encouraged the emergence of a new class of merchants fully committed to the development of direct trade with Egypt. This was also reflected in the economic and political life of the people, and the Ethiopian region set out on a fresh development of its own completely independent of, and often antagonistic to, its ancient south Arabian partners. If the early history of northern Ethiopia owed a great deal to south Arabia, the new source of cultural and political inspiration was certainly the Greco-Roman world of the eastern Mediterranean. Aksum rose and flourished with a Mediterranean orientation, and even when the spread of Islam interrupted its lines of communication, it was the legacy of this early cultural association which continued to give the Ethiopian region a special Christian identity throughout the medieval period.

No absolute dates are yet available for the beginning of Aksum as a political and cultural centre. As we have already seen above, Ptolemy was the first to mention the kingdom of the 'Axoumites' with the royal court at 'Axume', and his work is attributed to about the mid-second century A.D. ² Together with Adulis, which is also


² See p. 13 n. 2. The chronology of the kingdom of Aksum had been based on the early date given to the Periplus. Very serious problems have been raised recently which lower the date of the work by about two centuries, cf. n. 1 above. Thus we only have Pliny and Ptolemy as points of departure for the chronology of Adulis and Aksum. About a hundred years separate Ptolemy's work from Pliny who only mentions Adulis and 'the tribes of Asaæae . . . five days from the sea'. It is not certain if the rise of Aksum can be dated within this period separating the two authors. Ptolemy only represents perhaps the progress of Greco-Roman geographical knowledge about north-east Africa in general, and
mentioned by Pliny before him, Ptolemy refers to two other towns, Coloe and Maste. It is however in the *Periplus* that we begin to see a clear term of reference for the territorial limits of the Aksumite kingdom. On the coast it extended from Ptolemais in the north to the straits of Bab-el-Mandeb. The most striking difference between the writers before him (Strabo, Pliny, and Ptolemy) and the author of the *Periplus* is that, except for the islands of Alalai (Dahlak), he does not mention coastal towns other than Ptolemais and the port of Adulis. Apparently, he had a direct personal knowledge of the Aksumite coast, and it is very significant that he should omit many of the sites reported in their works. It is most likely that this represents the growing power of the rulers of Aksum over the entire coast and the emergence of Adulis as their major port at the expense of the other coastal settlements. It is also apparent that the hinterland served by these settlements had similarly passed over into the growing political and economic sphere of influence of the kingdom of Aksum. Compared with the pre-Aksumite (Sabean) period referred to above, there seems to have taken place a tremendous development, not only in the consolidation of the power of the kings, but also in their effective control of large territories.

The *Periplus* is not as useful in determining the territorial limits of the kingdom in the interior. Nevertheless it seems to offer valuable guide-lines:

... from [Adulis] is a three-days' journey to Coloe, an inland town and the first market for ivory. From that place to the city of the people called Axsumites there is a five-days' journey more; to that place all the ivory is brought from the country beyond the Nile through the district called Cyeneum, and thence to Adulis. In this passage and in the Adulis inscription 'the Nile' seems to be a reference to the river Tākāzé, and Cyeneum has been identified the development of closer contacts with the Aksumite region in particular. Conservative estimates recently advanced place the foundation of Aksum between the middle of the first and the beginning of the second century A.D., Contenson, op. cit., p. 80. Id., 'Les principales étapes de l'Éthiopie antique', p. 19.

1 The wording in the *Periplus* is '... from the Calf-Eaters to the other Berber country' § 5. The Calf-Eaters are elsewhere identified as one of the people who live behind the coastal strip between Berenice and Ptolemais which is also called the country of the Berbers' §§ 2, 3. 'The other Berber country' is a reference to the coastal region of the Horn of Africa beyond the straits of Bab-el-Mandeb, § 7. See also the notes of the editor.

2 Schoff, op. cit., p. 23.

with the region of Sennar in the eastern Sudan. From these indications it seems that Zoskales, the king of Aksum at the time of the *Periplus*, was at the head of a large empire extending to the straits of Bab-el-Mandeb in the south-east and beyond the Tākāzē river in the west. No specific estimates of the southern frontiers can be given at this stage of the history of Aksum; but it must be said that it was only natural for a growing kingdom in northern Tigré, which had already taken full control of the seaboard and had pushed its political and economic frontiers beyond the Tākāzē, to try to expand southwards and secure a dominant position also in the region of the sources of the same river, and even further south. In his description of what can be called 'the arms trade' of Adulīs, the author of the *Periplus* reports in particular that the imported iron which was made into spears was used by the Aksumites 'against the elephants... and in their wars'.

These armed conflicts probably included wars of conquest undertaken by the Aksumite kingdom in the interior. The *Monumentum Adultitanum*, and three of the inscriptions of King Ezana are particularly concerned with such military expeditions in the region south and south-west of Aksum. The local resistance put up against the Aksumite attempts at expansion was strongly organized and suggests a fairly high degree of political evolution. It was a far cry from the weak, disorganized clan groups which the first south Arabian settlers must have encountered in northern Ethiopia. In the Adulīs inscription strong tribal leaders are presented as resisting the Aksumite advances, and were only reduced to tributary status by the military

1 Schoff, *The Periplus*, p. 61.
2 The dates for this king necessarily depend on the dating of the *Periplus*. There have been attempts to identify the king with Zā-Ḥaqle, who appears in the traditional lists of the kings of Aksum, and to use his reign for dating the *Periplus*, Schoff, op. cit., pp. 66–8. But these lists are a much later compilation and are of little or no chronological help, Conti Rossini, ‘Les listes des rois d’Aksoum’, in *JA*, ser. 10, vol. xiv (1909), pp. 313–20. Zoskales is certainly a predecessor of the king of the Adulis inscription.
4 For the text and description of this inscription see McCrindle, op. cit., pp. 54–66. Conti Rossini, ‘Expéditions’, pp. 18–25. The name of the king is missing and no satisfactory identifications have been proposed as yet. His dates are however estimated to have been between A.D. 250 and 285, Contenson, ‘Les premiers rois d’Axoum’, p. 82. Drewes’s recent attempt to identify him with Shamr Yuharish of south Arabia is extremely arbitrary, *Inscriptions de l’Éthiopie antique*, pp. 106–7.
5 Littmann, op. cit., Inscriptions 8, 9, 10.
superiority of the kingdom: 'Upon their submission I restored their territories to them, subject to the payment of tribute. Many other tribes besides these submitted of their own accord, and became likewise tributary.' According to his inscriptions Ezana also ruled over a number of tributary kings and vassal chiefs. His military expeditions were undertaken to reduce the rebellious among them and to make fresh conquests of other neighbouring territories. Many of the peoples and regions thus conquered are named in both the Monumentum Adulitanum and in Ezana's inscriptions and indicate an intensive programme of territorial expansion during these reigns. It is unfortunate that these names are still mostly unidentifiable, but there seems to be no doubt that many of them refer to areas south of the Aksumite region.

As has already been suggested above, the slow penetration of social and cultural influences into these southern areas must have started in the pre-Aksumite period. It is in fact apparent that the Aksumite conquests were only a second major wave of 'Semitic' expansion into the interior of the Ethiopian highlands. With the introduction of the Church into Aksum, this process of expansion got yet another dimension, and it continued throughout the early and the late medieval period. The next chapter recapitulates the broad outlines of the history of the Christian period until the end of the thirteenth century, when the nature of our sources begins to enable us to examine the Christian kingdom of Ethiopia in much greater detail.

The thirteenth century ushered in an active development in both Church and State in the Christian kingdom of Ethiopia. The process had already started in the Zagwé period, but it was considerably intensified with the rise of the new dynasty founded by Yikunno-Amlak

---

1 McCrindle, op. cit., p. 64.
2 Cf. Littmann, op. cit., Inscription 8 which mentions: swswr, king of the Aaguezat and his people; sb, king of Gabaz; and the king of Wylq. This place is mentioned immediately after a people called Samen, no doubt the same Samenoi whose inaccessible, cold, mountainous country is aptly described in the Adultis Inscription, McCrindle, op. cit., pp. 61–2. Wylq (= Wâlqayt) and Samen (= Simén) still keep their ancient appellation and are side by side just across the Têkâzé from Shiré. Inscription 9: major conflict with Abaliqwo, king of Aaguezat, who was captured with 'the bearer of his throne'. His territory seems to have adjoined that of Atagau, also mentioned in the Adultis inscription. It apparently bordered on Erg, also mentioned in what appears to be the first Ge'ez inscription by Gdr, king of Aksam, Drewes, 'Problèmes de paléographie éthiopienne, in AE, i (1955), pp. 123–6. Inscription 10: campaigns against what seems to mean 'the kingdom of Afan', and the people called Ŝerene, who also appear in the Azbi-Déra inscription, cf. p. 9 n. 4 above.
in 1270. This development manifested itself in various ways in the period with which this book is mainly concerned. Within the kingdom itself, the power of the king over his vassal chiefs, his army, and his wealth all greatly increased. The literary reawakening of the Church led to the revival of monasticism and brought in a series of reform movements initiated by the new monastic leaders of the country. Of much more importance to the history of the whole area of the Horn of Africa was the rapid expansion of the territorial limits of the Christian kingdom, and the evangelization of many of the conquered areas.

These outward movements of both Church and State were most active in the reigns of Amdä-Şiyon (1314-44) and Yishaq (1413-30), who were the most outstanding military leaders of the kingdom in the whole of the period covered by this book. Their campaigns pushed the Christian frontiers far into the heart of the Muslim-dominated areas beyond the Awash in the east, the rich Sîdama country between the left bank of the Abbay and the Lake region of the Rift Valley in the south, and the Agāw and Fālasha country consisting of Gōjjam and what is today the province of Bāgémdir in the west and in the north-west.

The literary and religious activities of Zār'a-Ya'iqob (1434-68) were essentially an attempt to stabilize these manifold conquests of his predecessors, and to give a sound institutional basis for both Church and State in the whole of the Christian empire. The relatively radical programmes which he rigorously put into effect during his reign were not continued by his successors, and with the reigns of a series of minor kings the diverse regional and religious interests fully reasserted themselves. The absence of a strong, united leadership for half a century (following Bāʾidā-Maryam's reign) sapped the Christian kingdom of much of its political and military strength, and led in the end to the brilliant successes of Imam Ahmad ibn Ibrahim, otherwise known as Gragn.
CHAPTER II
The Legacy of Aksum and Adāfa

The establishment of the Church in Aksum

Aksum was at the height of its power in the middle of the fourth century. Its military interventions in the Nile valley had already given the tottering kingdom of Meroe the coup de grâce,¹ and had brought the former provinces of its old rival under its own sphere of influence. More than a century of direct participation in the local struggle for power among the south Arabian kingdoms had also brought Aksum into its first period of political supremacy in the Arabian peninsula.² Its port of Adulis had developed into the most important centre of international trade on the African coast of the Red Sea, and with the decline of the land routes of Arabia much of the eastern trade was handled by Roman maritime traders with whom Aksum was on friendly terms.³

It is quite clear that, from the start, these economic contacts with the eastern Mediterranean were also accompanied by a strong cultural influence. Already at the time of the author of the Periplus we are told that King Zoskales of Aksum was 'acquainted with Greek literature'. No doubt this was also true of his courtiers, many of


² Conti Rossini, 'Expéditions', pp. 25–6; Kammerer, op. cit., pp. 39–43; Conti Rossini, Storia d'Etiopia, pp. 131–9; Ryckmans, L'Institution monar- chique en ARABIE méridionale avant l'Islam (1951), pp. 304–17; Doresse, op. cit., pp. 120–8; id., 'L'Éthiopie et l'Arabie méridionale aux 3e et 4e siècles d'après les découvertes récentes', Kush, v (1957), pp. 52–8. The chronology of these events has been drastically modified in more recent works, Drewes, Inscriptions de l'Éthiopie antique (1962), pp. 101–6; Ryckmans, J., La Chronologie des rois de Saba et du Raydan, pp. 19–22.

whom were probably themselves Greeks, Hellenized Egyptians, or Syrians. It is most likely that such foreign advisers, who knew the king’s personality at a very close range, provided the author of the *Periplus* with his description of Zoskales as ‘... miserly in his ways and always striving for more, but otherwise upright’.\(^1\) This characteristic pattern of resident merchants of Mediterranean provenance exercising a strong, permanent influence has been recently demonstrated in the case of south Arabia and the eastern coast of the Red Sea.\(^2\) The Ethiopian region seems to have had its full share of this Mediterranean impact since the advent of the Ptolemies in Egypt. In many ways, Ezana’s conversion to Christianity in the middle of the fourth century was the climax of this cultural influence.\(^3\)

It is probable that there were some Christians among the foreign residents of Adulis, Coloe, and Aksum even before the conversion of the king.\(^4\) They may have also had a few converts among their Aksumite servants and commercial associates long before they could exert any influence on the royal family. Their religious propaganda must have gathered momentum with the growing prestige of the Christian Church in the Roman empire, and with the final success of the Emperor Constantine (312–37) against his anti-Christian rivals. It is precisely in the last decade of the reign of Constantine that the traditions seem to place the first arrival of Frémaṭnaṭos in Aksum.\(^5\) The triumphant establishment of the Christian Church in the Roman empire gave an impetus to the religious efforts of the

---

1 *The Periplus*, p. 23. It seems that the passage has also been interpreted as signifying heavy custom duties (levied by the kingdom of Aksum), Charlesworth, op. cit., p. 64.


5 According to these traditions Frémaṭnaṭos first stayed in Aksum as a court official for some years before his return to his country, Syria. On his way there he called at Alexandria and told Patriarch Atnatéwos (328–73) of the excellent prospects of the Church in Aksum, appealing to him to send a bishop to guide the small congregation. The patriarch saw the enthusiasm of the young Syrian and appointed him the first bishop of the Aksumite kingdom, Conti Rossini, ‘A propos des textes éthiopiens concernant Salama (Frumentius)’, in *Aethiops*, i (1922), pp. 2–4, 17–18; Kammerer, op. cit., p. 101. Patriarch Atnatéwos suffered a number of exiles in his term of office because of the Arian controversy, and it appears that it was on his second return to his seat (346–57) that Frémaṭnaṭos was ordained. Lagier, Mgr. C., *L’Orient chrétien*, i (1935), p. 138.
Christians in Aksum, and Ezana’s conversion may not have been totally free of diplomatic and political considerations.¹

Despite its virtue, the king’s conversion does not seem to have opened the whole of his kingdom to the proselytizing influence of the Christian zealots at his court. In fact, if one were to judge from his first non-pagan inscription, his own acceptance of the new religion was extremely equivocal and he may have had to contend with anti-Christian conservative forces in the kingdom.² It seems therefore that at first Bishop Frémnnašos’s congregation consisted only of a section of the royal court and the foreign resident merchants and their households. In Aksum and other centres of population along the major routes to the coast former temples were converted into churches,³ and new places of Christian worship erected. Because of the lack of books in Ethiopian at the time, Greek was probably the major language of the Church. Most of the clergy may also have been of foreign provenance. Thus, although its position as the royal cult may have added much prestige to Christianity in the country, its scope for expansion after Ezana’s conversion was extremely limited. It is in fact more than a century after Ezana’s conversion, with the advent of groups of Syrian missionaries—the Sadgan, and the Nine Saints—that the traditions of the Church show definite signs of progress in the kingdom of Aksum.⁴

The episode of the Sadgan and the Nine Saints is placed towards the end of the fifth century, and may have been connected with anti-monophysite persecutions in the Byzantine empire after the Council of Chalcedon.⁵ Before the advent of these clerics in Ethiopia, it seems that the effective sphere of influence of the Church was limited to a narrow corridor between Adultis and Aksum along the main caravan routes. But they established permanent outposts beyond these frontiers

and the monastic communities attributed to the Nine Saints alone extend from the river Märb north of Aksum as far as the district of Gär'alta in central Tigré. The other group, collectively known as Ṣadqan, are said to have settled and taught in the district of Shimäzana. A much more productive career seems to have been that of Abba Mäta, who is renowned for his evangelical activities in the region of Baqla in northern Eritrea, in Särä'ē and Shimäzana, where his famous church of Däbrä Libanos was still the centre of a powerful community in the fourteenth century.

The efforts of these men brought the Church deep into the interior, and the traditions of their conflicts with the local people probably represent pagan resistance to the fresh incursions of the new religion. It seems that the importance of these communities lay, more than in anything else, in serving as permanent centres of Christian learning. No doubt the first thing these Syrian monks set out to do was to translate the Bible and other religious books into Ethiopian. Although Ethiopic was already in use as a written language, their literary activities further enhanced its development and facilitated the teaching of the Christian religion in the country. Many of the local people joined the communities, received enough religious training, and provided a growing number of recruits for the Church. Many of them opened their own little schools in their parishes and offered educational facilities for the members of their congregation who cared to send their children to school. The kings watched the development very closely and encouraged it. This gave much prestige and economic benefit to the clerical profession and no doubt attracted many individuals to it. Two basic problems—lack of trained candidates for the services of the Church, and the absence of books in Ethiopian—had

---

1 The most northerly community was Abba Alēl's at Bilisä, overlooking the Märb river from the south; Yim'ata went southwards, crossed the river Wär'li and established himself in Gär'alta. The rest of the group settled between these limits: Liqanos and Pänṭällëwon near Aksum, Afše at Yěha, Aragawi at Däbrä Damo; and Gärima north of Adwa, Conti Rossini, *Storia d'Etiopia*, pp. 158-61; Doresse, op. cit. i, p. 185.

2 Ibid., p. 187; Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 156-7; Anfray and Schneider, loc. cit.


4 Gädli Aragawi, p. 64.


faced the Church in its programme of evangelization. The initiative generally attributed to the Syrian monks seems to have done a great deal to alleviate these problems and to strengthen the position of the Church in the country.

**Christian expansion in the Agäw interior**

Except for hagiographical traditions—which in their written form cannot be dated earlier than the thirteenth century—there are practically no contemporary sources for the story of the evangelization of the kingdom of Aksum. It is quite clear, however, that by the beginning of the sixth century the Church had made tremendous progress, and Cosmas included the kingdom among the countries where 'there are everywhere churches of the Christians, and bishops, martyrs, monks and recluses by whom the Gospel of Christ is proclaimed'.¹ This was no doubt true of northern Ethiopia, including much of the province of Tigré, the whole of the Eritrean plateau, and the coastal settlements.² The effect of these developments must have also been felt in the more distant parts of the kingdom, particularly along the major trade routes and wherever military outposts had been established. It may be that Cosmas was also making a reference to the interior districts of the Aksumite empire when he reported that Christianity had spread 'in Ethiopia and Axom, and in all the country about it'. Such active expansion of the Church into the Aksumite interior tallies very well with the strong position held by the kingdom in the sixth century as a champion of Christianity in the whole of the Red Sea area. The crusading activities of King Kaléb against the persecutions of Christians in south Arabia, and the second Aksumite occupation of the peninsula that followed his military intervention, are very well documented.³ It is also apparent that within his own kingdom his reign was marked by major progress in the spread of the Christian religion among the Agäw tribes of what are today the districts of Wag and Lasta. Local traditions collected at Lalibälä

¹ McCrindle, op. cit., p. 120.
attribute a number of churches to him in the region of the sources of the river Tākāzē.¹

At the time of Kalēb’s expedition to south Arabia the region of Wag and Lasta was already an integral part of the Aksumite kingdom. Cosmas provides an important documentary confirmation for this. He makes a reference to the ‘governor of Agau’, who was entrusted by the king with the protection of the vital long-distance caravan routes to the gold mines of Sassou.² These gold mines have been identified with the region of Fazogli on the Blue Nile just to the west of the present border between the Sudan and Ethiopia.³ If this is correct, the caravan routes from Aksum probably ran southwards to the present district of Wag, where they crossed the Tākāzē, and then proceeded in a south-westerly direction across Dāmbya, north and west of Lake Ṭana to the gold-producing area of Fazogli. In the region west of Lake Ṭana the territory traversed by these routes is dissected by many rivers flowing westwards to join the Blue Nile and Cosmas’s geographical notes on the region tend to make the above identification very secure: ‘... the sources of the river Nile lie somewhere in these parts, and in winter, on account of the heavy rains, the numerous rivers which they generate obstruct the path of the traveller.’⁴

Apart from showing the extensive sphere of Aksumite commercial activities in the reign of Kalēb, these notes of Cosmas are also invaluable as providing the earliest account of the western limits of the Kushitic peoples of the Ethiopian plateau. In the late medieval period much of the area through which Cosmas’s caravan routes passed

¹ The churches include Iyāla Mīka’el, Dibisa Giyorgis, and Marora Maryam. My informant was Mägäbil Tägägn, an elderly member of the community of Lalibāla, who was especially recommended to me by the abbot, Māmhīr Affā-Wārq. Presented to him by the Māmhīr, I found Mägäbil Tägägn an inexhaustible source of oral traditions about his native province of Lasta in particular. His traditions about Kalēb, as well as the Zagwē dynasty have a definite local orientation. According to him, for instance, Kalēb was a man of Lasta and his palace was at Bugna where it is known that Lalibāla had later established his centre. The relevance of this tradition for us is the mere association of the name of Kalēb with the evangelization of this interior province of Aksum. Cf. Alvarez, The Prester John of the Indies, i, pp. 200–1.
² McCrindle, op. cit., pp. 52–3.
⁴ McCrindle, op. cit., p. 53.
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was inhabited predominantly by the Agäw,¹ and even nowadays the
district of Agäw-midir has a dwindling minority of Agäw-speaking
families. Cosmas's mention of the 'governor of Agau' as being in
charge of the caravans strongly indicates that already at the beginning
of the sixth century, the western frontiers of the Agäw people exten-
ded towards the region of Lake Tana and the sources of the Blue Nile.
His description of the 'silent trade' also indicates that a major linguist-
ic frontier had to be crossed before reaching the gold-mining areas.

There are local traditions of Agäw migrations from north-east into
the rich country of Gojjam south of Lake Tana: the Awîya-speaking
tribes of Agäw-midir derive their origin from Lasta. The original
habitants of the area appear to have been ancestors of the Gumuz,
who still occupy the territory west of Achäfär and Agäw-midir and
extend beyond the Ethiopian-Sudanese frontier.² The Agäw call
them 'Shanqilla' and relate that they originally conquered the land
from them.³ No chronological deductions can be made from these
traditions as such, but Cosmas's report of the caravan routes pro-
tected by the Agäw implies that the migrations into this area were
well under way by the first half of the sixth century. Between this date
and the beginning of the fourteenth century (when hagiographical
traditions become available) the process was already complete, and
Agäw predominance in Dämbya and east of the headwaters of the
Dinder, Balasa, and Dura rivers in Gojjam was a fait accompli. It is
apparent that, in the end, it was not the Shanqilla but the unfeasible
climatic conditions of the regions further west which put a limit to
this continuous process of territorial expansion which had already
started before the time of Kaléb's 'governor of Agau'.

The Agäw language and people consist of a number of dialectal
groups at present found in small pockets spread over a vast territory
extending from Bogos in Eritrea to Agäw-midir in Gojjam.⁴ In all

² Conti Rossini, 'Popoli dell’Ethiopia occidentale: i Gunza ed il loro lin-
guaggio', in RRAL, xxvii (1919), pp. 252–4; Grottanelli, 'I Niloti dell'Etio-
piolo stato attuale delle nostre conoscenze', BSGI (1941), pp. 567–8; Cerulli,
³ Beke, C. T., 'Abyssinia, Being a Continuation of Routes in that Country',
JRGB, xiv (1844), p. 10; Conti Rossini, 'Appunti sulla lingua Awiyada Danghela',
257.
⁴ Conti Rossini, La Langue des Keman en Abyssinie (1912), pp. 25–34;
Tubiana, J., 'Note sur la distribution géographique des dialectes Agaw', in CAA,
no. 5 (1955), pp. 3–12.
their traditions of origin they indicate Lasta as the area of dispersal.\textsuperscript{1} Conti Rossini has explained this as being a general tendency of the Agäw to show a common origin, and to associate themselves with the political fortunes of the Zagwé dynasty.\textsuperscript{2} His explanation is quite acceptable in the case of the lesser, and relatively more recent migrations such as those of the Zagwa and Adkämä-Mälgä of Eritrea.\textsuperscript{3} For the western and southern Agäw, however, the insistence of their traditions of Lasta origin seems to refer to a period much earlier than the Zagwé dynasty. Aksumite attempts to expand southwards had been long and persistent, and were most active in the second half of the third and the beginning of the fourth centuries of our era. Both the Monumentum Adulitanum and one of the inscriptions of Ezana make a clear reference to the people of Ath-agau,\textsuperscript{4} and both seem to locate them in the region east of the river Täkäzé. In these documents the Agäw are presented as the object of intensive wars of conquest led from the north against them, and it is apparent that by the middle of the fourth century, already before Ezana's conversion to Christianity, a decisive break-through had been achieved by the kingdom of Aksum into the very heart of their country east of the river Täkäzé. Naturally the Aksumite pressure was strongest on the frontier districts of Abärgälé, Sëloa, and Bora, and the centre of

\textsuperscript{1} Conti Rossini, 'Racconti e canzoni bileni', Actes du XIV\textsuperscript{e} Congrès intern. des orient. (1907), pp. 332–4; id., ‘Studi su popolazioni dell'Etiopia’, pp. 53–4, 82 (extract).


\textsuperscript{3} On the Zagwa and the Adkämä-Mälgä see p. 63 n. 4, and p. 67 n. 2. It is very unlikely that any migrations from the south were at all involved in the case of the Bilèn (Bogos). Conti Rossini has taken the legendary episode of Gudit (10th C.) as a point of departure for their migration northwards from Lasta, 'Note etiopiche', GSAI, x (1897), pp. 153–6; 'Racconti e canzoni bileni', pp. 336–7; Storia d'Etiopia, p. 286. But he himself has suggested a Damoti (Sidama) origin for the queen whose anti-Christian activities in the 10th C. are attested by Christian and Muslim sources. It is most improbable that the actions of this queen of the south could have resulted in such a major population movement in the northern part of the kingdom, cf. pp. 65–70. Unlike the Zagwa and the Adkämä-Mälgä who have lost their language in the process of their migrations northwards, the Bilèn still speak a dialect of Agäw which, Conti Rossini has himself shown, preserves many archaic forms, La Langue des Kemant, p. 27. It is more likely that the Bilèn are the descendants of the original Agäw inhabitants of northern Ethiopia who, in the period of the early south Arabian migrations, were pushed northwards and isolated from their southern kinsmen by an expanding 'Semitic' wedge which stretched from the coast to the region of Aksum.

\textsuperscript{4} McCrindle, op. cit., p. 61; Littmann, op. cit. iv, pp. 24–8. For the identification of the name with Agäw see Conti Rossini, 'Appunti sulla lingua Khamta', p. 183 n. 1.
Agäw resistance seems to have moved to the area south of the river Şälläri, in Wag and Lasta. Two centuries after Ezana's conquest, when the region was well established as a tributary province, Kaléb's 'governor of Agau' probably had his seat of government in this area (which also served later as the cradle of the Zagvé dynasty). From here he protected the caravan routes west of the Täkäzé, where some Agäw tribes may have already begun to settle. Probably subsequent migrations also followed the same direction, thus giving rise to the Awïya tradition of Lasta origin.¹

The traditions of vigorous evangelical activities by the Aksumite church in the interior, during the reign of King Kaléb, only reflect a general phenomenon of the sixth century, which was marked by an active expansion of the Christian Church in north-east Africa. The three pagan kingdoms of Nobatia, Mukurra, and Aloa were converted between the years 543 and 580, and the temple of Isis on the island of Philae was at last converted into a church.² It was apparently an official policy of the Byzantine empire to evangelize these areas in an attempt to stop the recurrent border clashes on the southern frontier of Egypt.³ A similar programme preoccupied the minds of the Christian kings of Aksum. It has always been assumed that the original group of Syrian missionaries⁴ came to the kingdom on their own initiative, or, as the hagiographers have it, by divine inspiration. It is more likely that there was an official encouragement of clerical immigrants in Aksum, possibly accompanied also by a programme of careful recruitment and selection by the (Monophysite) patriarchate of Alexandria. In those days, when religious controversies ran high, it is unlikely that the patriarch would have allowed the prosperous diocese of Aksum to slip out of his hands. Indeed, even in the very early history of the Church, it is a sign of the great influence of the

¹ Conti Rossini sees a possible reference to this Lasta origin in the name Dehema or Dahma given to the Agäw by the Gumuz of western Gojjam; the name may be a derivation from Dahna, a place-name which he locates in western Lasta, 'Popoli dell’Etiope occidentale: I Gunza', p. 248. Dähana is today one of the three districts of Wag, Journal of the Ministry of Interior, i, no. 3 (1961), p. 8. The Chronicler of Amdâ-Siyon also makes a reference to the 'governor of Dahna' next to the 'governor of Wag', Histoire des guerres d’Amde-Siyon, roi d’Étieope, ed. and tr. Perruchon, J., in JA, ser. 8, vol. xiv, pp. 293, 384.


⁴ See p. 23 n. 4 above.
patriarch that there is a Byzantine tradition of a vain attempt by the Emperor Constantius (337–61) to convert the Aksumite Church to his Arian persuasions.\footnote{1} The dangers were as great in the sixth century. John of Ephesus’s story of the intrigues and intensive competition between the Jacobite and Orthodox factions in Constantinople on the occasion of the conversion of Nubia\footnote{2} was characteristic of the whole period. Aksum seems to have participated actively in this struggle for episcopal influence, and the earliest Christian missionaries in the kingdom of Aloa are believed to have been Aksumite.\footnote{3} Within the realm of Aksum itself, it is very clear that, from the start, the kings and bishops encouraged the advent and settlement of Christian missionaries. The programme was probably intensified towards the end of the fifth century, when, as we have seen above, the traditions place the advent of the Nine Saints and the Ṣadqan. In these traditions the kings are closely associated with the conduct of the religious activities of the period. They assigned the new arrivals to suitable areas, they made generous endowments to the communities and to the religious schools established by them, and protected them from local anti-Christian ill-treatment.\footnote{4} They probably made recruits from among the young students of these communities, had them ordained, and sent them to staff new parishes established further afield. Similar encouragement was probably practised at the local level in the courts of the king’s officials. This probably continued until the prestige of the Church was sufficiently established for the local people to enter the ministry of their own accord. We have practically no documentation for the details of the life of the Church in this period. But it is quite clear that the essential doctrinal and liturgical traditions were securely established in the first four centuries of the history of the Aksumite Church. It is indeed due to the strength of these traditions that the Ethiopian Church was able to survive a long period of only intermittent contacts with Alexandria.\footnote{5}

\footnote{1} Kammerer, op. cit., pp. 105–6.
\footnote{3} Ibid., p. 69; Doresse, op. cit., p. 193.
\footnote{4} Gādlā Pāmtālēwōn (text) ed. Conti Rossini, pp. 44, 52–6, 59; Gādlā Arāgawi, ed. Guidi, pp. 68–9, 74. There is an echo of the royal protection given to these early missionaries against local ill feeling in the legendary campaigns conducted by Kāleb in the district of Bur in support of the Ṣadqan, Schneider, ‘Une page du Gadla Sadqan’, \textit{AE}, v (1963), pp. 168–9.
\footnote{5} Commenting on the obscure period of Ethiopian history before the 13th
Islam and the decline of Aksum

Kaléb's intervention in south Arabia was mainly undertaken at the invitation of the patriarch of Alexandria and the Emperor Justinian. However, Byzantine interest in the peninsula was not limited to the establishment of the Church there. The protection of the eastern trade from Persian monopoly constituted a major factor in Justinian's policy of securing the alliance of Aksum and her south Arabian dependencies. Aksumite readiness to co-operate in this was half-hearted, and proved completely ineffective against the superior economic and military prowess of the Persian empire. But it probably gave the Persians and their allies enough reason to strike hard at the maritime trade of Aksum in the Red Sea. Local traditions among the inhabitants of the islands and coastal settlements—formerly under Aksumite rule—refer to a period when they were dominated by a people called Furs, to whom many wells are attributed. This is probably a reference to the Persians. It is apparent that the first serious blow to Aksumite economic and political interests in the Red Sea was inflicted by the Persians during their ephemeral success over the Byzantine empire. Nascent Islam continued on this course, and in time ensured a more permanent withdrawal of effective Christian power from the coastal areas.

The amicable relations between the Prophet and the kingdom of Aksum during the period of his flight which have been preserved in Muslim traditions were only short-lived, and hostilities seem to have already started before his death in 632. Many incidents of maritime conflict between the Aksumites and the Muslims marked the whole of the seventh century. At first their longer experience in naval warfare apparently gave the Aksumites an advantage over their adversaries. It was not until the advent of the Umayyads and Mu'awiyya's century, Cerulli makes the following valuable remark: '... it seems to me, that this vacuum of centuries, which divides the inscriptions of King Daniel and the works of the early thirteenth century, is only apparent; and it only indicates a lacuna in the transmission of MSS. [from that period]...', Storia della letteratura etiopica, p. 35.

organization of a strong Muslim naval force that the balance of power began to change in favour of the Muslims.¹ Soon afterwards, at the beginning of the eighth century, the Dahlak islands were annexed, and began to serve as a distant place of political exile for prominent prisoners of state during both the Umayyad and Abbasid caliphates.² Even after this major defeat, however, the Aksumites seem to have continued the struggle and we have references to maritime conflicts as late as A.D. 770.³ But this was a hopeless struggle. During the period between the beginning of the seventh and the middle of the eighth centuries the Christians seem to have gradually lost their control of the maritime trade. The Byzantine merchants who resided in the Aksumite kingdom,⁴ on whom much of the international trade depended, probably left in the early stages of the conflict. The coastal settlements and other centres of trade declined, and the Aksumite kings seem to have stopped issuing coins towards the middle of the eighth century.⁵ Once again, the control of international trade in the Ethiopian region passed into the hands of the dominant group in the Red Sea area. Only this time it was the Muslim merchants who benefited by the change of the political situation.

There are no historical documents which can help us study what exactly was the effect of this development on the kingdom of Aksum itself. It is apparent, however, that, despite the reverses suffered on the coast, the kingdom held its own on the mainland. It is significant that the earliest tradition of a Muslim exile in the Red Sea area is that of Abu Mihgan banished to the port of Basa’ (= Bağ) by

³ Yusuf Faṣḥ Hasan, loc. cit.
⁴ The presence of such resident merchants in the kingdom is quite clear from the Periplus. Philostorgius refers to a Syrian colony near Aksum in the 4th century, quoted by Doresse, op. cit. i, p. 189. Cf. McCrindle, The Christian Topography, p. 56. According to Procopius, King Kaléb’s governor of south Arabia, Abrahām, was originally a slave of a Roman residing in Adults, History of the Wars, i. 20. 4.
caliph 'Umar in A.D. 634.¹ In an important article Crowfoot has identified Baği with a site near the present port of Aqiq just to the north of Ras Kassar, which is the frontier between Eritrea and the Sudan.² This tradition of a Muslim exile at Baği during the Orthodox Caliphate may define the northern limits of the Aksumite kingdom at the time.

Similar traditions for the Umayyad and Abbasid caliphates refer only to the Dahlak islands. Thus the direct relevance of Islam as a political factor in the mainland districts of the kingdom was minimal in this early period. Ethiopic inscriptions which Conti Rossini dates to the seventh century indicate the presence of effective Christian power in the hot lowlands of the Barka basin.³ The traditions collected by Munzinger of an ancient monastery (= agärä-nagran) in the region of Baqla in northern Eritrea probably belong to this period,⁴ when the inhabitants were still predominantly Christian. Nevertheless, the loss of the maritime trade must have reduced the wealth and power of the Aksumite kings and gradually slackened the effective control of their provinces. This probably led to local revolts,⁵

¹ Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 212.
³ ‘Documenti per l’archeologia eritrea nella bassa valle Barca’, RRAL, ser. 5, vol. xii (1903), pp. 139–47.
⁴ Quoted by Crawford, op. cit., pp. 104–5. The monastery is said to have been on the pilgrimage route to Suakin. Hagiographical traditions about 14th- and 15th-century pilgrims to Jerusalem do not refer to such important Christian sites north of the Bogos and Marya districts. It is also difficult to imagine a post-15th-century foundation for the monastery in this distant northern region.
⁵ Three inscriptions by an Aksumite king allude to such troubled days. Two of them bear the name of the king Ḥaṣānī Daniël, son of Dābbr-Pārām. One of these mentions an armed revolt of the 'people of Wälqayt' (already annexed by Ḫazana), who are said to have even marched against Aksum. The king and his troops suppressed the rising, which appears to have been widespread, Littmann, op. cit., Inscriptions 12, 13, and 14. Cerulli very cautiously dated these inscriptions between the 7th and 12th centuries, Storia della letteratura etiopica, p. 22. He does not give his reasons for this. But his views may have been influenced by the famous traditions of the pagan queen Guđift, and the political decline attributed to her revolt dated in the 10th century, which nevertheless seems too late a date for the general historical context of the inscriptions of Ḥaṣānī Daniël.
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and weakened the defence of the northern frontiers with the pagan Béja who appear to have gradually pushed their way into northern and central Eritrea.¹

Christian expansion in the regions of Amhara and Shāwa

As we have seen above, the Christian kingdom and the Aksumite Church had already been securely established in the heart of the Agāw country in the first quarter of the sixth century.² It was in this direction that the centre of gravity of the kingdom now began to shift. This development is quite apparent from an early Muslim tradition about the Aksumite king who was contemporary with the Prophet. His name is given as El Asham, son of Abdjär, and father of King Arma. El-Asham, the Negashi, had welcomed the companions of Muhammad whom the latter had sent to Aksum to take refuge from anti-Muslim persecutions in the Hijaz. When the news of the death of King El-Asham reached the Prophet in A.D. 630 he is said to have remembered him with affection and pronounced some prayers for him.³ This has apparently created the tradition that the king was in fact a convert to the new religion,⁴ and the tradition has in the end led to his being considered as a Muslim saint. In the sixteenth century, when Gragn’s triumphant army was on its way from Tāmbén to Agamé, the elated Muslim troops asked for their leader’s permission to visit the tomb of this friend of the Prophet.⁵ His name is here given as Ashamat En-Nedjachi (certainly a variant of Tabari’s El-Asham) and the tomb seems to be near Wiqro where there is still a site remembered as such by the local people.⁶ The significance of this is that we should have a tradition of the tomb of an early seventh-century king

² See pp. 25–9.
⁴ Trimmingham, op. cit., p. 46 n. 2.
⁶ Guida dell’Africa orientale italiana (ed. 1938), p. 300. The name of the king has apparently undergone an onomatopoeic transformation into Ahmad Negash, but the reference is to the same person. Doresse has found many traditions of ancient royal tombs in the region of Gār’alta, *L’Empire du Prêtre-Jean*, i, p. 185. For the genealogy of King El-Asham by Muslim writers and for attempts to identify him with some of the names in the traditional lists of Aksumite kings, cf. Hartmann, M., ‘Der Nagasi Asham und sein Sohn Arma’, in *ZDMG*, xlix. (1893), pp. 299–300; Basset, op. cit., p. 419 n. 2.
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(d. before the end of A.D. 630) of Aksum outside the ancient capital. Wqiro is about sixty-five miles south-east of Aksum, and the tradition of El-Asham’s burial there is strongly indicative of a general southward movement of the centre of gravity of the Christian kingdom already in the seventh century. It is impossible here to follow this southward expansion of the kingdom and the Church in great detail. This must await a close investigation into the traditions of the churches and their congregations in the crucial area of southern Tigré, Lasta, and Angot. From about the first quarter of the ninth century, however, both the fragmentary Arabic references to the Ethiopian region and the traditions of the Church show the kingdom definitely established well beyond the central highlands of Angot which serve as a great water divide for the three major river systems of the central plateau of Ethiopia. Here rise the upper waters of the Tākāzē, the Bashilo (which is a major tributary of the Blue Nile), and numerous other rivers flowing eastwards into the basin of the Awash. Strong traditional data show the Christian kings actively engaged in military campaigns of territorial expansion south of this central region in the ninth century. The traditions centre around a ‘king of Aksum’ remembered under the name of Digna-Jan:

During his reign he (who) led 150 priests from Aksum to Amhara and assigned them [to teach?] there. As he came out of Tigré he camped at Wäyna-Dāga (and had) with him 60 tabots . . . When he counted his troops there were found (among them) 180,150 dressed in coats of mail. Taking these he went to another country in Innarya, one month’s journey away . . .

Digna-Jan is noted for having ruled over a vast empire and he is elsewhere referred to as having travelled ‘from horizon to horizon’ in the course of a royal tour of his kingdom. It seems possible to obtain a chronological framework for this vigorous movement of territorial expansion and evangelization from a comparative study

1 ‘Tarikā-Nāgāst’, MS. Dima, f. 43a. D’Abbadie collected a shorter variant of this tradition in Bāgēmidir, Conti Rossini, ‘Il libro delle leggende e tradizioni abissine dell’Ecclesiastico Filipos’ in RRAL, ser. v, vol. xxvi (1917), pp. 706–7. The local orientation of the recital is clear from the location of the camp at Wäyna-Dāga in Bāgēmidir. No other places are mentioned between Tigré and Amhara, and this is no doubt due to the dominant post-Zagwé traditions of the Amhara Christians claiming direct descent from ancient Aksum. The specific mention of Innarya definitely shows that the tradition has been affected by events in the late medieval period and the exaggerations of the strength of the army are quite obvious. For all these, however, the essential value of the tradition as an indication of southern expansion remains strong.

2 Gādālā Yarēd, ed. Conti Rossini, p. 23 (text).
of the available traditions. According to the *Life of Takla-Haymanot* (c. 1215–1313) the advent of his ancestors to Amhara and Shāwa is connected with Digna-Jan’s programme of evangelization of his southern provinces. The reference to the king in this tradition may be related to the settlement of the earliest of the saint’s ancestors in Amhara eighteen generations before him.¹ This brings Digna-Jan to the first half of the ninth century.² It is also about the same time that local traditions refer to the foundation of the famous church of Dābrā Igziabhér on the mountain-top overlooking Lake Hayq in the north-east. Two apparently independent traditions are preserved in *Gādlā Ḥyāṣus-Mo’a* about the date of the foundation of this church. According to one of these traditions, the church was built by King Dilna’od and the Egyptian bishop Abunā Sālama II, who is said to have come to Ethiopia 618 years after the advent of Sālama I (Frēmnatsos), the first bishop sent to Ethiopia by the patriarch of Alexandria. The other tradition dates the event at 6362 Year of Creation. The two dates are remarkably close to each other, and both take the foundation of Dābrā Igziabhér to the third quarter of the ninth century.³ The chronicler of Ahmad Gragn also collected

¹ *The Life of Takla Haymanot*, ed. Budge, E. A. W., pp. 3–5 (text). Here the king is mentioned only with Yidla, the first ancestor of Tāklā-Haymanot who is said to have settled in Shāwa ten generations before. In this hagiographical tradition, which is essentially of Shāwan origin, there is a clear tendency to emphasize the importance of the Shāwan branch of the family, and the association of Yidla with Digna-Jan may very well be an alteration of a more ancient tradition. Moreover in both the versions of the tradition about the king quoted above there is no mention of Shāwa at all.

² It is interesting to note that there is reference in the *Life of Patriarch Yoṣef* (830–49) to an Ethiopian king at the time being engaged in a military expedition which kept him away from his court for some time. During the king’s absence the queen and her courtiers are said to have dismissed the Egyptian bishop, Yohannis, who had already been in the country before Yoṣef’s accession to the episcopal seat of Alexandria, Sawirus, *History of the Patriarchs of Alexandria*, ed. and tr. in *PO*, x (1915), pp. 508–9. Cf. also Renaudot, E., *Historia Patriarcharum Alexandrinorum* (1717), pp. 273, 283.

³ *Gādlā Ḥyāṣus-Mo’a*, ed. and tr. Kur, S., in *CSCO*, vol. 259, Script. Aeth. t. 49 (1965), pp. 18–19. The advent of Sālama I is a reference to the establishment of the church in Ethiopia, which Ethiopic chronological tables sometimes place at 245 E.C.; cf. Gr baut, S., ‘Table du complet’, in *ROC*, i (1918–19), p. 325. Thus the advent of Sālama II was equivalent to A.D. 870/1. 6362 Year of the Creation is also equivalent to A.D. 869/70. Conti Rossini’s copy of the *Gādl* probably omitted some words in the phrase ‘618 years after Sālama I’ and he has adopted a much earlier date, *Storia d’Etiopia*, pp. 262–3. Little credence can be given to the actual names of either the king or the bishop. The prelate is called after the first bishop to Ethiopia in an obvious attempt to emphasize the expansion of the Church in his time.
a similar tradition that the church was built 720 years before 1531 when the Muslim army destroyed it. This does in fact make the church about half a century older than in the above tradition.\(^1\) The ninth century was apparently a period of expansion for the Christian kingdom. According to Al-Yaqui\(^2\) (fl. 872), the Christian habasha had ‘mighty cities’ where the Arabs went ‘for trading’. The Jacobite Christian king of the habasha ruled over many other kings who obeyed him and who also paid taxes to him. His capital city was Ku’bar, a site which is still unidentified but which was probably somewhere in southern Tigré or in Angot.\(^3\) Christian traditions belonging to the same period of the ninth century also indicate that the capital of the kingdom had shifted in the direction of Lake Hayq.\(^4\)

It is quite clear from all these isolated notes that this period was marked by a continuous Christian expansion southwards.

One of the most characteristic aspects of the process of expansion was the establishment of military colonies in the newly conquered territories. It has been said above that the Agäw were the native inhabitants of the Ethiopian plateau north of the river Jäma.\(^5\) The immigrants from south Arabia settled in the northern part of this region and lived among the Agäw population, who gradually adopted the language of the settlers. These linguistically semitized natives later constituted the dominant section of the peoples of Aksum. As the kingdom acquired additional territories, large groups of these people were apparently recruited and settled in distant frontier stations. Here they intermarried with the local people and formed yet another semitized zone in the interior of the Agäw country, which was gradually passing into the sphere of Aksumite political influence.

It is apparent that all the Semitic linguistic groups south of the Tigré region had a similar origin. The Amhara tribal group is the most northerly of these communities and was probably the earliest to be established as such. Traditional material on the Ahmara is lacking and it is impossible here to give any specific dates for their origin. It


\(^3\) Cf. Taddesse Tamrat, op. cit., note 7.

\(^4\) Ibid., notes 5 and 6. This also seems to be confirmed by some remains of Christian settlements recently discovered near Kombolcha, only about 50 km. south of Lake Hayq, Gerster, G., *L’Art éthiopiens* (1968), p. 25 (pls. 9 and 10).

\(^5\) See p. 5 n. 4 above.
is most likely, however, that it belongs to the pre-Christian period of Aksumite history, and as we have seen above, the expansion of the kingdom in this direction was already in full swing during the intensive military activities of King Ṣeṣana. The earliest recorded tradition of Christian settlement in the region indicates that there was already a distinct Amhara population occupying the upper basin of the river Bashilo. The tradition seems to belong to the first half of the ninth century, eighteen generations before the days of Saint Täklä-Haymanot.¹ These later Christian immigrations further enhanced the semitization of the area between the Bashilo and the Jäma rivers. The story of Digna-Jan quoted above strongly implies that the expansion of the kingdom was undertaken as part of a definite programme of Christian settlement and evangelization. The kings built churches and established military colonies in their newly acquired provinces, and by the tenth century contemporary writers describe the kingdom as controlling a vast territory between the Dahlak islands and Zeila on the coast, and from the upper basins of the Ansaba-Barka rivers to the central Shäwan plateau in the interior.² In the region of the Ethiopian plateau itself this territory was much larger than in the Aksumite period. A new ethnic and linguistic group of people had also been added within the sphere of Christian military control. It is apparent that the Sidama, who were probably the original inhabitants of the Shäwan plateau,³ had already become tributary to the Christian kings by that time.

Pagan resurgence in the Shäwan region

The History of the Patriarchs of Alexandria makes a reference to a pagan queen of the Bani al-Hamwiyyah who led her people against the Christians in the tenth century.⁴ Conti Rossini has proposed the reading al-Damutah for the name of the people, and indicates that the queen was probably of Damoti (Sidama) origin.⁵ Her hostile activities are represented as a revolt of a vassal ruler and they had a

³ See p. 6 n. 1.
⁴ Savirus, op. cit., p. 171.
⁵ Storia d’Etiopia, p. 286. There are other references to female rulers in the Sidama region, cf. Bähaylä-Mika’el, Mäṣṣafä-Mişiratä-Sümay Wämidr, ed. in part and tr. Perruchon, J., in PO, i (1904), p. 25. Budge, The Book of the Mysteries
particularly religious undertone. The queen and her pagan followers destroyed churches and killed Christians. The Christian king himself probably lost his life in the conflict, and the queen appears not only to have restored the independence of her people from Christian rule, but she also seems to have been widely known as the most powerful ruler in the Ethiopian region for a long time:

The country of the habasha has been ruled by a woman for many years now: she has killed the king of the habasha who was called Hadani. Until today she rules with complete independence on her own country and the frontier areas of the territory of the Hadani, in the southern part of [the country of] the habasha.¹

There are specific indications of internal conflict and general decline in the first half of the tenth century which seem to account for the dramatic reversal of the fortunes of the Christian kingdom in the days of Ibn Haukal (fl. A.D. 943–77). It is about this time that we have the earliest recorded struggle for the succession of the Christian throne in which even the patriarchate of Alexandria seems to have actively participated. The story is related by the hagiographer of Patriarch Cosmas (933–42), and the episode appears to have practically rent the country in two contending factions.² The patriarch sent a new Egyptian bishop, Pétrus, to Ethiopia. The anonymous king who welcomed him was apparently an old man and died soon after the bishop’s arrival. The succession was contested by two of his sons, and Pétrus gave his blessing to the younger brother. Two other Egyptian monks, Mînas and Fiqṭor, who were in the country at the time, disagreed with the bishop and joined the other prince. As part of the propaganda warfare that followed, they produced forged letters from the patriarch supporting the principle of primogeniture

of Heaven and Earth (complete ed. and tr., 1935), p. 31 (tr.). Cf. also Cerulli, Il sultanato dello Scioa nel secolo XIII', in RSE, i (1941), p. 22. Zorzi collected a tradition in 1523 about the province of Wâjj, ‘where the inhabitants would have none but a queen to govern them’, Crawford, O. G. S., Ethiopian Itineraries c. 1400–1524, Hakluyt Society (1955), p. 161. This mention of the province of Wâjj is particularly interesting in the context. According to the chronicler of Ahmad Gragn there lived in the vicinity of Wâjj a tribe of formidable fighters called El-Maya. A small administrative unit in the district of Chaibo and Guragê still bears the name of Amâya, Journal of the Ministry of Interior, i, no. 2 (1961), p. 14.

¹ Ibn Haukal, op. cit., p. 56. The location of her centre of activities ‘in the south of Ethiopia’ according to Gaston Wiet’s latest translation of Ibn Haukal’s work is very interesting and goes to confirm Conti Rossini’s proposed identification of the queen’s origin.

in the royal succession and declaring that Pētrōs had come with false credentials. Mīnas claimed that he was himself properly ordained as bishop of Ethiopia. This weakened the other party, and the army rallied around the elder prince who was thus able to defeat his brother and cast him into exile. Pētrōs was also banished from his seat. In his place Mīnas was declared metropolitan of Ethiopia. When the news reached the patriarch, he excommunicated Mīnas for his uncanonical assumption of the ecclesiastical power. Anxious to make amends for these irregularities, the new king arrested Mīnas on the arrival of the patriarch’s letter and executed him. Then he ordered the return of Pētrōs to his rightful chair. But it was soon found out that Pētrōs had died in exile. It is apparent that the king asked for another bishop. The situation was clearly too embarrassing, and the patriarch refused to respond to his requests. Relations between the patriarchate and the royal court became very tense. Faced with such a deadlock, the king made an arbitrary decision to force a young (Egyptian) follower of the late metropolitan to fill the vacant seat in which he remained until the reign of Patriarch Filatéwos (979–1003).1

It is quite clear that the episode created much conflict and bad morale in the country. In Alexandrian circles the king was apparently held responsible for the death of Abunā Pētrōs. The hagiographer of Filatéwos later accuses him for ‘his falsifications and his fraud’.2 No doubt, his political enemies at home also had recourse to the same argument. Public dissatisfaction within the Church and at the court probably received ample expression in the guise of continued loyalties to the patriarch of Alexandria. This weakened the power of the king, and from the available chronological data it even appears that the revolt of the queen of the Hamwiyah took place towards the end of his reign.3 His own death in the conflict, and the military

---

1 The story is given in the Ethiopic Synaxarium with a number of interesting alterations clearly intended to absolve the Egyptian Christians from any responsibility in the episode. Thus the two monks Mīnas and Fiqiṭor are said to be Syrian renegades who had already been dismissed from the famous monastery of St. Anthony in the eastern desert. Cosmas’s refusal to ordain a new bishop in Peter’s place is also denied, and blamed on his successors, The Book of the Saints of the Ethiopian Church, tr. Budge, iii (1928), pp. 666–8.

2 Sawirus, op. cit., p. 171.

3 As we have seen above, he was the contemporary of Patriarch Cosmas (933–42). Ibn Haukal, who wrote his book in about A.D. 977, speaks of the queen in the following terms: ‘de nos jours elle [i.e. Ethiopia] est gouvernée par une reine depuis une trentaine d’années . . . ’, op. cit., p. 16. This brings her assumption of power to c. A.D. 945. It was probably an immediate successor of her adversary who wrote to King George of Nubia asking for his help in restoring relations with
reverses of the kingdom were taken as divine retribution for the sufferings of Abunä Péetros. In such an atmosphere of public apathy the pagan resurgence in the southern provinces against Christian rule was a complete success. The struggle against the pagan revolt in the south and the discontinuation of spiritual links with Alexandria had greatly weakened the kingdom. The letter to King George of Nubia pathetically underlines the dangers for the very existence of the Church in Ethiopia at the time:

... lest the Christian religion pass away and cease among us, for lo, six patriarchs have sat [on the Throne] and have not paid attention to our lands, but they [the lands] are abandoned without a shepherd and our bishops and our priests are dead, and the churches are ruined...¹

Through the good offices of King George of Nubia, Patriarch Filatéwos accepted the entreaties of the Ethiopians and sent them a new bishop, Daniél. He was received with much joy and the hagiographer adds that 'God... put an end to the affairs of the woman who had risen up against them.' The establishment of normal relations with Alexandria no doubt restored public confidence among the Christians, who could probably withstand more effectively the anti-Christian activities of the queen of the Hamwiyah. But it does not appear that there was an immediate return of Christian control over her southern dominions. The only remnants of former Christian supremacy in these parts were a number of advance groups of Semitic-speaking military colonies. Effectively isolated from the kingdom in the north, these military settlements maintained their linguistic identity in a completely Sídama environment and seem to provide an adequate explanation for the origin of the modern Haräri, Argobba, Guragé, and Gaffat.²

Trends of Muslim expansion in Ethiopia

For about a century and a half after the episode of the queen of the Hamwiyah, the effective political frontiers of the Christian kingdom were probably limited to the area north of the Jäma river. During the whole of this period relations with Egypt were close and regular. The chroniclers of the patriarchate of Alexandria took these contacts for granted and, unfortunately for us, they mentioned Ethiopian Alexandria broken by 'the king who [was] before him', Sawirus, loc. cit. It seems that the letter was sent in c. A.D. 980.

¹ Ibid., pp. 171–2.
² Conti Rossini aptly described these groups as 'etniche e linguistiche sovra-vivinze delle antiche conquiste', Storia d'Ethiopia, p. 282.
affairs only when conflicts arose or something unusual took place. In Ethiopia itself material for the study of the eleventh and twelfth centuries is highly limited. No doubt a close examination of local traditions in Angot, Lasta, and northern Amhara—the central parts of the kingdom at the time—will help fill the lacunae. However, despite the apparent weakness following the pagan reaction in the south, the general picture of the kingdom that emerges from the isolated references in the History of the Patriarchs is one of steady development and reconsolidation. Even the Coptic myth that the Ethiopian state was a strong champion of Egyptian Christendom finds its earliest expression in this period. And, towards the beginning of the twelfth century, there are definite signs of the expansion of the Ethiopian Church. In the reign of Patriarch Gäbriél (1131–45) the request of the Ethiopians for more than the usual number of bishops provided a new source of conflict between them and the patriarchate. It is also about this time that we find the Christians engaged, once again, in an active process of territorial expansion in the Shāwan region. A Muslim chronicle belonging to the region of eastern Shāwa preserves the tradition that early in A.D. 1128 the Amhara led an unsuccessful expedition in ‘the land of Wārjih’.

This is the first reference to the Wārjih, a pastoral people who had apparently lived in the vast semi-desert lowlands of the Awash valley east of the Shāwan plateau. The chronicler of King Amdā-Ṣiyon (1314–44) locates the Wārjih and Gābāl nomads ‘between the frontiers of Finṣātè and Biquzār’. Finṣātè is mentioned here for the first and last time and cannot be identified. Biquzār was, however, east of the river Awash and it was probably this region which was predominantly inhabited by the Wārjih and the Gābāl in the reign of Amdā-Ṣiyon. In the beginning of the twelfth century, when the

---

1 See p. 49 n. 1.
4 Cf. ibid., pp. 283, 432. The chronicler of Ahmad Gragn later placed it more than two days’ journey east of the Awash, Futuh al Habasha, tr. Basset, p. 94.
5 This seems to be quite clear from the Chronicle itself. Immediately after locating the Wārjih and Gābāl between Finṣātè and Biquzār, the author goes on to say that the land between the Awash and Zābir was a Muslim area, op. cit., p. 284 (text). Zābir was apparently a locality on the eastern edge of the plateau near Ifat, p. 292. Thus Amdā-Ṣiyon’s chronicler seems to make a distinction
armed conflict with the Amhara took place, the Wärjih probably inhabited a more extensive area as far west as the foothills of the Shàwan plateau. It is not certain if they had already become Muslims at the time. There is, however, a tradition of the conversion to Islam of a still unidentified people called Gbbah towards the end of the year A.D. 1108,¹ and it is very clear that Islam was becoming an important political factor in the area.

We have seen above that Aksum had already lost to the Muslims the control of the maritime trade in the Red Sea by the middle of the eighth century A.D. The spread of Islam in the Ethiopian region must have already started by then. On the mainland, the process was most active where Christian power was felt least—that is, in the coastal settlements and among the pastoral peoples of the vast semi-desert between the Red Sea coast and the edge of the plateau.² Thus, from the start, Islam in Ethiopia was particularly associated with trade and nomadism. Muslim traders operated freely throughout the Christian kingdom, and in time they completely replaced the former Byzantine merchants. At first they were probably of foreign origin. Al-Ya`qubi specially mentions Arab merchants,³ and by the time he

between the more sedentary Muslims occupying the land from the west bank of the Awash to the north-eastern edge of the Shàwan plateau, and the completely nomadic peoples east of the river. In the long list of Muslim rulers who leagued and fought against Amdà-Ṣiyon are also mentioned the 'rulers of Zàllan', and the 'rulers of Gàbbàl', pp. 322-3. It is most likely that here the Wärjih are meant by the Zàllan who, together with the Gàbbàl, were located east of the Awash.

¹ Cerulli, op. cit., p. 10 and n. 3. The similarity of sound between Gbbah in Cerulli's Arabic text and Gàbbàl in Amdà-Ṣiyon's chronicle is very tempting and suggests a possible identification. No serious topographical difficulties preclude the identification. If this is correct, the Wärjih nomads could have also been under a strong Muslim influence when they repulsed the Amhara expedition in their country in A.D. 1128, only twenty years after their neighbours, the Gàbbàl, had formally adopted Islam. Another identification of Gbbah, with Argobba, has been suggested by Trimmingham, Islam in Ethiopia, p. 62.

² Early references to the peoples of this lowland region are few and fragmentary. It appears, however, that the sharp difference between their nomadic life and that of the inhabitants of the plateau had taken shape already before the beginning of our era, cf. Strabo, Geography, 16. iv. 17. The author of the Periplus refers to them as Berbers in general, and, in the hinterland of the coast of the Gulf of Aden, he specially contrasts the political organization of the kingdom of Zoskales and their decentralized local democracy, Schoff, op. cit., pp. 25, 27. The inscription of Adulfis mentions two unidentified tribal names which seem to belong to this region: 'The tribes of Rhausi I next brought to submission: a Barbarous race spread over wide waterless plains in the interior of the frankincense country. (Advancing thence towards the sea) I encountered theсолate, whom I subdued, and left with instructions to guard the coast', McCrindle, op. cit., p. 63.

³ Historiae, ed. Houtsma (1883), i, p. 219.
wrote his geographical treatise (c. A.D. 889) commercial relations between the Ethiopian region and the centre of Islam in Iraq were well established. Similar relations with Yemen were particularly strong, and probably most of the Arab traders came from there. Their local partners were probably recruited from among the inhabitants of Dahlak and the coastal settlements. With these and a large group of caravan slaves they frequented the trade routes and the numerous village markets in the whole kingdom. Along these routes and in the market villages they established friendly relations with the local people and probably stationed their agents at regular intervals. The kings and their local officials encouraged these profitable commercial activities in the country, and the Muslim traders no doubt enjoyed official protection. It is apparent, however, that complete freedom of worship was not granted to the Muslims within the Christian provinces.

It seems that the problem became very acute with the conversion to Islam of an increasing number of local people in the important trading areas. This was particularly the case after the advent of the Fatimid caliphate in Egypt. Their meticulous organization and efficient system of religious propaganda had brought the Fatimids to absolute power in Egypt in A.D. 969, and, for the first time since the Roman conquest of the Ptolemies, Egypt acquired a completely independent political status in the eastern Mediterranean. This marked the beginning of a new period and the centre of gravity of Islam in the Red Sea area shifted once and for all from the region of ancient Mesopotamia to the delta of the river Nile. It is a reflection

4 For the routes and major items of trade involved, see below, pp. 80–9.
5 ‘... and they have mighty cities’, Al-Yaqubi, *Historiae*, loc. cit. ‘Les États (du Néjachi) ... renferment un grand nombre de villes’, Masudi, *Prairies d’or*, iii (1841), p. 34.
of this general phenomenon that Islam in Ethiopia grew particularly militant after the tenth century.

The whole region of the Near and the Middle East had acquired an unprecedented unity with the Arab conquest of Egypt and the eastern seaboard of the Mediterranean. The economic warfare between the Byzantine and Persian empires had become a thing of the past. The gradual movement of the centre of the Muslim empire from the Hijaz to Damascus and then to Baghdad had also reduced the relative importance of the Red Sea as the thoroughfare of the eastern trade. It is even apparent that the economic and political decline of Aksum was occasioned not so much by the anti-Christian activities of the Muslims, as by the sudden change in the value of the Red Sea trade with the eastern Mediterranean, on which the Aksumite state was essentially based. In the second half of the tenth century the establishment of the independent Fatimid caliphate in Egypt completely reversed the situation, and the old struggle for the control of the eastern trade began to be played in an essentially similar fashion as on the eve of the rise of Islam. This greatly enhanced the re-emergence of the Red Sea as a major channel of commerce. It is ironical that, in the area with which this book is concerned, the end result of this vital development was not only the rapid expansion of Islam but also the steady revival of the Christian kingdom of Ethiopia.

Like the Ptolemies and the Romans before and the Mamlukes after them, the Fatimids took a keen interest in both the Arabian and African coasts of the Red Sea. Before their advent to power, Kolzum had become the chief Egyptian port to the Red Sea, and both pilgrims and the supplies of grain to the Holy Cities left for the Hijaz from there. With the increased volume of trade in the Red Sea, however, the Fatimids extended their frontiers further south and the port of Aydab developed under their auspices as a major entrepôt of the eastern trade. Their political and religious envoys were very active in the Yemen and they finally succeeded in establishing the pro-Fatimid Sulaihi and Zura’i dynasties, which successively dominated the political scene from about 1037 to Salah-al-Din’s annexation of

Yemen in 1173. Unlike Salah-al-Din, the Fatimids did not annex Yemen, but only encouraged the establishment of a strong pro-Fatimid state which would not only undermine the caliphate in Baghdad but also facilitate Egyptian commerce with the East. They supplemented this by organizing a special fleet to protect the regular flow of trade in the Red Sea.¹

It is apparent that this gave a fresh impetus to the Muslim commercial activities that had been going on in the interior of the Ethiopian region. Just as in the Mamluke period some two centuries later, Fatimid protection was also extended to these inland traders. In this respect the spiritual dependence of the Christian kingdom of Ethiopia on the Patriarchate of Alexandria often created embarrassing situations. The increasing number of Ethiopian converts to Islam, mainly along the trade routes and in the market towns, demanded complete freedom of worship in the Christian provinces. They pointed out that throughout the Muslim world, and in Egypt in particular, Christians were tolerated and even protected as *Dhimmis*. They claimed similar rights of public worship within the realms of the Ethiopian kingdom. It seems that these requests were met with the adamant refusal of the Christian kings and the tenacious opposition of the local clergy. Naturally, the Ethiopian Muslims approached Cairo through their commercial associates, and appealed to the Fatimids to put some pressure on the kingdom to grant them reciprocal rights of worship as were enjoyed by the Copts. Thus there began the historic role of Egypt as the champion of Islam in Ethiopia, a position first assumed by the Fatimid caliphate, and which has characterized Ethio-Egyptian relations throughout the centuries.

To carry out their responsibility to the Muslims in Ethiopia, the Fatimids hit hard on the most sensitive chord in Ethiopian Christendom. Not only did they press the patriarchs to send messages enjoining the Ethiopian kings to protect Muslim rights, but also interfered in the actual selection of the Egyptian candidate to be ordained as metropolitan of Ethiopia. An important incident related in the *History of the Patriarchs* indicates that the problem had assumed a serious character in the second half of the reign of Caliph Al-Mustansir (1035–94).² Badr al-Jamal, the Armenian, whom the

¹ Hasan, op. cit., p. 72.
caliph had appointed Amir al-Juyush in 1073 was the central figure in the incident which took place between this date and A.D. 1089.

Not long before 1077, the Egyptian bishop in Ethiopia, Fiqltor, died, and another Egyptian called Abdun presented himself at the Ethiopian court, pretending that he was sent by the patriarch to succeed him as Cyril, metropolitan of Ethiopia. It had been customary that, on the death of a metropolitan, the king should send an official delegation to Egypt asking for a replacement and the process usually took a long period of time. The sudden dispensation of this age-long convention, and the unexpected arrival of the pretender probably aroused suspicions in the Court and among the Ethiopian clergy over the claims of Abdun. An Ethiopian delegation was probably sent to Egypt to obtain a more tangible proof for Abdun’s claims. Abdun also sent his own agents with the delegation and made secret representations to Badr al-Jamal through a man named Ali al-Kifti. He requested the Amir al-Juyush to command Patriarch Christodulus to confirm the episcopal seat on him. In return he promised to send a handsome annual tribute in gold, and to improve the conditions of the life of the Muslims in Ethiopia. This greatly impressed the Amir al-Juyush and he forced the patriarch to accede to the wishes of Abdun. Even the method used for his intended ordination was unorthodox:

... there had been arranged the journey of Abba Mercurius, bishop of Wisim, to the lands of Abyssinia with a letter from the patriarch and one of his vestments to clothe the aforesaid Cyril, and to make him metropolitan, and the father, the patriarch, had wept when he was obliged [to do] this ...  

Unfortunately for Abdun, just on the eve of the departure of this mission to confirm him, his arch-supporter at the Amir’s Court, Ali al-Kifti, was disgraced for another intrigue in which he was also involved regarding Nubia, and he was summarily executed. This brought the patriarch back to the Amir’s favour and ‘the affair of Cyril was cancelled’.

The self-styled metropolitan was the only loser in this drama.  

---

1 Sawirus, op. cit., p. 317.
2 Together with his protestations in favour of Abdun, Ali also accused the Egyptian bishop in Nubia of anti-Muslim acts. Enraged at this, Badr al-Jamal cast the patriarch into prison until another of his envoys returned from Nubia and reported the falsity of Ali’s charge, ibid., pp. 316-17.
3 Abdun remained in Ethiopia until the arrival of the rightful metropolitan, Sawairos. Then he fled to the Dahlak islands, where he was taken prisoner by the
Badr al-Jamal had no intentions of withdrawing the favourable conditions proposed by Abdun during his short misadventure. He imposed them on the next bishop, Sawiros, properly ordained and sent to Ethiopia by Patriarch Cyril (1077–92). Sawiros was a nephew of Fiqiṭor, the deceased metropolitan, and he had been brought up by him in Ethiopia. He knew the country very well and had probably established close friendly relations at the royal Court during his stay there with his uncle. He is also described as 'a learned man' and all these characteristics probably gave him much influence both in Ethiopia and at the Patriarchal court in Cairo. For the purposes of Badr al-Jamal he was apparently thought to be the best candidate and was recommended to the patriarch for the ordination. On this occasion he is said to have made many promises to the Amir al-Juyush, '... that he would continue (to supply) him with presents from (Ethiopia) and would allow the kings to obey him'.

In October 1088 the bishop sent his brother, Rigal, to Egypt with a gift to the Amir al-Juyush. The Amir was not impressed by it and threw Rigal into prison. Then he angrily summoned the patriarch and the other bishops who were in Cairo at the time, and reproached them for what he called the bad faith of the metropolitan of Ethiopia. He accused him on three major charges: that he failed to raise the stipulated amount of tribute; that he did not build mosques for the Muslims in Ethiopia; and that he did little to protect the traders in that country where 'they have begun... to waylay the Muslim merchants and others than them'. The Amir demanded that the patriarch send a delegation of two bishops with an official letter ordering the Ethiopians to fulfil all the above requirements. Until the patriarch and his assembly of bishops agreed to do this he put them under house arrest. It is apparent that they courageously resisted his wishes for a long time. It was not until February 1089 that they agreed among themselves to dispatch the two bishops with a patriarchal letter. We are not told of the contents of the letter, but the Amir is said to have been pleased with it, and he had it sent to Ethiopia local Muslim ruler, who confiscated all his possessions and sent him to Cairo. There he was condemned and executed at Badr al-Jamal's orders, probably in A.D. 1086, ibid., p. 329. Renaudot, op. cit., p. 453. I have here given preference to Renaudot's date of the execution. It is probable that there was a difference in the MSS. consulted by him and those used by the editors of the History of the Patriarchs, where the date is A.D. 1143/4, half a century after the death of Badr al-Jamal himself.

1 This may have facilitated the reforms in the matrimonial customs of the people which he enthusiastically reported to the patriarch, ibid., pp. 329–30.
together with his own. The Amir’s message was short and to the point: ‘If thou dost not do thus and thus, I shall demolish the churches which [are] in the land of Egypt.’ It seems, however, that the delegation came to nothing, and the Ethiopian king is said to have replied in similar vein to the Amir’s threatening letter.¹

The Egyptian bishop in Ethiopia held a difficult position. Technically he was a subject of the Muslim rulers of Egypt. Every act unfavourable to Muslim interests in his diocese with which he might be charged, closely affected the patriarch and the Christian community in general and his own relations in particular. There was, besides, an apparent misunderstanding in Cairo of the extremely limited powers of the metropolitan. In Ethiopia, the bishop was naturally expected to provide a strong leadership for the religious feelings of his congregation. Any sign of weakness or moderation would cost him not only his reputation among the influential clergy but even his episcopal seat.² When the problem involved Muslim interests in the country, his views would be received with apparent suspicion and would carry very little weight. This delicate situation in which their envoys to Ethiopia had to live was clearly understood by the patriarchs, who persistently disclaimed any responsibility for the political acts of an independent kingdom of which they were merely the spiritual heads. When Badr al-Jamal reproached him for the alleged insecurity of Muslim traders in Ethiopia, Patriarch Cyril meekly replied, ‘O my lord, what have I to do with waylaying? Am I a watchman (Khafir)?’³ In Ethiopia, too, it seems quite clear that the kings had given much cognizance to the sensitive position of the metropolitan, and had exempted him from direct public involvement in their strong anti-Muslim policies, particularly after 1270.⁴ Even

¹ Ibid., p. 351. The Ethiopian king’s reply is said to have been: ‘If thou demolish a single stone of the churches, I shall carry to thee all the bricks and stones of Mecca, and I shall deliver all of them to thee, and if a single brick of it (Mecca) is missing, I shall send to thee its weight in gold.’ Although there is no doubt that the terms of Badr al-Jamal were rejected at the Christian Court in Ethiopia, the tradition of the language of this reply seems to have been strongly affected by the apparent belief of the Copts that they had in the Ethiopian state a strong champion of their faith. The theme recurs throughout the medieval period.

² The temporary dismissal of Bishop Yohannis was apparently occasioned by a controversy on the institution of circumcision, Sawirus, op. cit., pp. 508–11. Renaudot, op. cit., pp. 273, 283–8. Later in the reign of Patriarch Mika’el (1092–1102) Bishop Giyorgis was dismissed for apparently more serious charges of ‘infamous affairs and . . . vile deeds (which) did not become his rank’, Sawirus, op. cit., p. 394.

³ Ibid., p. 349.

⁴ This point emerges from the fact that neither the available chronicles nor the
for the difficulties of the expansion of Islam in Ethiopia, the metropolitan could fully declare his innocence and blame them on the religious fanaticism of the people. This seems to offer an explanation for the unlikely story reported in the History of the Patriarchs that Bishop Sawiros had built mosques for the Muslims in Ethiopia sometime before 1089. At the audience he granted the patriarch and his bishops in February 1089, Badr al-Jamal asked Rigal why his brother, Bishop Sawiros, did not build the four mosques he had agreed to erect in Ethiopia before his ordination. Rigal replied:

'O my lord, he built seven mosques in the places where it was possible to build [them], and . . . the Abyssinians demolished them and wished to kill him, and . . . when the news of this reached the king, he arrested the metropolitan and imprisoned him.'

Egyptian protection of Muslim interests was only a myth, and did not constitute a crucial factor in the expansion of Islam in Ethiopia. Their own economic strength, arising from their almost complete monopoly of long-distance trade, gave the Ethiopian Muslims a growing influence in the Christian kingdom, and eventually led to the establishment of small Muslim principalities in the peripheral areas in the south.

Except in the islands of Dahlak, the growing political significance of Islam in the Ethiopian region was a post-tenth-century development. Masudi (fl. 946–56) clearly indicates this situation in his short description of the land of the habasha: 'The Muslim families who live there are tributary to the indigenous people.' For over three centuries after the rise of Islam and the establishment of the extensive Muslim empire from the Atlantic to central Asia, this remained the political status of Islam in Ethiopia. As we have seen above, their commercial activities in the region constituted the raison d'etre of these Muslim families. By the second half of the tenth century their important role as a link between the region and the outside world had already been recognized, and, no doubt through their agency, hagiographical traditions about armed conflicts with the local Muslims make any reference to the part played by the Egyptian bishop. The important role of praying for the army when it set out on an expedition is assumed in these traditions by the local saints, cf. Acta Sancti Mercurii, ed. and tr. Conti Rossini, in CSCO, Script. Aeth., ser. altera, t. xxii, pp. 38–9 (text). Güdlé Samú'eł (Waldibba), ed. and tr. Turaiiev, B., in his Monumenta Aethiopiae Hagiologica, fasc. ii (1902), pp. 14–15. Les Chroniques de Zar'a Ya'qob et de Ba'eda Maryam, ed. and tr. Perruchon, pp. 99, 133–4.

1 Sawirus, op. cit., p. 350.
2 Les Prairies d'or, iii, p. 34.
the Ziyadite rulers of Yemen had contracted treaties of commerce and friendship with the Christian kingdom on the one hand¹ and with the pagan peoples in the south on the other.

Muslim penetration of the pagan south became a new and dominant factor in the political history of the region after this period. We have already referred to the withdrawal of effective Christian power from the Shāwan region following on the successful pagan revolt led by the queen of the Bani al-Hamwiyah. Ibn Haukal's invaluable information about Ethiopia at the time also indicates that the queen had established amicable relations with the Ziyadite ruler of Yemen: 'The queen of the habasha also sends him presents of good will, presents which are always offered to him. . . .'² Al-Yaqubi considered the Dahlak islands as the major outlet of trade of the Ethiopian region,³ and only makes an incidental reference to the port of Zeila in his geographical treatise written two decades later.⁴ It is apparent that in the days of Masudi and Ibn Haukal the importance of Zeila had considerably increased, and fresh lines of communication had been opened between the interior of southern Ethiopia and the Gulf of Aden. The new direction of contact was characterized by the extensive, hot, lowland plains between the Ethiopian plateau and the sea coast. It is certainly to this tract of land that Ibn Haukal refers as 'the wilderness and the deserts difficult to cross'.⁵

The indefatigable Muslim traders crossed these difficult roads and began the commercial exploitation of the rich natural resources of southern Ethiopia. We have no means of following in greater detail the activities of these traders and the early development of Islam in the region. The earliest Muslim tradition in eastern Shāwa is a record of the death of an apparently pagan ruler, Queen Badit, 'daughter of Maya' in A.D. 1063.⁶ The prevalence of female rulers in the few

¹ Ibid., p. 35. The only ruler mentioned here by this author is the Christian Nejashi, who had trade agreements with Ibrahim b. Ziad, chief of Zabid: 'En vertu du traité d'amitié qui unit les deux pays . . . '. For the year A.H. 366 (= A.D. 977), Umrah also refers to the Christian king's relations with the ruler of Zabid: 'The kings of the Abyssinians, on the further side of the sea, sent him offerings of presents and sought his alliance'. Yaman, its Early Medieval History, p. 8 (tr.).
³ Historiae, p. 219.
⁵ Op. cit., p. 56. It is quite possible that he obtained his notes about the queen 'in the south of Abyssinia' through these new routes, and he seems to have had more information about her than he cared to relate: 'On raconte sur elle des choses curieuses', ibid., p. 16.
⁶ Cerulli, E., 'Il sultanato dello Scioa', p. 10 n. 1. According to the same
available traditions about the region has already been referred to, and even the genealogy of this ruler seems to recall to mind the queen of the Bani al-Hamwiya mentioned in the History of the Patriarchs. It is most likely that the mention of the ‘daughter of Maya’ in Cerulli’s document refers to a period when the Muslim traders were attached to the Courts of the local pagan rulers and mainly engaged in commercial activities. In the main centres of these economic activities they probably gained, from among the local people, an increasing number of followers who gradually adopted their religion. It is clearly part of this development that they should have an early tradition of a major conversion to Islam in the land of Gbbah at the beginning of the twelfth century. Significantly this almost coincided with the renewed Christian attempt at southern expansion. Thus began the long struggle between the Christians and Muslims for the control of southern Ethiopia.

The Muslims entered the struggle at a disadvantage. The prestige of the Christian kingdom as the strongest power in the Ethiopian region since the Aksumite period was already established. Much of the area had been under its control in the ninth and early tenth centuries. In the whole of this period the Muslims had only been active as long-distance traders, with little or no political influence of their own, and often under the protection of the Christian kings and their local vassals. Even after the queen of the Bani al-Hamwiya won back her independence from the Christians, the dominant section of the remnants of the former military colonies no doubt continued to look towards the Aksumite kingdom as a place of their distant origin. It is apparent that, at least in the region of eastern Shāwa, the descendants of these ancient Aksumite colonies provided the most important settled community in which Islam began to flourish.\(^1\) Islam was a late-comer in the area, and even after the

chronicle the so-called Mahzumite dynasty is said to have ruled from the end of the ninth century until its final overthrow by the Wālasma ruler of Ifat, ibid., pp. 13, 35. The contemporary Arab writer, Masudi, clearly underlines, however, that before the middle of the tenth century, the Muslims were living in the region as clients of the local (pagan or Christian) rulers, op. cit. iii, p. 34.

\(^1\) From an analysis of the names of the princes Cerulli has made an interesting conclusion that a Semitic Ethiopian language was spoken in the region of Ifat and eastern Shāwa, ‘Il sultanato dello Scioa’, pp. 32–4. Cf. also his Studi etiopi: i, Harar, p. 19. Although he also states that at least fifty different languages were spoken in the whole of the Ethiopian region, Al-‘Umari nevertheless gives, in the case of Ifat, a number of still recognizable words, Masalik, pp. 8 n. 2; 9 n. 5; 10 n. 7; 11 n. 2; 21.
establishment of a number of Muslim principalities, it could never get rid of the stigma of being a second-rate power in the Ethiopian region.  

Moreover, the twelfth century, which is the period of the earliest traditions of active Muslim proselytization in southern Ethiopia, was also marked by the revival of the Christian kingdom in the north.

The Zagwé dynasty: a period of Christian revival

After the decline of Aksum, the Christian kingdom had centred around the region of southern Tigré and Angot. Its population consisted mainly of the Tigrä and Tigrigna speaking tribes in the north, the Christianized Agäw of Lasta and Wag, and the Amhara who inhabited the expanding zone in and south of Angot. At this stage of the history of the kingdom the main difference between these people was perhaps only linguistic. The northern people, who spoke Tigrä and Tigrigna, constituted the dominant section of the population in the Sabean and Aksumite periods. It seems that during the expansion of the Aksumite kingdom into the Agäw plateau south of Tigré, a narrow semitized corridor had been created in the region of Angot. It consisted of the great watershed between the basins of the Täkäzé and the Awash, and was apparently used as a major passage to the region of Amhara. Immediately to the west of this corridor lived the Agäw people of the broken country of Wag and Lasta. It has already been suggested that Aksumite pressure of expansion on this area had started early, and King Kaléb’s ‘governor of Agäw’ mentioned by Cosmas probably had his headquarters there. It is apparent that, despite the early progress of the Church among them, the compact and inaccessible nature of the area, which is almost totally enclosed by the rivers Šällārī and Täkäzé, had preserved the tribal and linguistic identity of the local people. This appears to have been the case even long after the spearhead of the Aksumite expansion had outflanked these people and almost encircled them with a semitized zone extending from southern Tigré through the highland districts of Angot, and stretching across the basin of the river Bashilo, south of the upper Täkäzé. However, with the decline of Aksum and

---

1 This status of the Muslims in the area was also recognized by the Mamlukes in Egypt, and according to Al-'Umari ‘the [Mamluke] chancery was never in correspondence with the seven Muslim kings [in the country of the habasha] there was no protocol, therefore, [about them]’, ibid., p. 33.

the establishment of the centre of the kingdom in the region of Angot, it is clear that the Christian Semitic pressure on Wag and Lasta became redoubled, and the local chiefs were completely integrated with the royal Christian court. After more than three hundred years of such a close association with the descendants of the ancient Aksumite kings, a new Christian dynasty emerged in the first half of the twelfth century and took control of the whole kingdom, deriving much of its political support from Lasta.

It has been known in traditional history as the Zagwé dynasty and it has been critically examined on many occasions, especially by Conti Rossini.¹ The circumstances of the advent to power of the Zagwé kings are very uncertain, and the traditions of the event that have survived are only brief and incomplete. An interesting version attributes the dynastic change to a marriage between a daughter of the last Aksumite king and one of his generals who came from Bugna in Lasta. The general rebelled against his father-in-law, killed him in battle, and usurped the throne.² This is apparently a non-official version,³ and clearly underlines the continuity of the Ethiopian political tradition even in the emergence of the Zagwé dynasty. The rise of the Zagwé dynasty did not represent a break in the Aksumite tradition. For over three centuries the centre of the Christian kingdom was on the doorsteps of Wag and Lasta, and it was from here that it controlled its extensive sphere of influence in the ninth and early tenth centuries. The local traditions already referred to indicate that the earliest attempts to evangelize in the area may have started

³ Four of the Zagwé kings, Yimhra-Kristos, Lalibâla, Nà’akuto-Là’ab, and Yitbaràk have hagiographies, two of which are still unpublished. None of these works mentions the above tradition of matrimonial connections. All of them were composed after the downfall of the Zagwé dynasty, of which the origin is simply attributed to the wrath of God on an excessively arrogant Aksumite king, ‘Gàddlà Yimhra-Kristos’, MS., Lalibâla, Bétà-Maryam, ff. 40–2. Cf. also Gàddlà Yarêd, ed. Conti Rossini, p. 23 (text). The tendency in these later official traditions is to show that the Zagwé kings were of a completely alien family who usurped power from the rightful princes of the House of Isra’el, ‘Gàddlà Yimhra-Kristos’, f. 42²; Gàddlà Lalibâla, ed. and tr. in part Perruchon (1892), p. 62.
in the sixth century. Within this long period, the Church was securely established in Wag and Lasta, and it is apparent that by the eve of the rise of the Zagwé dynasty many of the local people had already taken active part in the religious, political, and military leadership of the kingdom. A close review of the few available historical notes on the period shows no signs of a sudden and dramatic advent to power of a completely new cadre of leadership in the country. It rather seems that the assumption of royal powers by the princes of Bugna was only the culmination of a natural political development within the Christian kingdom of which the central parts had long consisted of the crucial area of the headwaters of the rivers Täkäzé and Šälläri.

Conti Rossini used an incident preserved in the History of Patriarch Yohannis (1147–67) as part of his evidence for dating the rise of the Zagwé dynasty. An Ethiopian king wrote to the patriarch and the Egyptian vizir, Ali ibn Sallar (d. 1153), asking for the replacement of the aged metropolitan, Abba Mika’él. The vizir authorized the patriarch to send a new bishop. But the patriarch refused, on the grounds that it was not canonical to ordain a replacement for a living metropolitan, and he was imprisoned for it. The hagiographer also adds that the actual reason for the Ethiopian request was not that Mika’él was too old for his office, but that he had refused to recognize the king who was a usurper. Conti Rossini took this as a reference to the first Zagwé king, and used it as confirming the date of the advent of the dynasty in about A.D. 1150. But there is no

---

1 See p. 26 n. 1
2 Renaudot, op. cit. p. 525; Conti Rossini, ‘Lettera a J. Halévy’ (1903) p. 328; Storia d’Etiopia, p. 303.
3 There are two conflicting traditions for the duration of the dynasty. The more common version is that it lasted for 333 years until the advent of Yikunno’Amlak in 1270. The other version gives it only 133 years. Conti Rossini prefers the latter, essentially because it can easily be reconciled with the short list of Zagwé kings given in the traditions, cf. ‘Il libro delle leggende’, pp. 707–8. In two important land grants Lalibäla gives his genealogy as ‘Son of Morara, son of Žan-Siyum, son of Asda’, id. ‘L’Evangelo d’oro di Dabra Libanos’, RRAL, ser. 5, vol. x (1901), pp. 186, 189. Mărara is elsewhere said to have been the first Zagwé king, Gâdâl Yaré, ed. Conti Rossini, p. 23 (text). The name of the general who married the daughter of the last Aksumite king and who founded the new dynasty is usually given as Mărä Täklä-Haymanot. It is very likely that both this name and Mărara refer to the same person. It is apparent that the curious system of succession to the throne has been the reason for the long list of Zagwé kings available in some traditions, cf. Conti Rossini, Storia d’Etiopia, pp. 305–6. It seems that the throne rarely passed from father to son, but either from brother to brother or from uncle to nephew, cf. Gâdâl Lalibäla, ed. and tr. Perruchon,
evidence for a ‘strong resistance . . . at first offered to the new dynasty by the clergy under Abunä Mika’él’.¹ The metropolitan was probably sent to Ethiopia in the first years of the reign of Patriarch Māqaryos (1102–31),² and had become very old by the middle of the century. He had also already been an object of a controversy before a replacement was asked for. In the reign of Patriarch Gābriël (1131–45), the Ethiopian king asked Abba Mīka’él to ordain more than the prescribed number of seven bishops in Ethiopia. But the metropolitan declined to do this without patriarchal authorization from Cairo. The caliph apparently agreed. However, Patriarch Gābriël explained to the caliph the implications of the Ethiopian Church having more than seven bishops. If the number reached ten, the Ethiopians would in future be able to appoint their own metropolitan, or even a patriarch, and would thus be completely independent of Egyptian influence. When he heard this the caliph reversed his decision and agreed with the patriarch in his refusal to let the Ethiopians have any more bishops.³ Thus, both his old age and the earlier controversy surrounding his name were sufficient reason for the Ethiopian request to replace Abba Mika’él. The incident certainly indicates that there was a succession struggle at the advent of the new king. But it had

pp. 32–40. Güdlä Nī’akuto-Lā’ab, ed. and tr. Conti Rossini, pp. 114–16, 147–8, 150. However, some lists also include the princes who did not occupy the throne. It seems possible now to provide a tentative genealogy of the princes with the help of additional notes from the unpublished ‘Gādḻa Yimra-Kristos’, ff. 2–4. In the following table the names of the crowned princes are in capitals. The numbers signify the order of succession:

(1) MĀRARA = ? Mäsobä-Wärq

(2) ṬÄNTÄWIDIM

| Girwa-Siyum |
| Žan-Siyum |

(3) YIMRHA-KRISTOS

(4) HARBE

(5) LALĪBĀLA

(6) NÄ’AKUTO-LĀ’AB

(7) YITBARĀK

¹ Trimingham, *Islam in Ethiopia*, p. 56.

² Renaudot, loc. cit. About the end of the reign of Patriarch Mika’él (1092–1102), Bishop Giyorgis had been sent to Ethiopia and had been deposed soon afterwards, cf. p. 49 n. 2, above. It is probable that Bishop Mika’él was his immediate successor, ordained soon after the accession of Mäqaryos.

³ Renaudot, op. cit., pp. 510–11. The story is altered in the Ethiopic translation, which was undertaken at the auspices of the Egyptian bishops. Here the role of the patriarch in the reversal of the caliph’s decision is suppressed. On the contrary he is said to have been willing to grant the permission, were it not for the courtiers of the caliph who opposed such a measure, Budge, *The Book of the Saints of the Ethiopian Church*, pp. 800–1.
none of the drastic effects of the conflict between the two brothers who fought for their father's throne in the reign of Patriarch Cosmas.\(^1\) The new king referred to may have been the first prince of Bugna to occupy the throne, but there is no sign of a dramatic episode at the time of his accession. Like all Ethiopian monarchs before and after him, he had a local base for power.\(^2\) The region of Lasta, from which he derived much of his support, differed from the rest of the central parts of the kingdom in one important factor. The local people, although as much Christian and part of the Aksumite cultural tradition as the peoples of Tigré and Amhara, had apparently preserved their linguistic identity and used Agāwigna outside the church.\(^3\) Essentially based on this linguistic difference, the Zagwé kings have been dismissed, in the dominant traditions of their political enemies, as an alien and impious group of adventurers. This has long obscured what is perhaps the richest and most artistic period of Ethiopian history since the conversion of Ţezana. The advent of the Zagwé dynasty brought in fresh developments in the revival of the Christian kingdom for which there are noticeable indications at the turn of the twelfth century.

Relations with Egypt and the patriarchate were not affected by the Mika'el incident, and there was a regular supply of Egyptian bishops to Ethiopia. Abba Mika'el apparently remained in the country until his death, and must have had at least one successor before the end of the century.\(^4\) An Ethiopian delegation sent with a letter and presents to Caliph Al-Adid (1160–71) was later received in 1173 by Salah-al-Din, who was greatly impressed by the presents.\(^5\) The Arab historian

---

\(^1\) Cf. p. 39 n. 3.

\(^2\) This seems to be quite clear right from the Aksumite period. Many of the kings specifically mention what appears to be their regional or tribal origin in their inscriptions and coins, Littmann, *Deutsche Aksum-Expedition*, i, pp. 46–7; iv, Inscriptions 8, 9, 10, and 11; Kammerer, *Essai*, pp. 154–9.


\(^4\) In A.D. 1199/1200 a delegation arrived in Cairo announcing the death of a metropolitan and asking for a new one, Abd al-Latif, *Relation de l’Égypte*, tr. Silvestre de Sacy (1810), p. 334. This cannot be a reference to Mika’el’s death, since he was already too old in c. 1150.

is silent about the purpose of the delegation. But an interesting passage in the Life of the third Zagwé king probably refers to the same period:

And... Yimrha sent a message to the king of Egypt saying: 'Send me the wooden door which is in your Great Hall so that it may serve me for [building] the House of God.' And he sent him much gold. When the king [of Egypt] saw the gold... he sent him the door [which was cut out of the tree of Libanos].

Yimrha inaugurated the Zagwé tradition of building rock-hewn churches, and his communication with the ruler of Egypt about building material probably indicates a fresh revival of the long and close cultural contacts between the two countries. There are further references to a number of foreign ecclesiastics who went to Ethiopia in Yimrha's reign and remained there after his death. The number of Ethiopian pilgrims to the Holy Land also seems to have increased. In 1189, at his triumphant occupation of Jerusalem, Salah-al-Din is said to have given many sites to the Ethiopians there. We still know very little about the cultural and religious impact of this two-way traffic on Ethiopia. But at no time does the Holy Land seem to have captured the imagination of the Ethiopians so much as in this period, which Conti Rossini so aptly characterizes as 'the dawn of a new period in Ethiopian literature'. The beautiful churches of

1 'Gädl Yimrha-Kristos', f. 39. It is apparent that the first European who saw a copy of this Gädl was Álvarez, who gives a brief but accurate description of it, *The Prester John of the Indies*, ed. Beckham and Huntingford (1961), p. 204. Mariano Victor had also been given some excerpts of the Gädl by his informant Abba Täsfi-Siyon (d. 1550) in Rome. In his list of Ethiopian kings he gives another version of the passage quoted above, cf. Perruchon, 'Notes pour l'histoire d'Éthiopie', *RS*, i (1893), pp. 368–9. Of all the known hagiographies of the Zagwé kings this Gädl is the most interesting for many of the historical traditions it preserves. Álvarez's testimony indicates its pre-16th-century composition, and internal evidence shows that it was written after 1270. The MS. I could consult in Lalibálá was however a recent (probably 19th-century) copy. King Yimrha was probably reigning at the end of the Fatimid caliphate and in the early years of the Ayyubids. Abu Salih, who refers to events in 1173/4 as having occurred in his own life time, reports that Ethiopia was ruled by kings who were also priests, *The Churches and Monasteries of Egypt*, pp. x, 286. In Ethiopian traditions this description only fits Yimrha, who was ordained a priest before his coronation and continued to celebrate mass after his accession, 'Gädl Yimrha-Kristos', ff. 8–10, 308; Álvarez, op. cit., p. 204.

2 'Gädl Yimrha-Kristos', f. 35. Here they are called 'Romans'. Álvarez was told that one of the tombs beside the king's belonged to a 'patriarch of Alexandria', loc. cit. He also says that the local people told him that the rock churches of Lalibálá were built by Egyptian masons, op. cit., p. 227.


4 *Storia d'Etiopia*, p. 306.
Lalibälä were in fact a deliberate attempt to reproduce the Holy City of Jerusalem in the mountains of Wag and Lasta.¹

It is no doubt a reflection of the close and regular contacts with Egypt at the time that we should have a remarkable corroboration between the historical notes about King Lalibälä in his own land grants² and in the History of the Patriarchs.³ His reign⁴ marked the apex of the Zagwé dynasty. The Zagwé kings had their capital at a place called Adäfa, just outside the present site of the Lalibälä churches.⁵ In Lalibälä's reign the metropolitan also lived at a site nearby, in a special 'palais épiscopale' where the king often went to see him.⁶ Thus Bugna had become the centre of the religious and political life of the kingdom. Many of Lalibälä's courtiers were drawn from the same district and held very high ecclesiastical and administrative positions. A brother of Queen Mäsqäl-Kibra, Hirun, had

¹ This is represented in the hagiographical tradition that Christ was revealed to Lalibälä before he started building the churches. He then took him on a round trip of the churches of Jerusalem describing to him the significance of each and inspiring him to build similar sites in the kingdom, Gädälä Lalibälä, ed. and tr. Perruchon, p. 88. This is particularly true of the church of Golgota, where there is even a representation of the Holy Sepulchre, cf. Alvarez, op. cit., pp. 207–21.

² These have been published in an important article of Conti Rossini, 'L'Evangelo d'oro di Dabra Libanos', in RRAL, ser. 5, vol. x (1901), pp. 186–93.


⁴ According to the king-lists he ruled for 40 years. It is impossible here to determine when the reign started, but he was certainly on the throne between 1205 and 1225, Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 79, 82, 84–5; Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 188, 191. The references to Lalibälä in the History of the Patriarchs belong to A.D. 1205 and 1210 and contain no mention of the rock churches. It is likely that they were not built as yet. Tradition has it that they took 24 years, cf. Alvarez, op. cit., p. 227; and Lalibälä was probably still alive as late as c. A.D. 1235.

⁵ It is first mentioned in 1210 by the patriarchal envoy to Lalibälä, Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 84–5. Since it was not mentioned elsewhere, many ingenious attempts have been made to identify it, mainly by suggesting various readings for the Arabic name. Conti Rossini identified it with Adwa and supported his views with a quotation from Abu Salih, 'Note etiopiche', in GSAL, x (1897), p. 145 n. 5. Esteves Pereira rejected this with convincing arguments, but he suggested a curious identification with Yifat (in Shawa), the reading of which he altered into Adefat or Ayfat, Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 86–7. The accuracy of the Egyptian envoy is now fully confirmed by the hagiographer of King Yimrinha, 'They received him (with acclamation) from the district of Say (in Bugna) to the land of Adäfa and here he sat on the throne and ruled all (the people) according to the apostolic canons ...', 'Gädälä Yimrinha-Kristos', ff. 28b–29a. A local man casually pointed at a small site still bearing the name of Adäfa, near Mädag Abbo among the western foothills of Mt. Ashätän overlooking Lalibälä on the north.

been ordained a bishop by Metropolitan Mika'él (1205–9) through the insistence of his royal sister. Soon afterwards the metropolitan left for Egypt, complaining that Hīrun had challenged his authority.¹ There is a constant mention of ‘the great men of Bugna’ in the land grants of the king. The abbot of the important monastery of Däbrä Libanos of Shīmāzana, Yirdi’annā-Kristos, also came from Bugna and held that office between 1225 and 1268 until the eve of the fall of the dynasty.² Lalibāla had a large army,³ and probably much of its leadership and the economic patronage deriving from it were also predominantly enjoyed by his Bugna courtiers. Zagwé power had been firmly established everywhere, and the dominance of Lasta in the political and religious life of the kingdom was complete. It is apparent that, towards the end of the eventful reign of Lalibāla, conflicts were beginning to flare up, and the Semitic-speaking peoples of Tigré and Amhara were organizing a strong political reaction. The characteristic weakness in the system of Zagwé succession to the throne greatly facilitated the anti-Lasta movement.

It is apparent that succession to the throne among the descendants of Mārara, the founder of the Zagwé dynasty,⁴ was determined by the same rules as those of inheritance of family property in Lasta. Two legal pronouncements attributed to King Yimrha by his hagiographer seem to offer a useful clue to the problem of succession among members of the royal family:

[King Yimrha] decreed saying, ‘If there are two or three brothers, born of the same father and mother, and if one becomes rich and the other poor, let the poor man share the property of his rich brother. For both are the fruits of the same womb.’⁵

It seems that the descendants of Mārara considered the Christian throne on precisely the same terms. Each of the princes of Bugna apparently claimed rights of succession to the crown and, despite the

¹ Perruchon, op. cit., p. 79.
² Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 187, 190, 192, 193.
³ The patriarchal envoys estimated it at 60,000 mounted soldiers without counting the numerous servants that followed them, Perruchon, op. cit., p. 83.
⁴ Cf. p. 55 n. 3 above. His reign is said to have been very short, Gâdlâ Yaréd, p. 23 (text).
⁵ ‘Gâdlâ Yimrha-Kristos’, f. 29b. On another occasion the king was presented with the case of two brothers who had become deadly enemies over a land dispute. He called them to his presence and reconciled them by ruling as follows: ‘Divide all your property into five parts. Let two parts go to the elder brother, and the rest to the younger. The residence of the (deceased) parents shall also belong to the younger’, ibid., f. 26a.
hagiographical traditions of divine intervention, the problem was probably decided every time by force of arms. The trouble had already started in the reign of the second Zagwé king, Ţañţawidim. He had two younger brothers, Girwa-Siyum and Ţan-Siyum, respectively, and he is presented as being worried about the prospect of being succeeded by Girwa’s son, Yimrha.¹

Yimrha] stayed with him [i.e. the king] for a week. When Ţañţawidim saw him among his own sons he became jealous saying, ‘He is going to reign, and my own sons will be his soldiers’ . . . and he wanted to kill him . . . But his sorcerers told him ‘send him to his mother so that he does not learn the secrets of your kingdom. Instead he shall grow with shepherd boys and herdsmen’. He was pleased with this advice and sent Yimrha to his father’s house and he grew there.²

The struggle for the succession is a common theme in Zagwé royal traditions. During the reign of his brother, Lalibäla was an outlaw and wandered in self-exile for many years; and his half-sister almost poisoned him to death.³ The events which led to his eventual advent to power are difficult to decipher. But since he came to the throne in the lifetime of his brother it was probably achieved by force of arms. The humble conditions with which King Harbé welcomed his triumphant brother ‘. . . on his feet . . . while his soldiers implored him to mount [the Royal Mule] . . .'⁴ also lead to the same conclusion. This hagiographical tendency to alter historical traditions is even more problematic in the last years of the dynasty.

¹ In a curious passage Yimrha describes himself as follows: ‘I am the son of Girwa Siyum; my bigger father is Ţañţawidim and my younger father, Ţan-Siyum’ (f. 27a). One may easily point out that a word is omitted in each case and that the italicized words stand for brother of my father. But since Lalibäla is also referred to as the father of Nā’akuto-Lā’ab, I have preferred to keep to the original, which probably indicates a clannish cohesion in the family, at least in times of peace. Cf. Gâdlâ Nâ’akuto-Lâ’ab, p. 119 (text): Lalibäla is referred to as ‘his father in the flesh and in the spirit’.
² Gâdlâ Yimrha-Kristos’, f. 5.
³ Gâdlâ Lalibäla, ed. Perruchon, p. 82. She is described as ‘his sister, born of the same father’. This probably means that they had different mothers. Her attempt to kill him was undertaken in favour of their brother, King Harbé, who may have had the same mother as herself. Polygamy was common among the secular families of Christian Ethiopia despite the attempts of the metropolitans, Sawirus, The History of the Patriarchs, pp. 329–30. Among the legal reforms attributed to King Yimrha, a veritable priest-king himself, was his insistence on ruling the country according to the apostolic canons, ‘Let every man live with one wife, and every woman with one husband’, Gâdlâ Yimrha-Kristos’, ff. 28b–29a.
The patriarchal envoys to his court in 1210 reported that Lalibäla had two sons: Yitbaräk¹ and Lä'ab.² The name of the second, Lä'ab, most probably stood for the much longer name of Nä'akuto-Lä'ab, which the Egyptians had obvious difficulty in remembering. Nä'akuto-Lä'ab was a nephew of King Lalibäla,³ and was brought up at the royal court.⁴ The story of his career, as given by his hagiographer(s), may be summarized as follows.⁵ Lalibäla’s queen, Mäsqäl-‘Kirba, requested her husband to abdicate in favour of the promising young prince. The king hesitated at first, but he eventually agreed to crown his nephew who began to rule the kingdom from a nearby capital of his own. Only eighteen months later, however, his soldiers mistreated a simple farmer by taking away his only cow for the royal tables of their young master. This displeased the saintly queen. She complained to Lalibäla, who summoned Nä'akuto-Lä'ab at once and took back the crown from him. The young prince meekly accepted the verdict and lived in absolute obedience until Lalibäla’s death, when, once again, he ascended the throne and ruled for forty-seven years.

This is an unlikely story, and has undergone a deliberate process of transformation of which the major purpose seems to have been the exclusion of Lalibäla’s own son, Yitbaräk, from the official record of succession. The envoys of Patriarch Yohannis to Adäfa in 1210 had no doubts of the precedence of Yitbaräk’s position, and they listed his name with much accuracy, prior to that of his ‘brother’ Nä'akuto-Lä’ab, of whom they had only an imperfect memory. Nä'akuto-Lä’ab was probably the son of King Harbé,⁶ who had been deposed by Lalibäla. The young prince was taken to court, where he grew up with Yitbaräk. Towards the end of Lalibäla’s reign, the two princes were probably each given a governorate to administer. As

¹ That Lalibäla had a son is also mentioned in his Gâddl, ed. Perruchon, pp. 51–2. But his name has been suppressed in both passages and only appears in what may be called unofficial king-lists, cf. Conti Rossini, ‘Il libro delle leggende’, p. 708.
² Perruchon, ‘Extrait de la Vie d’Abba Jean, 74ᵉ patriarque d’Alexandrie’, p. 85. The name is given here as ‘Atyab (Inab or Itab). The Arabic can also be read as Lä’ab.
³ Gâddl Nâ’akuto-Lä’ab, pp. 114, 129.
⁴ Ibid., pp. 118–19, 125, 128.
⁵ Ibid., pp. 126, 146–51.
⁶ The tradition collected by Antoine d’Abbadie clearly states this, also giving the other name of the king, Gâbrä-Maryam, Conti Rossini, ‘Il libro delle leggende’, p. 708. His own hagiographer gives another name, Gâbrä-Mäsqâl, for the prince’s father, op. cit., p. 115.
the son of a former king, Nà'akuto-Là'ab was no doubt a rallying point for dissatisfied elements in the country and was deeply mistrusted, especially by Queen Másqāl-Kibra, who considered him a serious threat to the career of her own son.\(^1\) Thus he was probably under close watch and was not allowed to move very far from the royal capital.\(^2\) Yitbarāk on the other hand seems to have had a greater freedom of movement and was probably given, at one time, the command of a military contingent sent out to suppress a rebellious governor.\(^3\) His name is also associated with Tigré where he may have had some responsibility.\(^4\) It is most likely that on the death of Lalibāla there was an armed struggle for the succession between the two

\(^1\) This emerges clearly from the contradictory roles she is said to have played in the career of Nà'akuto-Là'ab (p. 62 n. 5). Her strong, practical influence in her husband's reign is quite evident in the land grants. One of the main reasons for which Bishop Mika'él (1205–9) claimed he had to leave the country was the queen's interference with Church administration in favour of her brother, whom she had raised to a bishopric, Perruchon, op. cit., p. 79.

\(^2\) His name has always been associated with a place called Qoqhinna, about three hours by mule east of Lalibāla, on the other side of Mount Ashätān. It is there that Lalibāla gave him leave to establish his official residence, Gādlā Nà'akuto-Là'ab, pp. 147–8. It was also there that, dismissed from office at the insistence of the queen, he returned and continued to live, ibid., p. 150. At present there is a natural cave at the foot of Mt. Ashätān, on the eastern side, in which a pretty little church has been constructed in his name, ibid., p. 173.

\(^3\) A tradition of local rebellion (no place-names are given) against which Lalibāla sent 'his son' is still preserved in Gādlā Lalibāla, p. 117. The name of the 'son of the king' is however suppressed. What seems to be the same incident is also mentioned in Gādlā Nà'akuto-Là'ab, pp. 141–2, 146. The rebel here is however said to have been Şārā-Qimis of Gojjam, and Lalibāla sent Nà'akuto-Là'ab against him. What makes this very dubious is the complete absence of Nà'akuto-Là'ab's name in Gādlā Lalibāla both in this connection and in general. It is apparent that the author(s) of Gādlā Lalibāla, although forbidden to mention the name of Yitbarāk, were unwilling to substitute Nà'akuto-Là'ab's and simply referred to 'the son of the king'.

\(^4\) There is a curious composition entitled 'Gādlā Yitbarāk' of which I was able to consult a paper MS. at Lalibāla. Since its existence was unknown, the abbot, Mamhir Afśī-Wärq, drew my attention to it and obtained the MS. for me from Māgabī Tāġāgn (see p. 26 n. 1). It is said to have been a copy of which the original is found in a monastery dedicated to Yitbarāk in Tigré at a place called Mākanā Satwa. It is a collection of numerous anachronisms and contradictory traditions. It seems quite clear, however, that its general theme is Yitbarāk's dispossession of his rightful place in Lasta tradition. The book opens by stating that Lalibāla and Māsqāl-Kibra forced him to go to Tigré and live in very poor circumstances, 'Gādlā Yitbarāk', paper MS., Māgabī Tāġāgn, pp. 1–3. At the time of my visit, there was much controversy about the book in the Lalibāla community, which did not give official recognition to the work. Yitbarāk's connection with Tigré is also referred to in the tradition of migrations of the Adkāmā-Mālga of Sārawe: Yitbarāk was grandfather of Amotāgār, first ancestor of the tribe, Conti Rossini, 'Studi su popolazioni dell'Etiopia', p. 82 (extract).
princes, in which Nā’akuto-Lä’ab was victorious at first and probably assumed the throne for a time. Soon afterwards, however, Yitbarāk seems to have taken over his father’s throne. His own hagiographer seems to refer to this final defeat of Nā’akuto-Lä’ab: ‘There was a man in the land of Ambagé. He went and entered the king’s palace. He met the king and accused [Nā’akuto-Lä’ab] saying, “He took your kingdom before, and now he is hiding in a cave with your tabot of Šiyon”. ’ Nā’akuto-Lä’ab’s interregnum probably lasted for a short time, and in an early tradition of the period only Yitbarāk is referred to as ‘the Zagwé king who is reigning in the place of Lalibāla’. 2

**Anti-Zagwé movements in Tigré and Amhara**

The internal conflicts of the Zagwé princes greatly strengthened the political movements against them. 3 The earliest sign of anti-Zagwé feelings among the Semitic-speaking Christians of Tigré and Amhara is provided by the tradition about the first Arabic copy of the *Kibrā-Nāgäst* in Ethiopia. We are told that the first Arabic copy of the book was translated from the Coptic in 1225, at the time of Lalibāla and Bishop Giyorgis 4 in Ethiopia. Nevertheless, an Ethiopic version could not be made right away because, as the scribe has it, ‘It went out in the days of Zagua, and they did not translate it because this book says: “Those who reign, not being Isra’elites, are transgressors of the Law”. 5 Anti-Zagwé feelings were probably widespread in Tigré, where Lasta power was firmly established. For the same reason, too, the emergence of a strong anti-Zagwé leadership was practically impossible there, and the initiative seems to have passed to the region of Amhara.

The Amhara had long been the advance guard of Christian expansion in the south. We have already referred to an early Muslim tradition of the armed conflict between them and the Wārijh pastoralists in the Shāwan region, in A.D. 1128. 6 There are traditions of a slow

1 Gädlä Nā’akuto-Lä’ab, p. 173. The reference is to the cave church of Qoqhлина.
4 Bishop Giyorgis is also mentioned in a land grant of Lalibāla’s in the same year, 1225, Conti Rossini, ‘L’Evangelo d’oro’, pp. 189–91.
5 Budge, E. A. W., *The Queen of Sheba and her Only Son Menyelek* (ed. 1932), p. 228.
movement of isolated Christian families from Amhara to the region of the Shāwan plateau. A military expedition by a Zagwé monarch into the ‘kingdom of Damot’ is also referred to elsewhere.\(^1\) It is apparent that by the first half of the thirteenth century, a large number of Christian families had already settled in the pagan-dominated area of the Shāwan plateau north of the Awash. The Muslim principalities established in the region only affected the eastern sections of the Sīdama area south of the Awash, and the hot, lowland basins of the western tributaries of the Awash in eastern Shāwa.\(^2\) The Muslim kingdom of Ḥfat, which was the strongest among them, was itself only

\(^1\) Güdli Yared, pp. 22–6.

\(^2\) This is quite evident, even from the place-names in the important document published by Prof. Cerulli, op. cit., pp. 5–42. Many of the identifiable place-names were still Muslim in Amdā-Ṣlyon’s reign, ibid., pp. 25–32. And in that period they referred to the hot lowlands of the Shāwan plateau.
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tucked into the north-eastern corner of the edge of the Shäwan plateau. This was no doubt due to the earlier establishment of Christian communities in the area. The Christian families in the Shäwan region were subjects of the Zagwé kingdom. In a tradition about the district of Tägülät in the thirteenth century, a salt trader is made to say: 'The king of Roha in Angot, ... he is king over us in this period.'

With the development of the commercial activities of the Muslims in the Shäwan region and the growing importance of the port of Zeila, the region of Amhara was of vital significance for the Christian kingdom and its Zagwé rulers. The major routes to and from Lasta passed through it, and it is apparent that very close contacts had been established between this province and the Gulf of Aden. In an early manuscript (fifteenth century) at the library of Hayq Istifanos there is an interesting genealogy of a Jewish family which emigrated from Aden and settled in Amhara: 'In the days of the Zagwé kings there came out, from the country of Aden, a man, a Jew called Joseph, named after his father. He was exceedingly rich and wealthy. And he settled in Ilawz in the land of Amhara ...' This was probably a family of Jewish merchants, and it may not have been the only one. No doubt much of the economic and political benefits accruing from these growing contacts with the Gulf of Aden were shared by the local people, particularly the ruling families. There are many reasons to show that Yikunno-'Amlak and his supporters owed much of their success to this new situation.

Yikunno-'Amlak: founder of a new dynasty

The origins and early life of Yikunno-'Amlak still remain very obscure. On his father's side tradition makes him a descendant of Dilna'od who is said to have been the last Aksumite king deposed by the Zagwé. His mother is nevertheless said to have been 'one of the slaves' of a rich Amhara chief in Sägärat. This connection with a local chiefly family in Amhara is more significant in his career. He

1 'Gädlä Qäwistōs' MS. Däbrä Libanos, f. 57. A more uncertain tradition attributes a church on Dabra Fántalé (eastern Shäwa) to a local chief who lived in the reign of Nää'akuto-Lâ'ab, ibid., ff. 99–100.
3 Gädlä Iyāsus-Mo'a, p. 21 (tr.); Conti Rossini, 'La caduta della dinastia Zague', pp. 282, 287. The tradition that Dilna'od was the name of the last Aksumite king is by no means secure. Another version calls him Dignajan, Gädlä Yared, p. 23 (text).
4 Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 283, 287; Sägärat is on the main road from Lake Hayq to Bägémdir.
grew there with the children of his master, and he is also said to have obtained some religious training with them. More important still another tradition reports that he was later imprisoned by King Yitbarak in Mâloṭ from where he managed to escape. ¹ He was probably involved in the struggle for succession between Yitbarak and Nâ'akuto-Lâl'ab.² It is interesting that the most important part of his activities against the Zagwé are attributed to the period after his escape from Yitbarak’s prison. It is in this period that he is said to have made a religious pact with Īyäsus-Mo’a of Dábrá Hayq.³ Yikunno-'Amlak seems to have organized an effective military revolt against King Yitbarak within the crucial area of Amhara and the Shâwan plateau. We find him in communication with the Yemenite kingdom and the Mamlukes of Egypt immediately after his success over the Zagwé.⁴ It is probable that this started much earlier. On the eve of the downfall of the Zagwé dynasty, Yikunno-'Amlak had apparently established a virtually independent kingdom of his own, comprising Amhara and the Christian communities of Shâwa.

Marianus Victor collected a pre-sixteenth-century tradition that, in his struggle against Yitbarak, Yikunno-'Amlak obtained military assistance from Shâwa.⁵ Conti Rossini has more recently published an interesting document which confirms the tradition: ‘And when he went [for a battle] . . . with his warriors, the seven Gwidâm whose names were, Wâgda Mâlâzay, Dinbî Dâbaray, Mugâr Indâzâbi, Wâj, Wârâb Inâkafê, Šilâlish Inâkafê, [and] Mwâl Awjajay . . . ’⁶

¹ Ibid., pp. 284–5, 289.
² This is probably the origin of the tradition of an amicable settlement of the dynastic problem between Yikunno-'Amlak and Nâ'akuto-Lâl'ab according to some versions of the traditions about the advent of the new dynasty; cf. Bruce, Travels to Discover the Source of the Nile, i (1790), pp. 612–15. Among the Zagwa of the Eritrean region who have a tradition of migrating there from Lasta on the occasion of the fall of the Zagwé dynasty there is also another tradition which accuses Nâ'akuto-Lâl'ab of killing many of their ancestors and forcing the rest to migrate northwards, Conti Rossini, ‘Studi su popolazioni dell’Etiopia’, pp. 53–4, 72, 79 (extract).
³ Gâdlâ Īyäsus-Mo’a, pp. 20–1 (tr.). Much later traditions have ascribed this religious role to Tâklâ-Haymanot of Shâwa. Conti Rossini has made a critical study of these traditions and has conclusively shown that Tâklâ-Haymanot does not seem to have played this role, ‘Appunti ed osservazioni sui re Zagve e Takla Haymano!’ in RRAL, ser. 5, vol. iv (1895), pp. 444–68. A close study of the life and religious career of Tâklâ-Haymanot fully confirms this conclusion, see Ch. V.
⁶ ‘La caduta della dinastia Zague’, pp. 296–7. All the place-names appear in
With these and his Amhara troops Yikunno-'Amlak attacked the Zagwé king, killed him, and declared himself King of Ethiopia. The title was probably only nominal for some time. Leading members of the Zagwé army and their followers appear to have left Lasta and moved northwards into Tigré. There they joined forces with the Zagwé provincial officers and put up a hard resistance. In 1268, an interesting land grant to Dābrā Libanos of Shīmāzana indicates that the Zagwé had crowned another king, Dilanda, and apparently organized themselves under a council of six military leaders. Two years later, in 1270, another land grant to the same monastery is signed by 'King Yikwinat' and all the various offices are held by a completely different group of people.

Yikunno-'Amlak founded a new Christian dynasty in the kingdom. Common resentment of Lasta domination probably brought him much support in Tigré, where the Amhara tradition of Tigré origin strengthened his position as against the Zagwé. The predominantly Agāw rulers of the Christian kingdom were deposed, and the throne was once again occupied by a Semitic-speaking monarch. Only in this sense was the advent of Yikunno-'Amlak a restoration.

the documents since the 14th century. Mugār Indabazé is mentioned in a slightly different form in 'Gādli Samu‘el (Wāgāg)', MS. Dābrā Libanos, f. 9a. There is also a reference to the 'chief of the seven Gwīdim' in 'Gādli Mārha-Kristos' MS. Dābrā Libanos, pp. 28, 189. 'The people of Mwal' are mentioned in Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’eqob, ed. and tr. Perruchon, p. 83.

1 As has been already mentioned, the name of Yitbarakh had been systematically suppressed in the official hagiographical traditions. In the story of the struggle he is given an esoteric name and is simply called Zā‘-Ilmākun, ‘The Unknown, the hidden one’. In many cases his name Yitbarakh is also given; cf. Conti Rossini, ‘La caduta della dinastia Zagye’, p. 299. In Lasta, however, the esoteric term has become a useful escape mechanism in denying that the king killed by Yikunno-‘Amlak had anything to do with Lasta. Māgābi Tāgān vehemently stated that Zā‘-Ilmākun was only a rebel in Wadla!

2 The Zagwa and Adkāmā-Mālga in Eritrea have traditions of migration from Lasta as a result of an invasion from Shāwa, Conti Rossini, ‘Studi su popolazioni dell’Etiopia’, pp. 53–4, 60–1, 82 (extract).

3 Conti Rossini, ‘L’Evangelo d’oro’, p. 193. Together with the king’s name there is a reference to ‘the six strong men’, which probably indicates a council of leading generals to conduct hostilities.

4 Ibid., p. 196. This curious form of the king’s name also appears in another contemporary tradition, Cerulli, E., ‘Il sultanato dello Scioa’, pp. 13, 19.
CHAPTER III

Internal Conflict and Readjustment

(1285–1371)

Many problems awaited the new dynasty founded by Yikunno-'Amlak. For more than ten years after the end of his reign, the most serious menace to the stability of the dynasty arose from the rivalries of his own sons and grandsons.1 Yagba-Šiyon, who was probably his eldest son, seems to have already held a position of some authority before the death of his father.2 Probably because of this, we do not have any traditions of succession problems on his accession to power. Nevertheless, there are clear indications of political unrest and internal conflict during the period of his reign (1285–94). In some of these conflicts the Ethiopian Church was also involved.

In 1290, some five years after his accession, Yagba-Šiyon wrote to the sultan of Egypt and to the patriarch of Alexandria requesting the appointment of a new Egyptian bishop. The letters3 contain some interesting information about new developments which had taken place in the Ethiopian Church since the downfall of the Zagwé dynasty. Yagba-Šiyon complained to Patriarch John VII (1262–8; 1271–93) about the serious difficulties raised by the presence in Ethiopia of what he called ‘Syrian metropolitans’:

These Syrian metropolitans who live in Ethiopia have provoked Our hate. Being uniquely attached to the patriarchate of Alexandria, We could not tolerate that these strangers exercise any longer the episcopal functions which they could enjoy until now only through the protection of Our father . . .4

2 In a land grant given by Yikunno-'Amlak a certain Yagba-Šiyon is mentioned, immediately after the name of the king, as one of the officials of the royal court at the time of the grant, Conti Rossini, ‘L’Evangelo d’oro di Dabra Libanos’, in RRAL, ser. 5, vol. x (1901), p. 194. It seems likely that this Yagba-Šiyon was Yikunno-'Amlak’s own son who succeeded him later.
3 Quatremère, Mémoires géographiques et historiques sur l’Égypte, ii (1811), pp. 268–73.
4 Ibid., p. 271.
No references to such Syrian prelates have yet come to light in the hagiographical and other clerical records of the Ethiopian Church itself. At the beginning of Yikunno-ʿAmlak’s reign, in a land grant dated 1269/70, Abba Qerilos is mentioned as ‘archbishop’ of Ethiopia.1 Three years later, probably because Qerilos had died in the meantime, Yikunno-ʿAmlak wrote to Sultan Baybars (1260–77) asking for a new bishop.2 From the text of the letter it seems that Yikunno-ʿAmlak had put in an earlier request for the new bishop, and he had already been expecting his arrival in Ethiopia for some time. In his letter of 1273, Yikunno-ʿAmlak reminded the sultan that, although he had already received an envoy from the Mamluke governor of Kus, no bishop had come with this envoy as expected. Despite this second appeal, however, Yikunno-ʿAmlak did not succeed in obtaining a new bishop from Egypt. This may have induced him to look for a prelate from elsewhere.

Already in the lifetime of Patriarch Cyril III ibn Laqlaq (1235–43), there had arisen a quarrel among the Jacobites of the patriarchates of Alexandria and of Antioch. Before that time, it had been conventional for Antioch to appoint the Jacobite bishop for Jerusalem, whereas Alexandrian suzerainty on the Nubian and the Ethiopian Churches was never questioned by Antioch. However, Patriarch Cyril III seems to have used the growing military and political superiority of Egypt in appointing an Alexandrian bishop for the Jacobite church of Jerusalem. This angered the patriarch of Antioch, Ignatius II, who retaliated by appointing an Ethiopian pilgrim, Abba Tomas, as metropolitan of Ethiopia.3 There is no evidence to show that Abba Tomas ever returned to his country to claim the episcopal seat. On the contrary, contemporary documents published by Cerulli seem to indicate that Patriarch Ignatius soon revoked his unusual appointment owing to strong pressure on him by the Dominicans in Palestine, who were anxious to please the Egyptian sultan.4

---


theless, Yagba-Şiyon’s letters to Egypt clearly indicate that the rivalry of the Alexandrian and Syrian Jacobites for the control of the Ethiopian Church had also reached Ethiopia, and by the time of the reigns of Yikunno-'Amlak and his son Yagba-Şiyon, the Ethiopians were apparently divided on the Syrian question.

In his letter of 1273/4, Yikunno-'Amlak says that a Mamluke delegation from Kus had come to his court ‘about the monk who came to meet Us’. It is not at all clear who this monk was or from where he had come to Ethiopia. But Yagba-Şiyon told Patriarch Yohannis in his letter of 1290 that his father, Yikunno-'Amlak, ‘had no bishop of your choice in his court’. This makes it most likely that Yikunno-'Amlak had accepted a prelate whom his son later called ‘a Syrian metropolitan’. It is clear, however, that King Yikunno-'Amlak did not maintain ‘the Syrians’ in his court out of mere defiance of the Alexandrian patriarchate. He had repeatedly asked for a new Egyptian bishop who was never sent from Cairo. It is apparent from Yagba-Şiyon’s letters that some clerics of Syrian origin had come to court with uncertain credentials and were accepted by Yikunno-'Amlak without the full consensus of the Ethiopian Church which had always been predominantly loyal to Alexandria. When doubts about the credentials were raised, Yikunno-'Amlak probably felt it unnecessary to refer the matter to Cairo, where his previous requests had been given only the slightest attention. Soon after his death, however, opposition to the ‘Syrian’ prelates had flared up once again, and the new king very wisely espoused the strong party still loyal to Alexandria. To offset the recurrence of similar uncertainties about the credentials of the new bishop, Yagba-Şiyon suggested to the sultan some precautionary measures:

... I would like the new metropolitan to come to my kingdom accompanied by an ambassador of the sultan and my deputy. If the sultan, as I hope, accepts my request, his reply will calm the conflicts in Ethiopia and will bring peace both to the Muslims and the Christians...

Thus, Yagba-Şiyon seems to have brought an end to the ‘Syrian’ interlude by a royal decree which prohibited the episcopal functions

---

1 See p. 69, notes 3 and 4.
2 Mufazzal, op. cit., p. 384.
3 Quatremère, op. cit., p. 271. It also seems quite possible that, since the time of Patriarch Cyril III (1235–43), a Syrian, as well as an Egyptian, bishop was appointed for Ethiopia until 1296, cf. Hammerschmidt, E., ‘L’Église Orthodoxe d’Éthiopie’, in Gerster, G., L’Art éthiopien (1968), p. 43.
4 Quatremère, op. cit., p. 269.
of the ‘Syrian metropolitan’ in Ethiopia.\textsuperscript{1} But he did \textit{not} succeed in obtaining a new Egyptian bishop. It is apparent that unrest among the clergy and their lay supporters continued to the end of his reign. This went on until the last struggle for power in which we find him engaged, with what appears to be another group of his own family. The incident is related in an interesting contemporary note in a manuscript (probably of the thirteenth century) of the library of Däbrä Hayq:

I, Yagba-Ṣiyön, whose regnal name is Solomon, adorned this book of the Four Gospels and gave it to [the Church of St.] Stephen. After that, there came Yi’qäbānā and he wanted to take away my throne; but I defeated him and destroyed him with the power of Christ, my God.

This took place in A.D. 1293/4, and Yagba-Ṣiyön died soon afterwards.\textsuperscript{2} But until the advent to power of Yagba-Ṣiyön’s brother, Widim-Rā’ad, in 1299, the struggle for power among the descendants of Yikunno-’Amlak seems to have continued. This struggle for succession was so intense that the throne was occupied by five successive kings in the short period between the death of Yagba-Ṣiyön in 1294, and Widim-Rā’ad’s accession to power in 1299. It was only from this last date onwards that the new ‘Solomonic’ dynasty regained its strength and internal stability.\textsuperscript{3}

\textit{Consolidation of the dynasty in the north}

The immediate result of these early conflicts was to weaken the power of the new dynasty within the Christian kingdom itself. At this stage of the history of the dynasty the most important parts of Christian Ethiopia consisted of Tigré, Lasta, and Amhara. As a result of Yikunno-’Amlak’s successful revolt, Lasta had not only lost its primacy in the kingdom but also much of its leadership. This left Tigré and Amhara as the two outstanding parties in the Christian power structure. The claims by Yikunno-’Amlak and his Amhara troops of Tigré (Semitic) ancestry had been central in their movement against the Zagwé, and it is apparent that they got much support from the \textit{Tigrigna}-speaking population. In fact, it seems that a new cadre of local governors took over the leadership of Tigré immediately after Yikunno-’Amlak’s accession to power.\textsuperscript{4} The most

\textsuperscript{1} Cf. p. 69 n. 4.
\textsuperscript{2} Taddesse Tamrat, ‘The Abbots of Däbrä Hayq’, p. 92.
\textsuperscript{3} Ibid., notes 27–31.
important among these new local leaders was the ‘Governor of Intärtä’ who also held the titles of Hasgwa and Aqabé-Ṣânsân. The latter title, ‘Aqabé-Ṣânsân’, was apparently of ancient Aksumite origin, and gave a particular significance to the position of this official throughout Tigré. Ingida-Igzi’, who belonged to a local chiefly family, held the office in the reign of Yikunno-Amlak, and, like the king himself, he was also succeeded by his own son Täsfanâ-Igzi’. It is apparent that in this initial period of the new dynasty, and especially at the time of the internal struggle of the sons and grandsons of Yikunno-Amlak, the family of Ingida-Igzi’ gathered a considerable influence in the area as a whole and held an almost independent power over its own province of Intärtä in particular. In a land grant dated 1305, Täsfanâ-Igzi’ already refers to his province as ‘my kingdom’. Yagba-Ṣiyon and Widim-Râ’ad had little power to control the situation and were both apparently satisfied with a distant recognition by this family as King of Ethiopia. Only Amdâ-Ṣiyon could muster enough strength to bring an end to this new development which threatened the unity of the Christian kingdom.

Before he turned his attention to Tigré, Amdâ-Ṣiyon had accomplished his early campaigns against Hadya, Damot, and Gojjam, and his conquests in these regions placed him in an excellent position to impose his will on his provincial governors. He commemorates his success in Tigré in a land grant to the island monastery of Däbrä Hayq: ‘God delivered into my hands the ruler of Intärtä with all his army, his followers, his relatives, and all his country as far as the Cathedral of Aksum.'

The governor of Intärtä at the time was Ya’ibikä-Igzi’, and his land grant of 1318/19 does not even mention the king. He apparently had a firm control of northern Tigré, and his following included both secular and clerical leaders in the area. The two influential abbots of Aksum and Däbrä Damo were among his prominent courtiers. There are also traditional indications that he had invited the governor of Tämbén to join him in his rebellion against Amdâ-Ṣiyon. He is

---

1 During the formal coronation of Zär’a-Ya’iqob in Aksum, the governor of Tigré also held the title of Aqabé-Ṣânsân (= the keeper of the fly whisks), Perruchon, J., *Les Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’eqob*, p. 50.
2 He calls himself ‘Son of Kul-Asgâdè’ in the land grant. This strongly suggests that the family already had a local importance before the dynastic change in 1270.
3 Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 199.
4 See Ch. IV.
5 Taddesse Tamrat, op. cit., n. 43.
said to have failed to enlist the support of this official, but it appears that his revolt was fairly well organized. Amdā-Šiyon’s action against him and his followers was equally ruthless:

... When Ya’ibikä-Igzi’ and Ingida-Igzi’ rebelled, King Amdā-Šiyon decreed and deposed them, and destroyed these rebels. Moreover to eliminate the pride of their hearts and to efface their [traditional] honours, [the king] appointed over their country men who were not born from Adam and Eve who were called Halāstiyotat . . . 1

The multiple offices held by Ya’ibikä-Igzi’ and his predecessors were given to different individuals, and what appears to have been a military colony of troops from outside Tigré was stationed at Amba Sänayata, which was the centre of the rebellion. The king at first entrusted the office of the governor of Intārta to one of his own queens, Bilén-Saba, who was apparently herself of Tigré origin. 2 With her, a new group of subordinate officials was appointed for the various districts. The queen’s administration of her province was probably indirect, and there is an early tradition of some local displeasure with her complete dependence on her local agents and advisers. 3 Probably because of this, one of the king’s sons, Bahr-Sägäd, was soon given charge of a highly centralized administration in Tigré. 4 A new feature of this appointment in 1328 is the inclusion of the maritime provinces under the combined government of Amdā-Šiyon’s son.

The term Ma’ikālā-Bahr, one of the titles held by this prince, probably referred to these maritime provinces, which apparently included the whole region between the Marāb and the Red Sea. 5 It has already been mentioned in the last chapter that the replacement

---

1 Liber Aksumae, ed. and tr. Conti Rossini, pp. 30–1 (text). ‘Halāstiyotat’ literally means ‘bastards of mixed or low origins’, and its use here certainly reflects the unpopularity of the military colony established by King Amdā-Šiyon.


3 Wright, op. cit., Or. 695, ff. 36–37a.


5 The title of Ma’ikālā-Bahr (lit. ‘between the rivers or seas’) was already used in the Zagvē period. Its application is very uncertain, and Conti Rossini has suggested that it could refer to either the region between the Täkkazé and the Red Sea or the Marāb, ibid, pp. 190, 194, 208. The limitation of the term ‘Bahr’ to mere rivers in such a pompous title is improbable, and Ma’ikālā-Bahr was apparently a title held by officials whose special duties concerned relations with the coastal areas and their immediate hinterland. It was probably the precursor of the title Bahr-Nāgash, cf. Perruchon, J., Histoire des guerres d’Amdā-Šiyon, p. 293 (text); Acta Sancti Mercurii, ed. and tr. Conti Rossini, p. 26 (text).
of the Zagwé by the new Amhara dynasty had sparked off a series of population movements from the Lasta region to north-eastern Tigré, Akálá-Guzay, Hamasén, and Sára’ché. This had resulted in a struggle for power between the newcomers and the original inhabitants, and in the eventual transfer of political supremacy from the Christian Bäläw tribes to the Adkämä-Mälga who constituted a major part of the new Christian settlers in the area. The Bäläw tribes were of Béja origin, and before the end of the thirteenth century they dominated the region of the Eritrean plateau, including probably some of the districts south of the Märab also. Their downfall at the hands of the Adkämä-Mälga created a new power vacuum in the area, and gave their former vassals a last chance to assert their independence before the Adkämä-Mälga consolidated their power. It is about this time that the traditions of the Christian tribes of Däqi-Minab indicate an intensive period of territorial expansion from their traditional centre of Gishnashim, north-west of Asmara.

They have a tradition that their ancestors originally came from Dämbya, north of Lake Ṭana. However, a close examination of this tradition shows a rather recent formulation, and it appears that the Däqi-Minab were probably one of the original inhabitants of the Eritrean plateau, no doubt considerably affected by fresh population movements from the north and south. Like all the other tribes around them, they were under the general political supremacy of the Bäläw. Between the end of the thirteenth century, and in the first years of the fourteenth some branches were moving into what are today the districts of Garnashim, Dämbälas, and Akálá-Guzay, and already in 1328 two officials in Hamasén are mentioned among the influential local rulers. The present tribal configuration of the rest of the Eritrean plateau was also taking shape about the same time. In the narrow lowland strip to the east, representatives of the Hazo-Teroa group of the Saho had already moved northwards into Samhar, and further inland on the plateau to form the Mänsa and

1 See p. 68 n. 2.
2 Kolmodin, Traditions de Tsazzega et Hazzega, Tr. 5, Tr. 7–Tr. 8. These traditions, like many others in north Ethiopia, are closely related to the legend of the queen of Sheba. Minab, the ancestor of the Däqi-Minab, is said to have been one of the companions of Menelik I on his way to Ethiopia from Jerusalem.
4 Kolmodin, op. cit., Tr. 6.
Marya aristocracies immediately to the north of the area occupied by the Bogos (or Bilén) people.\(^1\) Except in the coastal strip, where the predominantly Saho population were under the increasing religious influence of Islam, all the sundry tribes in the plateau were Christians. The most important Muslim influence in the region came from the small sultanate of the Dahlak archipelago. Although the Christian inhabitants in the plateau maintained their old commercial relations with the Muslims, the Christian kingdom had long lost its direct control of the coast. The military colonies established on the way to the ancient port of Adulis had already been disrupted by the northward movements of the Saho.\(^2\) But this had only been a measure of the internal weakness of the Christian kingdom, and did not at all represent an organized attempt at Muslim expansion. The situation basically characterizes the relative position of Islam and Christianity in northern Ethiopia since the eighth century A.D. Although the presence of Islam in the Dahlak islands—by far the earliest and most important Muslim centre on the Eritrean seaboard—is well attested since the first century of the Hijrah, its influence as a base for the propagation of Islam into the interior(4,2),(994,994) remained minimal until the end of the period covered by this work. Only a section of the Saho nomads, wandering about in the lowland strip, could be affected in a religious sense; the inhabitants of the plateau were Christian, and even most of the people of the Samhar were either still Christian or pagan, as late as the fourteenth century. The political and economic importance of the Muslim settlements on the mainland and in the islands nearby had nevertheless been much more significant than their missionary value for Islam.

Just as in the southern provinces of Shāwa, Ḩīfāt, and Hadya, Amdā-Šiyon was deeply concerned about the dangers of the development of Muslim power on the Eritrean seaboard. He fully realized that the restoration of Christian supremacy in the Ethiopian region would only be complete if its impact was felt by the coastal Muslim settlements. His recent campaigns against the Muslims of Ḩīfāt and

---

\(^1\) On his way to Jerusalem about 1337 Ėwōsštätewos is said to have visited first the Bogos, and then 'the two Marya', Gāddā Ėwōsštätewos, pp. 72–3. Despite this, however, Conti Rossini estimates that the formation of the Mānsa and Marya aristocracies was 'verso la seconda metà del secolo XIV', 'Tradizioni storiche dei Mensa' in GSAI, xiv, 1901, p. 43. Cf. also, id., 'Studi su popolazioni dell'Etiopia', p. 6 (extract).

eastern Shäwa were doubtless resented by their co-religionists in the
north, who may have co-operated with the rebellious army in northern Tigré. This may have furnished Amdä-Şiyon with the immediate
reasons for his expedition in the Eritrean region: ‘I, King Amdä-
Şiyon, went to the sea of Eritrea. When I reached there, I mounted
on an elephant and entered the sea. I took up my arrow and spears,
killed my enemies, and saved my people.’1 There is also a reference
to this successful expedition in the hagiographical traditions about
the life of Ėwosṭatėwos, whose monastic activities in Sära’ē about
the same time inaugurated a parallel revival of the Ethiopian Church
in the Eritrean region.2

The major obstacles to a much bolder action against the coastal
Muslims and the Saho nomads had always arisen from the internal
weakness of the kingdom itself and, unlike the regions south of
Amhara, they seem to have offered little resistance to the revival of
Christian power over them. This was considerably promoted by the
absence of a united front among the Muslim powers of the Red Sea
area. Since the emergence of the Rasulid dynasty of Yemen, Egypt
had lost direct control of its south Arabian province with the
strategic port of Aden. Yemen was in fact becoming a strong rival
of Mamluke Egypt in the control of the Red Sea trade.3 Relations
between the two states were very tense and the kings of Yemen
showed no more than an attitude of formal respect to the superior
force of Cairo. In the last two reigns of the Mamluke sultan Al-Nasir

1 For the full text of this contemporary historical note, see my ‘The Abbots of
Däbrä Hayq’, pp. 95–6. It is interesting to note that a similar use of an elephant
was made by the king of Yemen in A.D. 1309 during a visit to the coast, on the
opposite side of the Red Sea: ‘The supreme Cortege proceeded to the Sea of
‘Ehwa’d, the sea coast of Zebid . . . [the sultan] mounted an elephant when he
entered Faza . . . ’, Al-Khazraji, History of the Resuliy Dynasty of Yemen, ed.
and tr. Redhouse, J. W., iii, i, p. 288.

2 Gädìlì Ėwosṭatėwos, p. 53. The passage has hitherto been completely neglected
and was apparently thought of as a mere clerical eulogy of the king. But the
essential basis of the tradition—i.e. Amdä-Şiyon’s personal campaigns in Eritrea
—finds an important confirmation in the contemporary historical reference
quoted in the last footnote. The wording of the passage is however hagiographical
in character: ‘(The king) travelled from sea to sea, from one end of the Eritrean
sea to the other, fighting the infidels who are strong and who put their trust on
astrological divinations; and he defeated the enemies of Christ and reduced his
enemies with the power of God . . . ’ Ėwosṭatėwos is also said to have met the king,
ibid., pp. 52–4. This probably took place when the court was in northern Ethiopia.
Ēwosṭatėwos was in Armenia when the king died in 1344, and he is said to have
been deeply upset by the news, ibid., p. 108.

3 Wiet, G., ‘Les marchands d'épices sous les sultans Mamlouks', in CHÉ, vii
Muhammad b. Qalawun, there were very clear attempts at the reconquest of Yemen. On one of these occasions, in 1307, the king of Yemen 'prohibited the spice-merchants' until Egypt undertook to stop warlike preparations at the Nile river port of Kus, where ships were being built for the invasion of Yemen. An uneasy peace was apparently concluded, and the spice trade continued to flow to the Egyptian dominions via Yemen. But the occasional arrival of large numbers of Egyptian troops for the annual pilgrimage to Mecca, which was still in Egyptian hands, brought fresh dangers of an ultimate confrontation between the two powers. The king of Yemen was at one time (in A.D. 1352) carried away to Cairo and detained there for fourteen months.

The special concern of the Mamluke sultans of Egypt with the uninterrupted flow of the eastern trade also brought them into conflict with the unruly Arab and Béja tribes inhabiting the desert area between 'Aydab and the Nile river ports of Aswan and Kus. In A.D. 1316/17, these nomads attacked a Yemenite delegation and a number of merchants on their way to Cairo from 'Aydab. The sultan sent a punitive force against them, which had only a temporary success. Only three years later, in A.D. 1319/20, the Arabs and their Béja allies sacked 'Aydab itself and killed the Egyptian inspector stationed there. A stronger force was now sent, and 'Aydab was more effectively annexed. A Mamluke governor was stationed there with an impressive garrison to deal with any future recurrence of local revolt. Further south, the island of Suakin was similarly dealt with, and a distant but nevertheless dependent political relationship created between the rulers of the island and Egypt. In 1316/17 the island sultanate demonstrated its Mamluke loyalties by co-operating with the Egyptian army in pursuit of the tribesmen who had attacked 'Aydab.

2 Al-Khazraji, op. cit., iii, pt. 2, pp. 21–9; 69–75; 190.
4 Ibid., pp. 84–5.
5 The Mamluke army of 500 used a roundabout route on that occasion; starting from Kus they went to 'Aydab, thence to Suakin and then south-westwards. They crossed the Atbara, went a distance of three days' journey up-stream where they met the Halengo tribe which offered a weak resistance. From thence
The Dahlak islands were even less relevant than Suakin to the eastern trade, and the Mamluke sultans had nothing to fear from them. A mere diplomatic warning in 1265/6 had sufficed to stop anti-Egyptian piratical activities based in these islands. This cautious attitude of the inhabitants of Dahlak towards the growing power of the Mamlukes in the Red Sea completely precluded Egyptian intervention, and helped them to preserve their complete independence from direct Egyptian overlordship. But it also weakened their position vis-à-vis a strong Christian power in the Ethiopian hinterland. While the ports of Baṣī, ʿAy dab, and Suakin had always depended for their existence on trade with the interior of what is today the eastern Sudan and the Nile valley, the Dahlak islands had likewise succeeded ancient Adulīs on the Eritrean seaboard, and their raison d'être consisted of their commercial relations with the Ethiopian plateau. But, unlike their northern counterparts, they did not serve as points of Arab penetration into the interior, nor did they lead to the development of Muslim communities of any political significance in northern Ethiopia. The Arab tribes who poured into the hinterlands of Baṣī, ʿAy dab, and Suakin, had been lured by the mines of gold and emeralds for which the region had long been held in repute. The hinterland of Dahlak did not offer such possibilities. The very rough physical characteristics of the edge of the plateau overlooking the narrow coastal strip opposite the Dahlak archipelago also kept the interior much more inaccessible than in the region north of Ras Kassar. But the most important reason for the considerable limitation of Muslim expansion in northern Ethiopia is to be sought in the strength of the Church, even when the central power of the kingdom had reached its lowest ebb. The region of northern Ethiopia centring round Aksum and the monastic establishments of the nine saints had long been the cradle of Ethiopian Christendom—since the mid fourth century, in fact—and its religious character long survived the political decline of the Christian kingdom. We have already seen how the Bēja contributed to the decline of Aksum for whose political supremacy in the region of the Barka-Ansāba basin they had largely

they travelled back down the Atbara to the Nile, and thence to Cairo via Dongola and Kus, ibid., pp. 77–8.


substituted their own. In so doing, however, they were in time linguistically and even ethnically assimilated, so that by the end of the thirteenth century, the Bäläw princes of the Eritrean region were apparently Christian and spoke either Tigrär or Tigrinya. Indeed, during occasional periods of revival in the Christian kingdom they were even brought under its political overlordship, and by the middle of the thirteenth century the Bäläw governors of what are today the provinces of Säräé and Hamasén seem to have been under the suzerainty of the Zagwé kings. Despite the vicissitudes of the central power of the kingdom, therefore, the Christian character of northern Ethiopia was preserved throughout the centuries after the advent of Islam. As we have suggested above this development was further enhanced by the economic dependence of the islands of Mişiwwa and Dahlak on their Christian hinterland, and their solitary political position in relation to other Muslim countries in the Red Sea area. Until they were finally annexed by the Ottomans in the second half of the sixteenth century, these islands remained in the backwaters of Muslim expansion, and their only importance to the Muslim world lay in serving as the outlet for the products of the Christian hinterland. Their value to the Christian kingdom also consisted in maintaining the long-distance commercial contact with the Muslim powers of Egypt and Arabia who firmly controlled the profitable trade of the Red Sea. In the final analysis, it was the need to share in this lucrative traffic between the Ethiopian interior and the Red Sea which provided Amdä-Şiyon with sufficient economic reasons to demonstrate his military prowess in the Eritrean region.

Trade and trade routes

It is significant that Amdä-Şiyon conducted this expedition immediately after his punitive campaigns against the rebellious governor of Intärtä in northern Tigré. In these northern provinces as well as in the very rich areas south of Amhara, the king’s military activities were essentially motivated by economic considerations. It is quite clear that his aim was to bring the network of trade routes in the whole Ethiopian region under his own control. From a close study of secular and hagiographical traditions, it is possible to determine the major routes which traversed the country in the fourteenth

2 The Bäläw rulers who were displaced later by the Adkämä-Mälga were subjects of the Christian kingdom, Conti Rossini, *Studi su popolazioni dell’Etiopia* pp. 82–3. Cf. also Kolmodin, *Traditions de Tsazzega et Hazzega*, Tr. 6, 25, A. 29.
century. Since the emergence of the new dynasty, and particularly after the conquests of Amda-Şiyon in the first two decades of the century, the heart of the Christian kingdom had consisted of the high ridge forming the watershed of the rivers Nile and Awash. On

this central crescent, stretching from the river Bashīlo in Amhara to the headwaters of Mugār and Awash in the Shāwan plateau, converged all the major routes coming from different directions.

From the northern provinces came two more or less parallel routes. The first of these ran from Lalibāla, the capital of the Zagwé dynasty, northwards across the difficult country of Lasta and Wag, across the river Šallārī, and apparently through the western parts of the Tigré districts of Tāmēn and Gār‘alta to the region of Aksum. From there it continued northwards across the river Mārāb to Sāra‘é, Hamaśēn, Bogos, and Marya, whence, passing through the district of Bāqla, it merged with the Suakin–Nile caravan routes.¹

¹ A combination of itineraries point to this route. Abba Aron travelled part of
This road appears to have been most popular during the Zagwé period, when the province of Lasta was the focus of political and commercial activities. But it traversed extremely difficult country and, already before the end of the Lasta dynasty, it was giving way to the second of the northern routes, which cut through the whole length of the kingdom from the region east of the upper course of the river Märêb in Hamasên and Bur, via eastern Tigrê, the Doba country, Angot, Amhara, and further south to the Shāwan plateau. At its northern end this route apparently had two branches: one of these joined up with the main route to Suakin somewhere around Asmara; the other went in a north-easterly direction down the edge of the Eritrean plateau to a mainland port called 'Aouan probably facing the island of Mişiwwa.

it from Tămën to Lalibâla soon after A.D. 1341, Gäddlâ Aron, pp. 133–4. Yaffîrqîrînî-Igzi' (in the beginning of the 14th century) and Samu'el after him, probably followed this route right from Aksum southwards on their way to the Lake Tanâ region. The northern part of this road was used by Ewostâtěwos on his way to Egypt in about A.D. 1337, Gäddlâ Ewostatěwos pp. 62–3, 72–3, 78–9, 83; Conti Rossini, Storia d'Etiopia, p. 255. His disciples probably returned on the same route and one of them, Gābër-Īyāsûs, travelled all the way to Infraz, east of Lake Tanâ, via Tigrê, most likely also via Wag (his place of origin according to some traditions) and Lalibâla, Conti Rossini, 'Note diagiografia . . . Gābër-Īyāsûs,' RSO, xvi (1938), pp. 441, 444.

1 Already in the Zagwé period there is a tradition of this route from Shāwa via Mänz, Amhara, and Angot. Here a branch left north-eastwards to Lalibâla, and another went directly northwards through Angot to E. Tigrê, a road already frequented by the salt traders, 'Gäddlâ Qâwīsîs', ff. 54, 57. Filipos of Dâbrê Asbo was banished to Tigrê in 1341 along this route, of which the identity seems quite secure by the mention of the pass of Qowqowa, which also appears in the 1454 map Egyptus Noveo at precisely the same spot: 'the country of the nomads who were pagans and killed all men they encountered'—an unmistakable reference to the Doba people, Gäddlâ Filipos, pp. 219–20. Cf. also Crawford, op. cit., p. 14. Abba Aron also seems to have gone to Tigrê via this road, described as 'the road of Angot,' Gäddlâ Aron, p. 133. On his way back, he took the western route as mentioned in the last footnote. It is most likely that after the beginning of the Amhara dynasty the 'road of Angot' became increasingly important as the easiest and most direct route to the north. Amdâ-Siyon’s troops, and those of his descendants, moving to Tigrê and Eritrea and vice-versa, doubtless used it. It is to this route that Al-'Umari refers, Masalik, pp. 19–20. It is precisely this route, which ran, as it were, along the backbone of the kingdom, that is most carefully plotted in medieval maps of the Christian kingdom, and most frequently mentioned in the available itineraries. Cf. Crawford, op. cit., pp. 14, 21, 42–5.


3 Ibn Said (1214–74) gives the best description of 'Aouan which was already Muslim in his time, Aboul Feda, Géographie, p. 212. Mufazzal also mentions it as
INTERNAL CONFLICT AND REASETMENT

Another major route ran inland from the port of Zeila. This appears to have been the most important direction of Islamic penetration in the Ethiopian region, and already before the mid fourteenth century all the Muslim settlements were known in Egypt and Syria by the collective name of 'the Country of Zeila'. The main road ran in a south-westerly direction, traversed the districts around the present sites of Harär and Dirédawa, and continued eastwards, probably keeping on the northern side of the range of mountains which divide the basins of the Awash and the Shábällé rivers. As it approached the Awash crossing, it probably divided into two: one branch went in a south-westerly direction to Däwaro, the other crossed the Awash, and then branched off once again into two main roads. One of these proceeded in a slightly north-easterly direction across difficult an Abyssinian port, north of the district of Sahart, and from which the main route to Amhara started, Histoire des sultans Mamlouks, p. 223. Amdă-Siyon's expedition to the coast probably took this road. After the establishment of the monastery of Bīzān in A.D. 1388/9 the community kept its commercial relations with Mišiwwa and Dahlak along this route, Gădlă Filipos (Bīzān), p. 106.

1 Al-'Umari, op. cit. p. 4.
2 A group of Muslim tombstones with Arabic inscriptions have been found at Châlênoq and Lasto, west of Harär, Azais, R. P., and Chambard, R., Cinq Années de recherches archéologiques en Éthiopie, pp. 125, 129. Two of the inscriptions of Lasto bear the dates A.D. 1267/8 and 1276, ibid., pp. 288–91, 308.
3 This seems to be clear from the striking similarity between the geographical conditions of the itineraries of Amdă-Siyon in his campaign against Adal in A.D. 1332 and those of Ahmad Gragn in his invasion of the Christian kingdom two centuries later, cf. especially Perruchon, J., Histoire des guerres d'Amdă-Şiyon, pp. 317, 432; Basset, R. (tr.), Futūḥ al-Habasha, pp. 84, 94. Both armies moved in the semi-desert regions on the north side of the Harär plateau.
4 It was apparently along this branch of the eastern route on his return march from Adal, and immediately after his visit to Biqulzár, that Amdă-Şiyon led his punitive expeditions against the people of Däwaro and Sarka, who had been harassing his line of communications while he was in Adal, Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 432–8. Ahmad Gragn was also camping at Biqulzár, about two days east of the river Awash, when he explained to his generals '... the route to Däwaro is nearby and here is another route which will take us to the city of the Christian dog Wănag-Săgăd'. Basset, R., op. cit., p. 174.
5 Săbrădín apparently fled to Däwaro along this route in 1332, and the Christian army sent against him in Ifät probably travelled the same way to join Amdă-Siyon east of the Awash, Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 290–1, 302–3. Gragn's first raid on the kingdom west of the Awash was also conducted along this route and his army penetrated as far as Gindábállo, Basset, op. cit., pp. 53–66. This is doubtless the route to Zeila reported in 1523 by 'Brother Antonio', Crawford, op. cit., pp. 173–5. Crawford identified this with a roundabout route from Zeila via Aussa to Gindábállo, ibid., pp. 95–6, and fig. 20. This was based on his assumption that Genasere may have been in Aussa. But 'Djanasir' was a place in the Harär region, probably further east, Basset, op. cit., p. 146. The climatic descriptions in Zorzi's notes fit the area perfectly.
country in the eastern slopes of the Shāwan plateau to the Muslim principality of Ḥifat. The second branch probably passed near Mount Fāntalē, and climbed the plateau directly westwards.¹

Other routes doubtless connected the Christian kingdom westwards with Damot,² and southwards with Guragé-land and with Hadya.³ It is also apparent that some branches of the eastern route from Zeila proceeded in a south-westerly direction to eastern Dāwaro, Bali, and further inland to Hadya and the lake region. It is most likely that these different roads branched off from the main route somewhere around the Harār–Dirēdawa region and served as the lines of further penetration into the interior of south-eastern Ethiopia, where small and mutually independent Muslim settlements had already been flourishing in the first half of the fourteenth century.⁴

These were the life-lines of the Ethiopian region, and much of the

¹ This was apparently the road Ḍamā-Ṣiyon himself took in his 1332 campaigns when he is clearly said to have followed ‘le chemin de droite’, Perruchon, op. cit., p. 340. In the area between the edge of the plateau and Mount Fāntalē the community of Abba Qāwistōs at Nibgē was consolidating its religious influence, and the same route was probably used in the local Christian–Muslim conflicts preserved in the hagiographical traditions, ‘Gādāl Qāwistōs’, ff. 94–101⁸. Ahmad Gragn’s forces used this route on their way to Badaq on the eve of the battle of Shimbra-Kurē in 1529, Basset, op. cit., pp. 95–116. All these three branches of the eastern route to Zeila are vividly described by an Ethiopian chronicler in his report of the flight of the Christian troops after Gragn’s first success against Dāgālhan in Hubat, ‘... [there were some] who went on the route to Dāwaro, [others] on the route to Fāṭagar, [others] on the route to Ḥift and Dīdim ...’, Conti Rossini, ‘Storia di Libna Dingil re d’Etiopia’, in RRAL, ser. 5, vol. iii (1894), p. 637.

² In Ḍamā-Ṣiyon’s campaigns to Damot no itineraries are given. Among the provinces of the Kingdom in 1332 Damot, ‘Arab (= Warab?)’, Indāgābān, Wage (= Wāj?), Mugār are enumerated, Perruchon, op. cit., p. 280. From the hagiographical traditions about the 14th century access to Damot also seems to have been via the region where the rivers Muqār, Awash, and Guđār have their headwaters. The military colonies in this region were most likely connected with the protection of this western route to the rich province of Damot.

³ Alamāle (= Aymellel) in northern Guragé-land is specially mentioned as one of the southern provinces of the kingdom in 1332, Perruchon, op. cit., p. 280. It may be that it was via this district that Ḍamā-Ṣiyon’s campaigns against Hadya were conducted. See Ch. IV. In the beginning of the 16th century Alvarez witnessed royal troops passing to and from Hadya precisely in this direction, The Prester John of the Indies, pp. 427, 430, 434–6.

⁴ See Ch. IV. Zeila was the port used by all, and this common dependence on the eastern route gave the users some measure of unity. According to Al-’Umari, Ḥifat, which controlled Zeila and the main route, enjoyed some kind of political supremacy over them, op. cit., pp. 5, 19. There are later references to direct routes from Hadya via Dāwaro and Bali to the Harār region. Perruchon, Chroniques de Zara Ya’eqob, pp. 17–21, 58–60. The same routes were also used by the invading army of Ahmad Gragn, Basset, R., Futūḥ al-Habasha (tr.), pp. 132–70.
conflict between the Muslims and the Christians on the one hand, and the local princes and the royal court on the other, involved the control of the commercial traffic conducted along these major routes. Yikunno-Amlak seems to have drawn much of his success in the dynastic struggle from his apparently very close contact with the Muslim merchant communities in Amhara and Shāwa. His grandson, Amdā-Ṣiyon, translated this economic co-operation into strict political and military dominance and soon emerged as the richest and most powerful single ruler in the Ethiopian region.

Between his accession to power in 1314 and the Muslim wars in 1332 Amdā-Ṣiyon’s energetic campaigns throughout the country had brought him full control of the different trade routes and the interior provinces which they served. His most important demand on the Muslim communities was to recognize his overlordship as ‘the king of all the Muslim realms of Ethiopia’. In economic terms this meant a complete freedom on his part to participate in, and to control, their lucrative commercial activities. It is clear that already before 1332 the king was not only levying tribute and taxes on his Muslim subjects but he had also organized a strong commercial concern of his own. One of his grievances against Sābrādin of Ifat in 1332 was the latter’s interference with the royal caravans operating along the eastern route: ‘You took away the commodities belonging to me obtained in exchange for the large quantity of gold and silver I had entrusted to the merchants. And you imprisoned the traders who did business for me.’ Many of the Muslim traders ‘did business’ for him, and their tributes to his treasury consisted of the items of trade they obtained from Egypt, Yemen, and Iraq.

Evidence for the exact nature of the items of trade is very hard to come by. But some general conclusions can be formed. An essential basis for the commercial activities of the Muslim traders in the Ethiopian region seems to have been the supply of domestic slaves

1 See p. 126.
2 Perruchon, op. cit., p. 304 (text).
3 Ibid., pp. 298 (text), 344 (tr). Perruchon did not understand the passage very well. He could not see the obvious mistake made by the copyist in writing the word Nāidayn for Nāgadyan which makes all the difference between ‘the poor’ and ‘merchants’. Even in the altered text, however, there is the word for ‘sale’ at the end of the sentence which is simply omitted by Perruchon in his translation.
4 Ibid., pp. 304 (text), 348 (tr.). Here again Perruchon missed the point about trade contained in the passage to which Huntingford has recently done more justice, The Glorious Victories of Amda-Siyon (1965), p. 67.
5 Al-Umari, op. cit., p. 2.
to the Near and Middle East. Ethiopian slaves were highly priced in the Muslim world,\(^1\) whither they were transported in considerable numbers through the principal ports of Dahlab\(^2\) and Zeila. It appears that they were originally obtained from all of the non-Muslim districts, and cases of Sahart (= Tigré) and Amhara slaves are reported in Yemen.\(^3\) With the revival of Christian political power, the number of Christian men and women thus taken for export into Arabia must have been drastically reduced. But even after 1270, isolated cases of Christians being carried away are available in many traditions.\(^4\) It was mainly from among the pagan communities, however, that most of the slaves were obtained.

Hadya was an important centre of trade in the south. Ibn Said (1214–74) was the earliest Arab writer to mention the place as such, and he mentioned it in connection with the slave trade.\(^5\) Here the slaves collected in the surrounding area were prepared for exportation. Many of them were rendered eunuchs at a neighbouring place called Washilu,\(^6\) and brought to Hadya for medical treatment, after

---


\(^2\) It seems that this island port supplied particularly Yemen with Nubian and Ethiopian slaves. It is reported for the year A.D. 976 that an annual supply of 1,000 slaves, of whom half were Ethiopian and Nubian females, used to be sent to the Ziyadite rulers of Yemen, Umarah, *Yaman, its Early Medieval History*, ed. and tr. Kay, H. C., 1892, pp. 8, 143. The practice probably continued even after the fall of the Ziyadites when the ‘Habesh slaves’ exercised power in the coastal strip of Yemen for an almost unbroken period of over a century (A.H. 409–533). Ibid., pp. 15–16, 81–93.

\(^3\) Ibid., pp. 15, 104, 117. Faraj as-Sharti and Surur as-Amhari ruled one after the other as Wazirs of Zebid between A.D. 1133 and 1157. Another tribe represented as having come from the Ethiopian region is called Jazali and furnished the Banu Najah slave dynasty of Yemen, ibid., p. 96. In a short list of Ethiopian tribes Dimashqi also has ‘the Djazl who are renowned for their beautiful physique’, *Manuel de la cosmographie du Moyen Âge*, tr. Mehrer, M. A. F., 1874, pp. 388–9. Dimashqi’s *Djazl* may be the same as Umarah’s *Jazali*, which is otherwise unidentifiable.

\(^4\) Perruchon, op. cit., p. 314 (text). Some time before 1337, Abba Éwoséthetewos is said to have quarrelled with his fellow countrymen of Sàra’è, whom he blamed for ‘the sale of Christians’, *Gândla Éwosësatévos*, p. 35. Occasional raids also seem to have affected the Ethiopian anchorites in Waldbiba. *Gândla Samuel*, p. 24. Wright, *Catalogue*, Or. 695, f. 51*. The security of the young community of Bizàn was overshadowed by the slave-lifting practices of the coastal Muslims in the lifetime of its first two abbots, *Il ‘Gadla Filippos’ e il ‘Gadla Yohannes’ di Bizan*, ed. Conti Rossiini, pp. 106, 144. There are also later traditions of miracles about Christian slaves thus taken away, *Gli atti di Abuna Yonas*, ed. and tr. Conti Rossiini, pp. 240–3, 245–7. It is most interesting, however, that all these incidents refer to frontier areas.

\(^5\) Aboul Feda, op. cit., p. 229.

\(^6\) Ibn Said does not name the place and only calls it ‘a nearby village’, loc. cit. The name is first given by Al-'Umari, op. cit., p. 16. It was probably south of
which they were sent to Zeila.\textsuperscript{1} It is apparent that the region south of the organized states of Damot, Hadya, and Balî was a particularly rich field for continuous slave raids undertaken by the Muslim merchants and their local partners. Large-scale wars of conquest such as those of King Amdâ-Şiyon also yielded great numbers of slaves.

Some hagiographical traditions tend to rule out Christian participation in the slave trade, which is considered to have been an exclusively Muslim affair. At one place a group of Muslims converted to the Church are made to say: ‘We no longer sell slaves . . . (like the Muslims) . . . after being baptized in the name of Christ.’\textsuperscript{2} This probably represented some monastic reservations about slavery in general.\textsuperscript{3} But in fact many Christian families, including those of the local saints, had numerous slaves.\textsuperscript{4} Gifts of slaves were even made to prominent monks.\textsuperscript{5} The numerous captives taken during military campaigns were usually reduced to slavery. The terms used by Amdâ Şiyon’s chroniclers in describing his successes clearly indicate that slave-raiding was an important by-product of many of his campaigns: ‘He went to the country of Hadya and killed [many of] the people of that land . . . and the rest he made captives with their king, great [men] and small, men and women, old and young, and transported them into his kingdom.’\textsuperscript{6}

Of the destination of such captives of war Amdâ-Şiyon is made to say elsewhere: ‘I exiled them into another country.’\textsuperscript{7} A large part of these was doubtless attached to the royal court itself and furnished new recruits for the king’s army. Many of them ended in private families, and it is most likely that others passed into the hands of Muslim merchants engaged in the long-distance trade. In this period

Hadya but no specific identification is now possible. The best is still Arab Faqih’s ‘Washiloh, in the frontiers of Ganz’, an area which was to the south-west of Hadya in the 16th century, Futuh al-Habasha, tr. Basset, p. 201 and n. 5. Cf. also pp. 83 n. 2, 377–90.
\textsuperscript{2} ‘Gâdlâ Zêna-Marqos’, MS. Dâbrâ Şigê, f. 69\textsuperscript{a}.
\textsuperscript{3} Such reservations are apparent in some scattered references, Wright, Catalogue, Or. 719, f. 44\textsuperscript{a}. Gâdlâ Yaqirânnâ-Igztî, pp. 82–6 (text). Gâdlâ Ëwosstatêwos, p. 35.
\textsuperscript{4} Abundant references show this. Together with his ownership of 300 beehives, the father of Abba Mârha-Kristos (d. 1492) was noted for his numerous slaves, ‘Gâdlâ Mârha-Kristos’, MS. Dâbrâ Libanos, p. 82.
\textsuperscript{5} Gâdlâ Yaqirânnâ-Igztî’, p. 82.
\textsuperscript{6} Perruchon, op. cit., p. 287 (text); cf. also pp. 294, 434–8.
\textsuperscript{7} Taddesse Tamrat, ‘The Abbots of Dâbrâ Hayq’, see pp. 95–6.
of great expansion of the kingdom, the need to ensure the full recognition of the growing power of Christian rule offered the king’s troops invaluable opportunities for raids and counter-raids in the frontier areas, which kept the slave markets well supplied.

Ivory was another important item of trade. The valleys of the Täkkäzé,¹ Barka, and Ansābā rivers, and other provinces of the kingdom,² were very rich in elephants and other wild animals. It is apparent that the kings undertook the systematic hunting of elephants, the tusks of which they entrusted to their commercial agents. A hagiographical tradition about the fourteenth century gives an interesting picture of the commercial exploits of the Christian kings:

[The Muslim traders] did business in India, Egypt, and among the people of Greece with the money of the king. He gave them ivory, and excellent horses from Shāwa and red, pure gold from Enarya... and these Muslims... went to Egypt, Greece, and Rome and exchanged them for very rich damasks adorned with green and scarlet stones and with the leaves of red gold, which they brought to the king.³

The Ethiopian interior also seems to have supplied the coastal towns, probably on both sides of the Red Sea, with fresh fruits and cereals.⁴ This was the general pattern of trade in the fourteenth century and it seems to have shown no appreciable change between the thirteenth and sixteenth centuries.

The royal court was the busiest centre of trade, and the kings benefited most from it. Of all his predecessors on the throne since 1270, Amdā-Šiyon’s empire was by far the largest, and he was the first among them to reap economic fruits from the growing power of the kingdom. This dramatic change in the political and economic

¹ Wright, Catalogue, Or. 695, ff. 49b-51a. Gādlā Samu’él (Waldibba), p. 22.
³ ‘Gādlā Zēna-Marqos’, MS. Dābrā Śigé, ff. 69b-70a. Cf. Al-‘Umari, op. cit., p. 2. According to the latter, gold was obtained from Damot, p. 13. In the 12th century, Idrisi considered that gold was rare in Ethiopia and that silver and slaves constituted the most important exports of Zeila, op. cit., pp. 29-30. Cf. also Crawford, op. cit., pp. 149, 151 and n. 2. Alvarez, The Prester John, pp. 455-7. Basset, op. cit., pp. 70-1. The Portuguese Expedition to Abyssinia in 1541, tr. by Whiteway, R. S., Hakluyt Society, 2nd series, no. 10 (1902), pp. 234-9. For gold obtained from Innarya in the 17th century see Almeida. Some Records, tr. Beckingham and Huntingford, pp. 85, 149. Al-‘Umari’s mention of Narya and Gənj seems to suggest also that these areas were already in Amdā-Šiyon’s hands, op. cit. pp. 23-4.
status of the Christian kings was not lost to Amdä-Şiyon's chroniclers, who epitomized his reign with the following generous compliment: ‘... In his reign, gold and silver were as abundant as pieces of stone, and very rich dresses were as numerous in his court as the leaves of the trees and like the grass in the fields.’ Amdä-Şiyon used his fabulous wealth in building his huge army and in consolidating his power throughout the empire. His military exploits became widely known in the Muslim world, and the Mamluke chancery called him ‘the glory of the Christian religion ... the protector of the southern regions, the successor of the Apostles, of the learned doctors, and of the saints, the honour of the church of Syon, unique among Jacobite kings’.  

Like Yagba-Şiyon before him, he had a Syrian secretary, who came from a well-known Christian family of Damascus, and he kept in close touch with developments in the Near and Middle East. He raised public morale among his Christian subjects and ambitious young men rallied around his court in search of wealth and adventure. The most successful Muslim entrepreneurs offered their services to him, and the newly conquered pagan communities provided an inexhaustible source of manpower for his growing army.

*The Christian army*

Like many other institutions of his empire, the organization of Amdä-Şiyon’s army was a direct replica of that of the kingdom of Aksum at the time of its greatest splendour. The description of the Aksumite army in one of Ezana’s inscriptions makes it strikingly similar to that of the medieval empire. An essential common feature was the regional (or tribal) character of the military organization in both cases. It seems clear that the Christian army under Amdä-Şiyon had two basic elements. First, there was an effective striking force closely attached to the royal Court, and this we may call the central army of

---

1 Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 289 (text), 337 (tr.).  
3 Al-'Umari, op. cit., p. 32.  
4 For the text of the inscription see Deutsche Aksum-Expedition, iv, p. 32. A French version is available in Kammerer, A., *Essai sur l'histoire antique d'Abyssinie*, pp. 95–7. Ezana's army consisted of contingents of Mahaza, Hara, Damawa Falha, Sera, Halén, Lakén, Sabarat. These names have not yet been identified, but they almost certainly stood for place-names or peoples. Halén, for example, was probably the place of origin or tribe of King Ezana himself. In his inscriptions and coins he styles himself as ‘Ezana, the man of Halén ... ’, ibid., pp. 89 n. 2; 90 n. 1; and 157–9.
the king. Secondly, in times of national or local crises, the monarch raised a huge local militia from the Christian provinces. These kept their local character and served in different contingents such as those from Tigré, Lasta (Bugna), Amhara, and Sháwa. They were again subdivided into smaller units each commanded by its own local chief-tain. A substantial part of the Christian army during major expeditions consisted of these local units, but the control over them exercised by the monarch was often minimal. The tug-of-war between Court and country had been a constant factor in Ethiopian political and military history, and will be referred to below. It must be said here, however, that Amdá-Ṣiyon’s reign opened up a new phase in the relations between the monarch and his vassals, and the balance of power decisively swung over in favour of the kings and basically remained so for over two hundred years. Amdá-Ṣiyon’s best remedy for the centrifugal forces of his kingdom was the effective central army he had successfully built around his court.

The nucleus of this army consisted of a number of special contingents mainly organized in two different ways. First of all, there were a number of mutually independent regiments, each commanded by an officer directly responsible to the king himself. Every such regiment was given a symbolic name, and maintained an esprit de corps of its own. These picked warriors included the regiments of Qästä-Nihb, Täkula, and Haräb-Gonda. They vied with one another to fulfil the king’s orders, and to please the monarch who ‘raised us (from childhood) and fostered us to die (with him)’. Their commanders were absolutely loyal to him, and some of them are specially mentioned as having been very intimate friends of the king. Some were probably his relations. Among the prominent officers of the

1 Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 293, 382–3, 399.
2 Ibid., pp. 293 (text), 340 (tr.). We have here an example of such organization. The ‘Mäkwanti’ and ‘Shums’ cited in connection with the places quoted represent the local chieftaincies in the kingdom.
3 Perruchon, op. cit., p. 382 (text). Qästä-Nihb literally means ‘the sting of the bee’; Täkula means ‘jackal’.
4 Ibid., p. 290 (text). Of all the troops sent out together against Säbrädin in Ḥaf, the regiment of Täkula distinguished itself by being the first to arrive in and attack Ḥaf despite the difficulty of the road.
5 Ibid., pp. 410 (text).
6 Simishähal commanded Qästä-Nihb, ibid., p. 382 (text), and he is mentioned with his colleague Inzä-Ayğäb as ‘most beloved’ officers of the king who was greatly distressed when they were wounded at the Battle of Hāgāra, pp. 428–9. Inzä-Ayğäb is almost certainly the same ‘officer of the king’s troops’ whose name is a little differently given as Yanz-Ayğäb, p. 289 (text).
army in 1332 for instance were Amdā-Šīyon's own son, Saf-Asāgid, and also one of his brothers-in-law. The rank and file of these regiments probably consisted of the best men recruited from different parts of the country, and professional soldiers of fortune who had joined the court in search of wealth and adventure. These men had tied up their fortunes with that of the king and served him with complete devotion in various assignments. They formed the hard core of the fighting force in his expeditions against rebellious governors and in his wars of conquest in Muslim and pagan areas. He made use of them whenever swift and effective actions had to be taken. Sometimes, when emergency action was required, he sent his loyal officers on provincial assignments as local governors. Digna, the right-wing commander of the mounted regiment of Korām in 1332, was at one time given such an assignment in Tigré, soon after the abortive revolt of the governor of Intārta.

The other part of Amdā-Šīyon's central army seems to have been organized on a regional basis. Men of the same linguistic and tribal area were grouped together to form a separate contingent such as that of Korām, Barya, Gojjam, Gondār, Damot, and Hadya. A common feature among most of these troops was that they were recruited from frontier areas newly conquered by the king. It seems most likely that they were mainly drawn from the numerous prisoners of war. Some of these passed into private hands, others were exported through the slave markets, but a large proportion must have been recruited for the service of the royal Court. Of these some were adopted as mere domestic slaves in the huge Court, but the most promising young men were probably grouped together, trained, and organized into military units. Originally, their commanders may have also been of the same provenance, but they were probably men who had long proved themselves in the king's service and had won his confidence in the meantime. Each of these contingents was probably

---

1 Ibid., pp. 400, 419 (text).
2 Amdā-Šīyon was apparently very generously disposed towards his warriors. He occasionally gave them handsome presents and many of his followers possessed numerous male and female slaves, no doubt obtained from the large number of captives in his wars of conquest, ibid., pp. 289, 416 (text).
3 Ibid., pp. 289, 382 (text). Conti Rossini, 'L'evangelo d'oro', pp. 206–7. Sāmāy, who appears in the same list of officials as the new Ma'ıkālā-Bahr, may have also been Amdā-Šīyon's favourite commander of Qāstā-Nīhī referred to above. Even in the chronicle his name appears variously as Sumāy (-shāhal), and Simiy (-shīhal), Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 382, 428 (text).
4 Ibid., pp. 293, 382–3, 399 (text).
large and divided into smaller units. Thus, in 1332, a section of the contingent of Damot went with the army sent against the Fälasha, while another participated in the Muslim wars in Adal. These territorial contingents probably constituted the largest section of the central army and continuously received fresh reinforcements after every successful war of conquest. They also probably served as a training ground for the more prestigious regiments mentioned in the last paragraph, to which only the most tried soldiers were recruited. A brilliant young man, who may have started his career as a mere slave in the royal Court, could thus emerge as one of the king’s most favoured officers of the court.2

Amdā-Šiyon’s central army mainly consisted of these two types. Although no numerical estimates have survived, the army must have been very large, at any rate the largest and most effective single army in the whole Ethiopian region.3 It was also relatively well armed. Both his chronicler and Al’Umari give a strikingly similar account of the weapons used by the king’s troops:
	heir weapons of war are the bow with arrows resembling the nussab; swords, spears and lances. Some warriors fight with swords and with narrow and long shields. But their principal weapon is the spear which resembles a long lance. There are some [warriors] who fling darts which are [similar to] short arrows, with a long bow resembling a cross-bow . . . 4

However, it appears that the superiority of the Christian army over

---

1 Ibid., pp. 293, 383 (text).
2 The immediate reason for Amdā-Šiyon’s armed conflict with Haqādin was the latter’s detention of ‘one humble slave called Ti‘iyintay’, ibid., p. 283 (text). It may be that Ti‘iyintay was for Amdā-Šiyon just what Māhari-Kristos was for King Bā’idā-Maryam (1468–78). Originally a slave of the young prince, Māhari-Kristos was cruelly tortured when his master was suspected of a plot against his father Zāra’-Ya‘iqob. When the prince eventually took the throne his former slave became one of the highest officials in the kingdom. Perruchon, Chronique de Be‘eda-Maryam, pp. 107, 164, 180–1.
3 Al’Umari estimated the troops of Ḥfat and Hadya as 20,000 and 40,000, respectively, op. cit., pp. 6, 16. But he also stated that Amdā-Šiyon was much stronger than all of them, pp. 19, 24. The easy superiority of the Christian army can also be inferred from the fact that the king could send a large force for the suppression of local revolts in Fälasha country and Tigré on the eve of his more important Muslim wars in 1332, on which he himself set out with the rest of his troops, Perruchon, Histoire des guerres d’Amde Sion, pp. 289–90, 293–4 (text). Some of his predecessors were also noted for maintaining large armies; Lalibāla had 60,000 in c. 1210, Perruchon, ‘Extrait de la vie d’Abba Jean’, p. 83. Yikunno‘Amliak claimed to have had more than 100,000 troops, Mufazzal, op. cit., p. 384.
that of the Muslims in Amdā-Šiyon’s reign was mainly numerical. In the passage quoted above, Al-'Umari added, ‘they say that the arrows of the warriors of the Muslim borderlands are bigger’. This may not have been altogether a case of patriotism on the part of his Muslim informants. Amdā-Šiyon’s chronicler also indicates a marked difference in the kind of weapons used by the two adversaries. Thus, whereas ‘swords, daggers, iron sticks (= dimbus), and armah’ are invariably mentioned in connection with the Muslim troops, the first two items only appear in special prestigious cases in the Christian army; and the rest are never included in the list of Christian weapons in the hostilities of 1332. Although the chronicler describes Amdā-Šiyon as having been armed with ‘a sword’, it is significant that throughout the narrative he only refers to the king’s dexterity in the use of the shield, bow, arrows, and spears. Amdā-Šiyon’s army at this stage perhaps consisted only of mounted and foot soldiers, armed with arrows and spears, a description also given by the chronicler himself.

It is apparent that, drawing heavily on the experiences of their brothers in the Near and Middle East, with whom they always kept in close contact, the Muslims of the Ethiopian region were better off in the variety of their arms. But this only gave them some advantage in cases of military engagements at very close range, which the Christians avoided, and for which they more than made up by their superiorities in numbers. Even in this difference of military weapons, however, Amdā-Šiyon had already made considerable strides in bridging the gap. As we have seen above, swords were already being

---

1 He specifically mentions a certain Sheikh Abdallah Ez-Zeila'i and his companions as his informants, op. cit., pp. 2–5. Another Muslim merchant El-Hajj Farej el-Funi, and the Egyptian (Jacobite) Patriarch Benjamin (1327–39) also supplied him with some information, pp. 16–17, 27.


3 Ibid., pp. 306, 309, 312, 313, 383 (text), 349, 353, 442 (tr.).

4 They appear, however, in hagiographical descriptions of the Court where they only seem to have been employed for purposes of pompous display, Gädldä Bäšiłołtä-Mika'el, p. 27; Gädldä Aron, p. 140.


6 Ibid., p. 383 (text), 442 (tr.).

7 The Christians seem to have been at a disadvantage when engagements were too close. The king was almost killed on one occasion. His Muslim assailant used a sword while the king only employed a spear with which he barely saved his life, ibid., pp. 307 (text), 350 (tr.).
used in his army to some extent. They were probably mostly obtained from abroad through Muslim traders themselves, and by the year 1332 King Amdā-Ṣiyōn had created a separate group of warriors specially armed with swords.\(^1\) Together with this group is mentioned another contingent of which the warriors are called ‘carriers of shields’. Since every soldier armed with bows, arrows, and spears also carried a shield, the need for such a special group of ‘carriers of shields’ would seem rather superfluous. It is apparent, however, that here again Amdā-Ṣiyōn was actively improving the effectiveness of his army. The clue to this seems to be found in his chronicler’s complimentary description of the fighting skill of Adali warriors of whom he says at one place: ‘There are some among them who shoot with arrows, and others protect them with shields.’\(^2\) It is most likely that with the organization of the ‘carriers of shields’ Amdā-Ṣiyōn had also started adopting similar tactics of warfare.

King Amdā-Ṣiyōn was thus at the head of a large, well-organized, central army with which he effectively ruled his empire and undertook successful wars of conquest in the whole of the Ethiopian region. The pattern of the organization of the army was most favourable for the concentration of power in the person of the monarch. Amdā-Ṣiyōn’s army did not have a monolithic organization wherein the line of command ran from the king through successively subordinate officers down to the ordinary soldier. It was rather a group of mutually independent contingents commanded by numerous officers of equal rank, each responsible to the king himself. This made the king the sole master of his forces and the emergence of a warrior leader with sufficient influence in the army to challenge the king’s position was quite impossible. Whenever one of the regional hereditary chiefs grew too powerful, the king used his central army to impose his will in the provinces. The constant state of mobilization throughout his reign, during which the kingdom was undergoing an active expansion in Muslim, pagan, and Fālasha areas, also gave an additional impetus to the consolidation of the personal power of the king.

### Administration of the kingdom

Amdā-Ṣiyōn’s conquests covered a very wide area, and, as we shall see in a subsequent chapter, the administration of the new provinces

\(^1\) Perruchon, op. cit, p. 383. The name of the commanding officer was Harb-’Asmi’a.

\(^2\) Ibid., pp. 427 (text), 474 (tr.).
perfected itself only later under his fifteenth-century successors. But already in his own reign it had started to adopt the pattern of the feudal organization of the northern provinces. We have made a brief reference above to the general tendencies in these areas towards local autonomy. This was quite inherent in the administrative system long developed in the Christian kingdom. It seems that there had been two layers of local administrative officials. The first consisted of a series of hereditary chiefs whose position of authority was originally derived from the royal court. But, possessed of local power for many generations, they seem to have in time developed traditional rights of hereditary rule. Each of these local chiefs generally held the title of *Siyum*, which clearly indicates a royal appointment at least in its origin.¹

These small chieftaincies were the basic units of the whole political and military structure of the medieval empire, and they served as a launching pad for the emergence of the leadership of Christian Ethiopia. A close study of the traditions of different royal dynasties often indicates their ultimate origin in these small local chieftaincies. A number of local traditions illustrate the characteristic pattern of this development. In many cases, a prospective leader started his career at the Court of a local chief, to whom he may have been a relative or just a follower. While in this humble position, he distinguished himself with bravery and other indications of leadership in the local feuds of his chief with other neighbouring districts. Thus he gradually acquired considerable influence, and became a focus of much interest among the followers of the chief. When the chief died he assumed power, by legitimate succession if he was a son, or otherwise by a simple act of usurpation of power, sometimes committed even in the lifetime of the chief. Once in the saddle of local power, the new ruler subsequently approached the royal Court with such demonstrations of loyalty that he was in time confirmed in the office, which he had already made his own by force of arms. He could even grow further in prestige, with the king’s favour, acquire a prestigious title granted by the monarch, and be given charge of a larger political

¹ There are many references to such local officials with the title of ‘Siyum’, and ‘Mäkonin’ in many land grants, in Amdä-Śiyon’s chronicles and in the lives of the saints of the period under review, Conti Rossini, ‘L’evangelo d’oro,’ pp. 208–10; Perruchon, *Histoire des guerres*, p. 293. Gädłä Bašaltōt-Mika’el, p. 24; Gädłä Filipos (Asbo), p. 220; Gädłä Ėwoṣṭat̢ewos, pp. 38–41, 62, 72. The hereditary character of these offices is also implied, ibid., pp. 52–3; Wright, op. cit., Or. 695, ff. 38–40.
unit, including his own. Under favourable circumstances such a resourceful local prince could easily grow into a serious rival of the monarch himself.

A local ruler who acquired power in these ways fully exerted the king’s authority in his own province. When the power of the kings was weak, there was little or no interference in his local administration by the royal Court. For all practical purposes, he maintained a virtually independent local Court modelled on that of the kings themselves. On his death he was normally succeeded by his own son who would get full royal confirmation as long as he continued to show the traditional signs of his loyalty to the king. These signs of loyalty consisted of two acts, both of cardinal importance: the collection and submission of the king’s tributes accruing from the region, and the readiness to contribute an adequate fighting force to the king’s army during a national crisis or to send contingents against local rebellions in the name of the king when called upon to do so.

This extremely local orientation of the structure of the Christian kingdom tended to make it a loose confederation of regional princelands. It was particularly so at a time of a general decline in the power of the kings. This appears to have been the case in the final years of the Zagwé dynasty and Yikunno-’Amlak took full advantage of the situation. The first two decades of the new dynasty also saw local rulers trying to reassert themselves.

It is apparent that, to offset this chronic tendency towards local autonomy, the kings had instituted an altogether different layer of

---

1 For examples of this vital development see Conti Rossini, ‘Studi su popolazioni dell’Etiopia’, pp. 82–4, 87–9, 90–2; Garrone, V., ‘Gli Atcheme Melga’, pp. 997–8.
2 The case of the powerful rulers of Intärtä before the reign of Amdä-Şiyon has been considered above. Basically, the emergence of Yikunno-’Amlak to power followed the same pattern. Most likely too, the beginnings of the Zagwé dynasty have to be looked at in the same light.
3 Failure to bring him tributes was given as the reason of Amdä-Şiyon’s campaigns against his vassals, Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 286, 298. In a hagiographical tradition, a local saint miraculously intervenes in favour of his congregation threatened with an imminent punitive campaign when they failed to pay tribute to the king, ‘Gădţlă Zêna-Margos’, f. 78b.
4 Amdä-Şiyon used local forces to suppress local revolts, Perruchon, op. cit., p. 293. Hamasën troops returning from war in which they had served in the king’s army visited a Tigré saint on the way, Wright, op. cit., Or. 695, f. 22b. The rulers of Särrâ’ and Bur are reported as being at the royal Court in Shäwa, Gădţlă Œwostatéwos, pp. 38–41 (text). King Dawit sent his governor of Tigré to suppress a local rebellion in Fălasha country, Gădţlă Yaftirámmá-Igzi, ed. Wajnberg, pp. 56–8 (text). Conti Rossini, ‘Appunti di storia e letteratura Falascia’, in RSO, vii (1920), pp. 572, 577.
local officials appointed by them and put in charge of large political units. These officials were given a series of royal titles which signified the responsibilities of their position. The titles of Aqabé-Šansán, Ma'ıkälä-Bahr, Täwazat, Hasgwa, and Šhaföl-Lam apparently belonged to this second category of officials. These were the king's nominees and, as long as he mustered enough political and military strength, they were completely dependent on his power. He reshuffled them according to his wishes and his needs. Thus, between 1322 and 1328, the titles of Ma'ıkälä-Bahr and Hasgwa were each held by at least two individuals. Even at a time when his military power was considerable, however, this absolute discretion of the king to transfer his officials probably did not apply to the hereditary rulers of these areas. Except in cases of open rebellion, when a military show-down was unavoidable, it was always impolitic to interfere in their local affairs, and the kings seem to have left them in full possession of their traditional right of leadership.

The centrifugal forces in the kingdom arose mainly from this dual system of local administration; and it was precisely this system that Amdä-Šiyon began to apply in his newly acquired provinces. In a long list of local governors in 1332, his chronicler significantly uses the titles of Nágasí and Mäsfin for the various local officials in Gojjam, Sháwa, and Damot. It is most likely that these titles refer to the descendants of the former rulers of these areas before their annexation by the Christian kingdom. With the gradual removal of the centre of the kingdom to the Sháwan plateau, the regions of

---

1 This was an important title of royal officials. See p. 73 n. 1. It also seems to have been used in its shorter form Aqansán, cf. Taddesse Tamrat, 'The Abbots of Dábär Hayq', n. 66.
2 For this title see p. 74 n. 5.
3 This title first appears in the reign of Amdä-Šiyon, Perruchon, op. cit., p. 293 (text). Conti Rossini, 'L'evangelo d'oro', pp. 208, 210. It seems that it referred to the local governors of one of the northern provinces, and since it was once held by the king's son it appears to have been of considerable importance.
4 References to this title are found after A.D. 1225, Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 190–4, 199, 208. Wright, op. cit., Or. 695, f. 27a, where an official bearing the title is seen apparently collecting the king's tribute in Tämbén. Cf. also Taddesse Tamrat, op. cit., n. 143.
5 First mentioned in Lalibälä's reign with no regional connotations, Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 190. Officials of the same title for Amhara appear also in the reign of Amdä-Šiyon, Perruchon, op. cit., p. 281.
6 Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 204, 206, 208. Wright, loc. cit.
7 Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 280–2 (text), 329–30 (tr.). Only the ruler of Gojjam is called Nágast here. Cf. also Gudöl-Yafqirannä-Igzi', pp. 16, 24. Elsewhere the rulers of pre-conquest Hadya and Damot are also referred to by the same title, Taddesse Tamrat, op. cit., pp. 95–6.
Amhara and Shāwa acquired a special status and became direct apanages of the royal court. In the outlying provinces, however, the traditional system of local administration fully obtained, and the king’s intervention largely consisted in making sure that the hereditary succession of local power passed to favourable candidates. Al-‘Umari’s account of the king’s control of the Muslim areas also applied to the empire as a whole.

Conquest and Gult

The economic basis for the power of the Christian monarch lay in his traditional right to distribute fiefs in return for military or other services. The ideological background for this was ultimately derived from the theory that all land within his dominions belonged to the king. This constitutional theory was certainly antecedent to the ‘Solomonic’ dynasty, and it also appears in the traditions about earlier Ethiopian monarchs. The hagiographer of the Zagwé king Lalibäla compliments him for paying for the land on which he built his churches. He attributes this to the king’s special sense of piety and saintliness and asks a rhetorical question to demonstrate the traditional power of the monarch in the distribution of land: ‘Who would have forbidden the king if he had decided to take the land [without purchase]?’ In his famous conflict with the monastic leaders which we shall consider below, Amdä-Šiyon is also said to have demanded their absolute obedience to him because they lived ‘on the land of the king’. His son and successor Säyfā-Ara’d is also said to have made the claim that ‘God gave [all the] land to me’. A more practical example of this royal prerogative over land is furnished by the abundant records of land grants made by the kings and in their name to churches and individuals.

The vast tracts of land that had recently come into their possession by conquest provided Amdä-Šiyon and his successors with the real basis for their political and military power. In this they were merely following the precedents of earlier dynasties of the Christian kingdom. All the Christian provinces in the north were originally acquired by wars of conquest. It is apparent that every such conquest was fol-

3 *Gädlä Aron*, p. 130.
5 This seems to be very clear from the inscriptions of the early Aksumite kings, particularly that of Adulis, first reported by Cosmas, McCrindle, *The Christian
owed by allotments of land being distributed in fief among the king’s followers and heavy dues being imposed on the conquered people. With the passage of time, however, the conquerors and the conquered became religiously and ethnically assimilated and developed a single, albeit tribally diversified, Christian identity. It was precisely the same historical process that was being re-enacted in the recently acquired provinces under the new dynasty. The juridical effect of a fresh military conquest was to reduce all the conquered people and their entire belongings to the king’s absolute power. He appropriated all the people and their land, and reserved every right to dispose of them according to his wishes. He executed all resistance fighters who fell into his hands, and reduced to slavery other captives of war. The conquering army then raided the country, burning and looting and taking away the cattle, horses, and other possessions of the local people. These acts of cruel repression were deliberately committed not only to replenish the ever-failing provisions of the huge Christian army, but also to force the people to surrender, and to give them a terrible example of the destructive force of the Christian army in case of further revolts. 

1 At first such military conquests apparently did not lead to a large-scale occupation of the area by Christians. As soon as the people surrendered, they were offered peace terms and allowed to live under such members of their traditional chiefly families as were prepared to accept the Christian king as their overlord. These vassal princes were then required to appear at the royal Court and submit their occasional tributes in person, and to protect the king’s Christian subjects within their jurisdiction. It appears that at first


1 On the eve of the wars of 1332 Amda-Şiyon reminded Säbrädin of the destruction that befell Ḥifät and its dependencies when his predecessor Haqädīn provoked the Christian kingdom, Perruchon, op. cit. 282–4 (text), 332–3 (tr.). References to similar havoc committed by the Christian army are also abundant, ibid., pp. 287, 294, 425, 429–30, 434–8. See also Tadesse Tamrat, loc. cit.

2 The highest expression of Säbrädin’s revolt in 1332 was his message to King Amda-Şiyon saying: ‘I shall not come to your palace, I shall not present myself in front of you . . .’, Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 285, 334. The king of Hadya is also said to have revolted against Amda-Şiyon when he listened to the advice of a Muslim cleric who told him: ‘Do not go to the Court of the king of Şiyon, and do not give him any presents’, ibid., pp. 287, 335.

3 Amda-Şiyon’s quarrel with both Haqädīn I and Säbrädin was partly because they interfered with the freedom of movement of his subjects, ibid., pp. 283, 289, 322, 344.
the tributes thus demanded were collectively raised by the people and passed over to the Christian court through their local rulers. Gradually, however, as the power of the kingdom consolidated, military colonies were established in the new areas and large-scale Christian settlements encouraged. The regions most affected by this new development in the period under review were particularly those in Shäwa, and in Damot.

By the end of the thirteenth century there were only small, isolated Christian settlements in the central Shäwan plateau. On the advent of the new dynasty, and particularly after the extensive conquests of Amdä-Šiyon, however, this vast territory became the very centre of the Christian kingdom. Besides its own abundant agricultural resources, Shäwa occupied a strategic position at the heart of the Ethiopian region, and served as a valuable gateway to the areas beyond the upper course of the Awash and the southern tributaries of the Blue Nile. The fertile districts of Wäj, Nar'it, Indägäbbän, Wäräb, and Damot were soon made the objects of an intensive programme of Christian settlement.¹ The most important beneficiaries of this deliberate programme were no doubt the members of the king's army. It is apparent that Amdä-Šiyon and his immediate successors distributed allotments of land in these areas among their followers in return for specific services. It is not quite clear exactly how this was undertaken, nor is it possible here to determine in precise terms the relationship between the newcomers and the local people. But, from many of the available records of land grants made by these early kings, it seems possible to give a tentative reconstruction of the nature of these fiefs.

The technical term for these land grants is Gult, and it had specific political and economic implications. A church or an individual who received a piece of land as Gult by a royal decree enjoyed a number of rights in relation to the peasants living on the land. These mainly included rights to collect monthly and/or annual tributes from them. The tributes thus collected were not necessarily produced on the Gult land itself. They were only determined to meet the daily needs of the grantee and had to be collectively raised by the peasants. In a group of early land grants attributed to King Amdä-Šiyon, there

¹ It was also in these areas that the Egyptian bishop Abunä Yā'iqob (c. 1337–44) organized an active programme of evangelization. See Ch. V. A land grant made by Amdä-Šiyon to one of his courtiers indicates these regions as having been most affected by this programme of Christian settlement. See p. 102 n. 3 below.
are preserved some particulars about the general items of which these tributes consisted. They included specified amounts of home-made cloth, bushels of grain, honey, bars of salt, heads of cattle and sheep, and even simple implements such as axes and sickles. The peasants were thus obliged to provide the owner of the Gult with the simplest of his needs, and their relationship with him was of the nature of an extended household. Although the land grants did as a rule make the minimum specifications of the amount and types of tributes, it appears that the demands of the grantee on the peasants and their families were in fact arbitrary and largely depended on the kind of relationships that developed between them. The tributes due from the peasants could be exacted in kind, or in personal services, or in both. It seems that particularly in areas recently annexed the exactions on the local people were invariably heavy, and entirely depended on the discretion of the individual concerned.

The owner of a Gult, who was termed a Balâ-Gult, was basically different from a landlord in that he had originally no rights on the land itself, but only on the peasants living and working on it. The ownership of the land remained in the hands of the local people, who passed it on to their descendants according to their own local customary laws. It seems that the Gult system was essentially a rationalization in peacetime of the emergency measures taken during military expeditions. When an army was sent out on a campaign, it collected its provisions from the country through which it happened to march. It is apparent that, to avoid conflicts among the regiments on such occasions, a number of homesteads were evenly distributed among the different sections of the army who obtained their provisions from

1 Wright, op. cit., Or. 481, ff. 124, 208a.
2 The only bases for these conclusions are the particulars given in the available land grants attributed to the medieval kings. A useful guide for the system of land tenure and taxation in Ethiopia was published by a senior public servant who made use of existing Government archival material and local traditions. Although it deals only with the period since the end of the last century, the book also provides an excellent introduction even for earlier centuries, Gábrá-Wáld Ingida-Wárq, Land and Taxation in Ethiopia (Amharic), Addis Ababa, 1956. See pp. 15, 17–19 about Gult in particular. Cf. also Conti Rossini, ‘I Loggo e la legge dei Loggo Sarda’, in GSAl, xvii (1904), pp. 31–3. Examples of more recent military colonizations, which are no doubt based on medieval parallels, can also be observed in the 19th-century expansion of Christian Ethiopia, Cerulli, E., Etiopia occidentale, i (1933), pp. 133–4, 233–8.
3 In 1332 Amdâ-Šiyon’s troops suffered a number of casualties while thus collecting provisions in the hostile region of Adal, Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 430–1 (text), 476 (tr.).
the people as long as they remained in the area. At the time of such expeditions this only affected the people living on the main roads or within easy reach of the military camps. When an effective and a more permanent occupation was intended, however, the same arrangement was simply extended far and wide, and the occupied land was divided into a number of Gulțs each assigned for the maintenance of an officer and his dependants.

The Gult system was much more than an economic arrangement. It occupied an important place in the administration and the defence of the whole empire. A Balâ-Gult was also the local representative of the king, and he exercised much authority within his territory. He was responsible for the maintenance of law and order there; he led the local commandos, organized to hunt down local criminals, and he was also responsible for the local prisoners. Together with his superiors in the region, he also raised and commanded local contingents in times of hostilities with external forces. He was helped by the traditional hierarchy of the local people in the execution of these responsibilities. In the absence of the Balâ-Gult at the royal Court, or elsewhere, his representative acted for him in keeping peace. Every part of the kingdom was thus divided into numerous Gulțs, which were also administrative units at the head of which were placed a hierarchy of the king’s political and military officials. This organization served a double purpose. On the one hand, it provided an excellent means of maintaining a large territorial army, and on the other, it considerably simplified the task of governing the expanding empire by dividing it into small, easily manageable units.

Gulțs were originally given to a person in return for specific services to the monarch. The services were generally military, but numerous retainers of the royal Court could also obtain pieces of land as Gulțs for sundry reasons. The tenure of the Gult was at first strictly per-

1 The monks exiled to the provinces by Amdât-Šiyyon and Sâyfî-Ar’ad seem to have been in the custody of such officials, Gâdlî Bâsîlotâ-Mîka’el, pp. 23–4, 30; Gâdlî Filîpos, pp. 214, 220; Gâdlî Anoréwos, pp. 89–90. Gâdlî Aron, pp. 138–40, 145.

2 It is interesting that Al-‘Umari uses the same term for Amdât-Šiyyon’s troops as is employed in Mamluke Egypt to denote the soldiers who were given fiefs in return for military services, op. cit., p. 25 n. 1. In his description of the Muslim army of Ifat, however, he maintained that no such land grants were given to the soldiers, pp. 13–14. The editor’s note (p. 13 n. 6) contradicting this is based on the assumption that this last description was that of the Christian army. It is apparent, however, that the author meant to underline the difference in the organization of the Christian and Muslim armies in Ethiopia.

3 An interesting land grant to a resourceful courtier from Hadya made by
sonal, and did not entail hereditary rights. Even during the lifetime of the Balā-Gult, his tenure only lasted as long as he properly executed the responsibilities for which he was given the land. This insecurity of tenure was very convenient for the maintenance of effective control by the royal Court over the activities of the provincial officers. Gults were a medieval substitute for salaries and provided the king with a ready means of rewarding his loyal servants. It is apparent, however, that with the pacification of the newly conquered areas, and with the consolidation of the empire, the Gults gradually assumed a hereditary character so that they could be inherited together with the responsibilities attached to them. In fact it seems that the land holdings known as Rist, or hereditary estates, in the more ancient parts of the Christian kingdom, were also in origin Gults,1 and their transformation into Rist was only a measure of the degree of religious and ethnic equilibrium long created in these areas since the Aksumite period. The mainstay of the Gult system was the difference between the conquerors and the conquered. At the initial period of the expansion of the kingdom, the general picture that emerges is one of two layers, of which the bottom layer consisted of the local subject people. Over and above them came the conquerors with their numerous allotments of Gults which entitled them to use the local people as no more than domestic servants. Gradually, however, with an increased social contact and intermarriage between the two classes, the difference between them became less and Gults were transformed into hereditary holdings. Wherever this development was impeded by deep religious and ethnic prejudices, the Gult system maintained its original character, and the power of the Christian kingdom always remained alien and only skin-deep.

The royal Court

The most important centre for this transformation was the royal Court itself. Being at the pinnacle of the military and administrative organization, the royal Court was a perfect model of the whole

Amdā-Šiyon towards the end of his reign is preserved in Or. 821, MS. (B.M.), ff. 106b-107a; MS. Bruce 88 Bodl., ff. 33b-34a. The Hadya courtier was surprised at the great expense incurred by the king in purchasing mules, and suggested they could be bred in a series of royal stables in the country. The king was delighted and said to him 'Choose any Gults; and he chose Mätāmat in Fātāgar, Ilqidar in Inari', Sār in Dīnbī, Diwan and Ebotīn in Wārāb, Hawayat Amba, and ' Algol in Amhara', where royal stables were successfully established.

1 Cf. Conti Rossini, 'I Loggo e la legge dei Loggo Sarda', pp. 33-5.
empire. Its internal structure saw its fullest development in the first half of the fifteenth century, and a detailed study of its characteristic organization and its place in the administration of the kingdom will have to be deferred to subsequent pages. It suffices here to sketch a broad outline of its basic elements for the purposes of this chapter. It seems possible to consider the Christian Court during the period under review as consisting of two major sections:

(a) a large number of civil, clerical, and military functionaries permanently attached to the Court, and

(b) a floating population occasionally coming to Court for sundry reasons.

According to an early tradition, King Amdă-Şiyon is said to have formally organized in his Court fifteen 'houses' each of which had its own special responsibility. At the head of each 'house' were appointed a chief and an assistant chief who were directly answerable to the king for carrying out the specific responsibilities assigned to their house. It is interesting to note that the duties assigned to them also reflect the king's increasing wealth, and his particular concern with military activities. At least seven of these 'houses' were placed in charge of his special treasures of gold and vestments of honour; and three of them looked after his defensive armour, his various other weapons of war, and the fittings of the horses of the right and of the left. The establishment of these 'houses', with their special duties seems to provide an interesting clue to the organization of the whole court. It is apparent that the rest of the numerous retainers of the king, and his family, were also similarly organized in separate

1 See Ch. VIII.
2 The tradition is preserved in 'Tarikä-Nâghäst', MS. Bruce 88 Bodl., f. 32b. This MS. is described in Dillmann, Catalogus Codicum Manuscriptorum Bibliothecae Bodleianae Oxoniensis, pars vii. Codices aethiopici (Oxford, 1848), No. 29. Cf. also Wright, op. cit., Or. 821, ff. 103b–104.
3 Many of the words used for the sundry objects kept by these 'houses' are archaic and their specific meanings are difficult to decipher. But from the recognizable names in the list it seems quite possible to determine the nature of the responsibilities of each house. The seven 'houses' referred to above included Gimja-Bêt, Mijlè-Bêt, Mârâba-Bêt, Wârq-Bêt, Bâ'ala-Shirh Bizt-Bêt, Qâsiy-Bêt, and Mâtâlât-Bêt. It seems that it is to these 'treasure houses' that the king's chronicler also makes a reference when he says of Amdă-Şiyon 'He took out of his treasure houses [much] gold and silver and vestments of honour . . . and conferred them upon his soldiers', Perruchon, Histoire des guerres, p. 289 (text) and cf. also p. 337 (tr.).
4 These included Harb-Bêt, Dir'i-Bêt, and Tîqaqin Dir'i-Bêt.
groups, according to their respective functions. Outside this inner circle of courtiers, whose special duties were mainly to look after the personal needs of the royal family, there came the political and military officials of the king with their numerous followers, consisting of the royal guards and the various contingents of the army. Each of these officials and their followers were also accompanied by their own families and other dependants. In a short description of the court during the hostilities of 1332 in Adal, reference is made to ‘the wives, children, and the male and female slaves’ of the troops as having constituted a substantial part of the Court. It no doubt maintained a similar pattern in relatively more peaceful times. The size of the Court fluctuated with the seasons. In the dry season, when local officials and vassal rulers normally brought their tributes, the Court received huge reinforcements from the provinces. Another occasion when it was at its greatest was on the eve of major military expeditions, when provincial governors joined the Court with their regional forces. During the rainy season, however, many of the king’s court officials and their followers went to their home districts or to their official stations and the Court was reduced to its smallest size.

The Court itself appears to have been continuously moving from one province to another. It seems that it was to this aspect of the court that Al-‘Umari made a reference when he wrote: ‘They say that this king and the soldiers of his army have tents which they carry with them during their marches and during their mobilization.’ The statement did not refer only to the movements of the army during military expeditions. Traditions of many sites of the Christian Court also provide an ample confirmation for its mobile character in peaceful times as well. Thus, it was not only that the king’s subjects came to his residence, but also that his Court actually changed its location at regular intervals. This two-way traffic between Court and country played a great role in establishing Christian power in the new areas. The appearance of the Court in a region was a dramatic representation of the presence of the Christian kingdom. During the first years of conquest and expansion in any new region, it brought with it utter destruction and havoc to all the local people. Once Christian overlordship was accepted, however, members of the local ruling families

---

1 Perruchon, J., op. cit., p. 415 (text). Cf. also pp. 416 (text), 465–6 (tr.).
2 Ibid., pp. 293 (text), 339–40 (tr.). Many of the governors of the northern provinces of the kingdom are here mentioned as having been at the court on the eve of Amdā-Ṣiyon’s campaigns to Adal.
4 See p. 274 n. 3.
were gradually drawn into the Court and into the feudal system of the Christian administration. At first they were probably kept at Court as mere hostages for the good behaviour of their relatives and their subjects. After a period of readjustment at Court, they were brought forward as favourite candidates for the leadership of their people. A typical example of this pattern of development was the relationship between the Wālasma ruling house of the Muslim state of Ifat and the Christian Court. ¹ The chronicler’s description of the first conquest of Hadya also points to the same conclusion:

[Amdā-Šiyon] did a great massacre of the people of this country, killing or massacring some at the point of the sword, and carrying away others into captivity with their king, big and small, men and women, old and young, whom he transported into his kingdom.²

We are not told here or elsewhere what happened to Amano, the king of Hadya, after his capture and detention at Court. But the power which the Christian kingdom had in his country remained quite secure after that, and it seems possible to suppose that Amdā-Šiyon and his immediate successors pursued an exactly similar policy towards him and his family as with the Muslim Wālasma princes in Ifat. The same case no doubt applied to the leaders of other communities recently brought under the suzerainty of the kingdom. The historic role of the Court was to serve as a training ground for loyal district officers and provincial governors.

Amdā-Šiyon was the most important architect of this dynamic system of Christian expansion, and it is ironical that his name should be an object of hostile monastic propaganda in the hagiographical traditions of the Ethiopian Church. Yet, even this was an essential by-product of the intensive development which the Church was undergoing, particularly in the period of his reign. The traditions of his violent conflicts with the monastic leaders are only an indication of the growing importance of monasticism in the organization of the Ethiopian Church.

¹ On his victory in Ifat in 1332 Amdā-Šiyon appointed as ‘King of all the Muslim districts’ Sābrādīn’s brother, Jāmaldīn, who was apparently in prison before the war. Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 301 (text), 346 (tr.). When later he proved to be unco-operative another brother, Nāsrādīn, was appointed instead, ibid., pp. 323–4, 432–4 (text), 362, 478–9 (tr.). King Sāyfā-Ar’ad (1334–71) also kept Sābrādīn’s son Ali and his family at his court and appointed them in turns as vassal rulers of Ifat, Maqrizi, Historia, pp. 18–21.

Revival of monasticism in Ethiopia

Despite its early introduction in the sixth century with the advent of the Nine Saints, monasticism could assume a dominant position in the Ethiopian Church only seven centuries later. Ethiopian monastic traditions before the last quarter of the thirteenth century revolve around Egyptian and ‘Roman’ founders, and they are, as a whole, extremely defective and fragmentary. This is quite clear from the spiritual genealogies given for the two dominant monastic ‘houses’ of the Ethiopian Church, namely those of Täklä-Haymanot of Shäwa (d. 1313), and Ëwoṣatétewos of Sära’è (d. 1352). The emergence of militant national leaders in Ethiopian monasticism was a post-thirteenth-century development, and the Church seems to have been dominated until then by the secular clergy. This was particularly so in the regions of Amhara and Shäwa, where the new-born monasteries seem to have made a strong bid for influence as champions of better moral standards in the administration of the Church, and in the daily lives of the Christians, including the monarchs themselves. The opposite sides taken by the secular clergy and the monks in the subsequent conflicts were determined by their respective positions in relation to the royal Court.

The hierarchy of the Coptic Church consisted of eight orders. The highest of these five orders, namely that of the

1 For the Nine Saints, see p. 23–5.
2 Both these ‘houses’ derive their origin from the Nine Saints. But Täklä-Haymanot and Ëwoṣatétewos are placed only eight and thirteen generations after St. Anthony (d. 356), respectively, Conti Rossini, Il ‘Gadla Filipos ed il Gadla Yohannis di Dabra Bizan’, in MRAL, ser. 5, vol. viii (1901), p. 156. How very defective monastic traditions before the 13th century are, can better be illustrated in the genealogical tables for Abiyä-Igzi who lived in the first half of the 14th century. Whereas as many as 8 generations are given between him and the wars of Gragn (1527–43), only 9 separate him from St. Anthony, Conti Rossini, ‘Note di agiografia etiopica: Abiyä-Egzi’, in RSO, xvii (1938), pp. 416–17.
4 The orders which are not represented in the Ethiopian church are those of the patriarch, sub-deacons, and ‘agnostes’ (or readers). There are some differences between Ibn Saba, who wrote in the end of the 13th century, and Wansleb (17th century) in the number of orders. Whereas the former referred to metropolitans and bishops as being of the same grade, the latter took them as different. In another passage where he compares the orders of the Church with the ‘seven celebral orders’, Ibn Saba reduced the number to seven by arguing that patriarchs, metropolitans, and bishops were in fact of the same grade, op. cit., pp. 660–1. At the end of Wansleb’s lists is a church functionary he calls ‘Sacristain’
metropolitan, was always conferred on an Egyptian monk who was chosen by the patriarch in Cairo and sent to Ethiopia.\(^1\) Next came the order of the Epísqopos, to which Ethiopians also could be raised.\(^2\) Below these ecclesiastics came the priests, archdeacons,\(^3\) and deacons. These last three orders made up the backbone of the church, and they consisted of both the secular and monastic clergy.

*The monastic clergy*

The differences between these two elements of the Ethiopian Church was fundamental. The most distinctive aspect of the monastic clergy was that they entered into special oaths of celibate life, and their activities were limited to their monasteries. Very little is known about the organization and discipline of the monasteries before the middle of the thirteenth century. It is, however, considered that the Lives of St. Paul the Hermit and St. Anthony, and the Rules of St. Pacomius were translated from the Greek into Ge’ez already in the Aksumite period.\(^4\) There is no doubt that this monastic literature provided the earliest guide-lines, and the monasteries were probably organized according to a simple application of the Rules of St. Pacomius, with whom the Nine Saints are traditionally connected. At the moment, an examination of the traditions about the establishment of new com-

or ‘Keiim’. He defines his duties as being limited to guarding and taking care of the precincts of the church in general, op. cit., p. 38.

\(^1\) Instances of Egyptian resistance to the ordination of Ethiopians to this order are available in the Lives of the patriarchs, Budge, E. A. W., *The Book of the Saints of the Ethiopian Church*, iii, pp. 798–801; Renaudot, *Historia Patriarcharum Alexandrinorum*, pp. 273, 283. See also p. 70, notes 3–4.

\(^2\) Three Ethiopian ecclesiastics are mentioned as having held this title in the reign of Lalibála, Conti Rossini, ‘L’evangelo d’oro’, pp. 187, 190. In the same reign an Egyptian bishop is said to have consecrated an Ethiopian *Évêque* at the king’s request, Renaudot, op. cit., pp. 554–67; Perruchon, *Extrait de la vie d’Abba Jean*, in *RS* (1900), p. 79. This is curious because according to the customs of the Coptic Church a metropolitan or a bishop cannot ordain ‘un évêque’, Ibn Saba, op. cit., p. 746. It seems that the term ‘Epísqopos’ was used in Ethiopia as equivalent to ‘hagumenos’, which was the inferior title next to that of the bishop, ibid., p. 665; KhS-Burmester, O. H. E., ‘The Canons of Cyril III ibn Laklak’, in *Le Muséon*, pp. 110–11.

\(^3\) The position of the archdeacon was very important in the Coptic Church, Ibn Saba, op. cit., 665–6; Wansleb, op. cit., pp. 36–7. It also appears to have been of special importance in Ethiopia and the title is given in early lists of influential officials on very solemn occasions, Conti Rossini, ‘L’evangelo d’oro’, pp. 187, 190.

munities since the middle of the thirteenth century is the only way of attempting to reconstruct the development of monastic institutions in Ethiopia. At the beginning of this period references to monastic communities are limited to some of the ancient establishments of the Nine Saints, and other sites incidentally mentioned as monastic retreats in the region north of Amhara. A much more complete picture of the rapid development of monasticism emerges only after the middle of the thirteenth century. The trends of this development are represented by the traditions of the ‘houses’ of Täklä-Haymanot and Ëwosatăwos.

For all the differences which subsequently developed between these two groups, their early traditions represent the same phenomenon of monastic revival in the Ethiopian Church. In its early stages the movement was characterized by its simplicity and proverbial sense of independence, both of which found their fullest expression in the background of the political and military revival of the Christian kingdom in the whole of the Ethiopian region. While the growth of the Täklä-Haymanot group of monasteries followed on the most decisive directions of the expansion of the kingdom, the increasingly militant attitude of the Christian tribes of Sāra’ē and Hamasén towards their Muslim and pagan neighbours, was also reflected in the intensive monastic activities of the ‘house’ of Ëwosatăwos. Nowhere was this parallel religious and political development of Ethiopia brought into more direct confrontation than in the regions of Amhara and Shāwa, which had become the centre of the political and military activities of the Christian kings. It was also in these parts of the kingdom that the militant leaders of the new monastic

1 As the origin of the most dominant monastic group in the 15th century Däbrä Damo is represented best in these traditions, Gädäla Arągawī, ed. Guido, I., in MRAL (1896) ser. v, vol. ii, pt. 1, Memorie, pp. 54–96; Gädäla Ḥẏṣsus-Mo’a ed. and tr. Kur, S., pp. 9–13 (text); Conti Rossini, ‘Il Gädäla Takla Haymanot’, in MRAL (1896), ser. v, vol. ii, pt. 1, Memorie, pp. 107–8; Budge, The Life of Takla Haymanot, pp. 72–3 (text); Gädäla Bāgālotā-Mika’ēl, ed. and tr. Conti Rossini, p. 19 (text). The monastery of Abba Gärīma at Mādāra is also referred to, ‘Gädäla Gärīma’, Or. 702, MS. B.M., ff. 128b–138; ‘Gädäla Qāwīsos’, MS. Däbrä Libanos, ff. 17–24. Since Pāntājēwōn and Afsē also have their Gādēs, compiled probably in the 15th century, it may be surmised that the communities they had established were still intact at the same time. Däbrä Libanos of Shīmāzana which belongs to about the same period as the Nine Saints was apparently the most important community towards the end of the Zagwē period, Conti Rossini, ‘L’evangelo d’oro’, pp. 177–93.

2 Cf. Budge, The Life of Takla Haymanot, pp. 73–4 (text); Gädäla Bāgālotā-Mika’ēl, p. 19.

3 See Ch. VI.
movement came into open conflict with King Amdā-Šiyon and his successor Sāyfā-Ar’ad.

The earliest traditions of monasticism in this region at our disposal go back only to the middle of the thirteenth century, when Ḣyāsús-Mo’a settled at the island church of St. Stephen in Lake Hayq. In about 1248 he left his monastery of Dābrā Damo and started his own school of Hayq where many students from Amhara and Shāwa joined him. He conferred the monastic habit on many of them, and some subsequently left Hayq to establish their own communities. Ṭāklā-Haymanot left for his native land of Shāwa, and others appear to have dispersed in the province of Amhara. Within a short time after his coming to Hayq, Ḣyāsús-Mo’a firmly established the position of monasticism which was to be the effective vehicle for the expansion of the Church.

This vital development was greatly enhanced by the simplicity of the process of establishing a monastic community. Ḣyāsús-Mo’a himself settled at a very well-established centre of Christian population where his special role as a teacher was appreciated, and he was not faced with the initial problem of starting a new community from scratch. His disciples who left him to live on their own were not as fortunate. A monastic community normally started by being an isolated place of private retreat for its founder. Alone, or accompanied by a few followers, the founder of the community pursued a strict life of extreme ascetism, in almost complete seclusion. Inaccessible hillside, forest or semi-desert areas, were most popular as the sites of a young community. At times they were apparently very far away from where even churches could be found. Contact with neighbouring settlements was kept to a minimum at first, and when some of the inmates of such a secluded community ventured out to gather food supplies the task usually fell on the junior members.

2 See Ch. V.
4 The nearest church where Abba Samu’ēl of Waldibba could receive Holy Communion was at a distance of three days' journey, Ğādlā Samu’ēl, p. 23. A similar story is told of Abba Tadēwos of Bārṭaw’a and his followers, who travelled all the way to Aksum from Tāmbén until they finally built their own church, Ğādlā Tadēwos, loc. cit.
5 Ibid., pp. 33–4.
The leader of the community remained inside, and his followers made use of their meagre contacts with the surrounding people to spread the news of his monastic renown. The reaction of the local people to the earliest signs of the existence of such a community largely depended on their religious loyalties. In predominantly Christian areas the news was generally welcome, and the young community received fresh reinforcements. In frontier areas the reaction was one of hostility and acts of outright persecution were attempted until local Christian officials came to the aid of the monks. Gradually, as the monastic prestige of the leader became established among the neighbouring people, more people joined him, and the economic and religious organization of the community began to take shape.

At first the small community lived a very simple life by gathering wild fruits for their daily needs, and by hiring their services to the nearest farming villages at harvest time. When the community got bigger, it looked around for a suitable site, built a small church, and a number of little huts for their abode:

And they built a small shelter, they placed the tabot of St. Mary [in it] and celebrated mass there. And they planted some 'Dagussa' and 'Nihigo' for the purposes of the church... And [Tadéwos] sent [a disciple] one day to clear a piece of [more] land [for cultivation]...

The religious influence of the group soon spread among the neighbouring villages with whom more frequent contacts were established. The people came to pray and to ask for the prayers of the monks, and they brought various gifts with them. The monastic exploits and

1 In a chronologically untenable reference to the visit paid by Täklä-Haymanot to Bäsälotä-Mika'él on his way to Hayq, he meets one of the latter's disciples who tells him of the monastic exploits of his master, Budge, op. cit., pp. 60–1; cf. Conti Rossini, 'L'agiografia etiopica e gli atti del santo Yafqirannä-Igzi', in ARIV, Sc., lett. ed arti (1936–7), vol. 96, pt. 2, p. 408.
2 Conti Rossini, Il 'Gadla Takla Haymanot', pp. 115, 138; Gädliga Filipos, pp. 187–8; Gädliga Anorëwos, p. 68; Gädliga Éwostätewos, p. 29.
3 Gädliga Yafqirannä-Igzi, pp. 24–6, 50–8; 'Gädliga Zäyohannis' MS. Kibran, ff. 19–20; Gädliga Anorëwos, pp. 78–9. In some cases the traditions attribute the protection of the young communities to God's miraculous intervention, Budge, op cit., pp. 79–81; Gädliga Samu'él, p. 18.
4 Conti Rossini, II 'Gadla Takla Haymanot', pp. 112, 115; Gädliga Samu'él, p. 31.
6 Gädliga Tadéwos, p. 35; cf. also Budge, op. cit., p. 219; Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 112, 115; id., Il 'Gadla Filipos' ed il 'Gadla Yohanis' di Bizan, p. 96.
7 Gädliga Yafqirannä-Igzi, pp. 58, 62, 64, 66; Gädliga Filipos (Asbo), pp. 221–2. Éwostätewos is said to have prohibited his followers from accepting gifts from unworthy people or from non-believers. He enjoined them to live on the fruits of their own labour, Gädliga Éwostätewos, pp. 32–3. His descendant Filipos of Däbra Bizän also confirmed this, Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 96.
sanctity of the founder became widely accepted, and stories of astounding miracles were built around his name while he was still alive.\footnote{A study of the miracles offers a useful means of understanding the life and beliefs of the people in the medieval period. They are of special interest since they deal with the day-to-day economic and social problems of the region with which the memory of the saint is associated.} Canonization in Ethiopia was a simple act of public recognition of the saintly pursuits of a monk, who soon emerged as a patron saint of the area in which he conducted his monastic labours.\footnote{Gädłat Tadéwos, p. 43.}

Firmly established in such a small locality, the prestige of the saint later spread further afield and similar recognitions were given to him by neighbouring districts, who asked for his prayers and spiritual protection. He soon became a rallying point for the sick, who came to him in search of miraculous cures, and the poor, who saw an economic and social security in the growing influence of the community. Thus, between the middle of the thirteenth century, when Ḣyäsus-Mo’ä had opened his monastic school at the island of Lake Hayq, and the reign of Amdä-Ṣiyôn (1314–44), many such communities had been established in Amhara and Shäwa. The most notable among these were the community of Däbrä Asbo in Shäwa, founded by Täklä-Haymanot in c. 1284,\footnote{See Ch. V. Other communities had also been established in Shäwa besides Däbrä Asbo, ‘Gädłat Zéna-Maqrtos’, ff. 14–15; ‘Gädłat Qāwistös’, ff. 101b–102a.} and Däbrä GoI in southern Amhara, which was originally a private retreat of ‘Anoréwos the priest’ and later developed into an important community under his remarkable disciple Bäšälotä-Mika’él.\footnote{See Ch. V. Here also there are references to yet other communities, Gädłat Bäšälotä-Mika’él, pp. 8–10.} At this stage of their development an essential feature of these communities was their complete independence from the kings and their local representatives. They enjoyed this position of economic freedom in striking contrast with the secular clergy, who had always been dependent on the royal Court and on the politico-military structure of the kingdom.

The secular clergy

The secular clergy consisted of the married priests, the deacons, and the other functionaries of the church. Before the development of monastic communities, they alone provided for the routine religious needs of the Christian communities. It seems that the priestly families constituted a special class of society, with special rights of succession.
to the clerical profession. Despite the tendency of Ethiopian hagiographers to connect this hereditary system with the much-diffused legend of the Queen of Sheba,¹ its origin may have only been an ancient custom of the Coptic Church concerning the secular priesthood, later confirmed by the Canons of Patriarch Cyril III (1235–43) in 1238.² The purpose of such a stratification of a congregation may only have been administrative in origin. In medieval Ethiopia, however, it appears that it had resulted in the creation of a different caste of people in whom the rights of priestly function were exclusively invested. References to ‘the parentage of priests’, as a separate, elevated class, are available in the hagiographical traditions about the origins of some local saints.³ It also appears that members of this priestly class enjoyed distinctive marks of respect in their relations with the local people:

[Young Bāşālotā-Mika’āl] trod over the chains of the king’s mule while passing by, and [the keepers] caught him and took him to their chief. And their chief saw the tunic [slung] on the shoulders of the child and said to them: ‘Leave him alone because he is of the parentage of priests, and do not beat him.’⁴

In the stratified society of the period the priestly class came next only to the class of local rulers. The two classes, whose relationship is aptly described in the traditions of Minilik I and his high priest Azaryas, were always very close and complementary. The secular clergy lived in society, and only looked after the routine services of their local churches. The programmes of religious training which they underwent at the beginning of their career⁵ were probably short and only

¹ This relates to the tradition of the Jewish origin of the Ethiopian kingdom, and the priestly class derived its origin from Azaryas, son of Zadok, King Solomon’s high priest, who accompanied Minilik I to Aksum, Budge, The Queen of Sheba and Her Only Son Menyelik (1932), pp. 61–3. Cf. also The Life of Takla Haymanot, i, p. 5.

² Khs-Burmester, ‘The Canons of Cyril III ibn Lakkāk’, pp. 132–5. In a congregation of a church precedence is here given to sons of priests (a) over sons of deacons, (b) over sons of priests of other churches, and (c) over sons of laymen.

³ Gādālā Bāşālotā-Mika’āl, p. 5. The distant origin of this marked respect and considerable sanctity surrounding the priestly function may in fact be found in the pre-Christian and Kushitic religious practices of the ancient Ethiopians, cf. Conti Rossini, Storia d’Etiopia, pp. 78–9, 81.

⁴ Gādālā Bāşālotā-Mika’āl, p. 9; in the text the word ‘priest’ is used in place of the phrase italicized, so that ‘... because he is a priest’ would be a more literal translation. But since he was still a small child the above rendering is probably preferable for the context.

⁵ These were provided by a local priest who taught his own children and probably also some of his relatives, Budge, The Life of Takla Haymanot, p. 20
limited to reciting some prayers, singing the hymns, and reading some selections from the Bible. This only enabled them to practise and preserve the liturgical traditions of the church. Probably, very few among them went beyond these limits. But this was not an important part of their difference from the monastic clergy, of whom the majority were probably in the same position. The basic difference between them arose in that the secular clergy lived in, and completely depended on, society, of which they constituted an integral part. This complete dependence on society denied them the economic and spiritual freedom, which enabled the monks to be fully engaged in religious pursuits, and to pose as champions of a more rigorous observance of the rules of the church.

Reform movements in the Church

A youthful monastic militancy swept through the second generation of the descendants of Ýyásus-Mo’a in Amhara. It seems that their first aim was to bring about basic reforms within the church itself. Bāšālotā-Mīka’él,1 who apparently led the movement, first accused the Egyptian bishop Abunā Yohannis of practising simony:

Bāšālotā-Mīka’él went to the priests of the royal court and asked them to take him in to the king. And they told the king [about it] and took him in. He said [to the king]: ‘... the Apostles excommunicated those who received money in return for either baptism or ecclesiastical ordinances. But this bishop contravenes their order; he ordains and receives money’ ...2

The Egyptian bishops in Ethiopia were very well provided for, and it is curious that Abunā Yohannis should be accused of simony. In (text); ‘Gādlā Ḍawīṣōts’, f. 44a; Gādlā Bāšālotā-Mīka’él, p. 6; or by a cleric attached to a local church, Gādlā Anoréwos, p. 68; Gādlā Filipes, p. 175; Gādlā Samu’él, p. 1; or where they were available, by monastic communities, Gādlā Ėwoṣṭatéwos, pp. 7–8.

1 About the life of this monastic leader see Chap. V.
2 Gādlā Bāšālotā-Mīka’él pp. 22–3. Bāšālotā-Mīka’él is reputed in these traditions to have been a very learned man, ibid., pp. 19–20. The quotation here of the Canons of the Apostles is interesting because it seems to show an intensive literary development in Ethiopia. Amda-Ṣiyon’s chronicler, who must have written his work between 1332 and 1344, also quotes the Canons of the Apostles, Perruchon, Histoire des guerres, pp. 310, 312 (text), 352, 354 (tr.). This monastic movement of reform may have been a result of increasing contact with Arabic Christian literature in Egypt. It is remarkable how the above quotation is an almost verbatim repetition of a similar accusation made in Egypt against Patriarch Shenuti, Sawirus, History of the Patriarchs of Alexandria, ii, pt. 2, p. 233. See also pp. 136, 150. At the Council of 1238 held in Cairo Patriarch Cyril III had also condemned simony in his Canons, Khś-Burmester, op. cit., pp. 105–6. Cf. Conti Rossini, ’Il “Senodos” etiopico’ in RRAL (1924), p. 44.
the reign of Lalibāla, the metropolitan, Abunā Mīka’ēl, is said to have had numerous servants and a fief of forty ‘villages’ for his maintenance.1 It is most likely that with the expansion of the kingdom and the gradual increase of the wealth of the monarchs, the metropolitan’s possessions also became more substantial. It is possible, however, that in the days of Bāṣālotā-Mīka’ēl, which was a period of active expansion of the Church, the monks may have been presented with some difficulties at the episcopal residence in their increasing demand for the ordination of more priests and deacons. That this was in fact a serious problem at the time is suggested in another passage where a young monk is said to have assumed the functions of a priest of his own accord, without being ordained.2 However, the king was not apparently impressed by the accusation against the bishop, and he is said to have exiled Bāṣālotā-Mīka’ēl to Tigré instead.3

Bāṣālotā-Mīka’ēl returned to Amhara two years later, and started a series of reforms in the monastic practices in Amhara. Monasticism was only a recent development in Amhara and Shāwa, and this lack of experience was seriously showing in the organizational difficulties of the recently established communities. One of the most serious problems was the difficulty of effectively separating the living quarters of the male and female members of a monastic community. The traditions of monasticism in Tigré indicate that convents usually grew beside important monasteries,4 and by the thirteenth century there were very well-established convents.5 In Amhara and Shāwa, however, the custom had apparently developed for both monks and nuns to live together.6 Bāṣālotā-Mīka’ēl fiercely attacked this custom, and he is said to have obtained a royal decree against it.7 Abba Anorėwos, a senior disciple of Tāklā-Haymanot, and a great admirer of Bāṣālotā-Mīka’ēl, is also said to have effected similar reforms at

---

2 Gādlā Bāṣālotā-Mīka’ēl, p. 41.
3 Ibid., pp. 23–4.
4 Gādlā Arāgawi, ed. Guidi, p. 64.
5 Lalibāla and his queen, Māsqāl-Kibra, are said to have made a number of land grants to such convents in the region of Shīmāzana, Conti Rossini, ‘L’evangelio d’oro’, pp. 186–9. In the tradition about the life of Lalibāla himself, his wife is said to have waited for him in a convent, where men had no access, until his return from his alleged visit to Jerusalem.
7 Ibid., p. 36.
Däbrä Asbo in the lifetime of his master, for which he became extremely unpopular among his colleagues.¹

Bäšälotä-Mika’el next came into open conflict with King Amdä-Šiyon, whom he denounced for his non-Christian matrimonial habits:

God has ordered us, Christians, not to marry two wives nor buy [lit.] concubines, nor sleep with the wives of others. But you have broken all [these] orders of God. And worse of all, you married the wife of your father; and you did not desist from keeping numerous other women [of pagan origin] . . .²

The matrimonial habits of Ethiopians had already been called in question before. In the reign of Patriarch Cyril (1077–92) the metropolitan, Sawiros, reported that he had succeeded in persuading the king’s courtiers to live each with only one wife. The king himself had also agreed to drop many of his concubines, and to keep only two wives.³ What is most significant in the fourteenth century is that the movement for reform was no longer initiated from Cairo, and this indicates the tremendous development which the Ethiopian Church had undergone at the time. Abba Éwosatăwos of Sāra’è is also reported in the same period to have demanded similar reforms from the local rulers.⁴ But the movements of the Ethiopian monks were no more successful than those of Bishop Sawiros in the eleventh century. Bäšälotä-Mika’el was beaten and disgraced at court, and

¹ Gädlä Anoréwos, pp. 71–2. Anoréwos is said to have been ‘Oeconom’ of the community in Täklà-Haymanot’s lifetime, and was apparently tipped for the succession. He failed to get the succession and left for Tigré immediately after the death of his master. Ibid., p. 75. His reforms may have played a part in this. He met Bäšälotä-Mika’el in Tigré, and probably stayed with him until his death. Later, after 1337, Anoréwos returned to Shäwa and seems to have played a leading role in Abunä Ya’iqob’s reorganization of the monasteries there. See Ch. V.

² Gädlä Bäšälotä-Mika’el, p. 29. That the king did in fact have more than one wife and many concubines is also confirmed elsewhere, Histoire des guerres d’Amde Styon, pp. 326, 387–9. Conti Rossini, ‘L’evangelo d’oro’, p. 202. But Amdä-Šiyon denied that he had married his father’s wife. He declared that Widim-Rā’ad (1299–1314) was not his father. The sceptical monks retorted that he was only creating excuses based on the rumours that he was the issue of an illicit affair between Widim-Rā’ad’s queen and Qidm-Asägid, his brother, Gädlä Bäšälotä-Mika’el, loc. cit.

³ Sawirus, History of the Patriarch of Alexandria, ii, pt. 3, p. 339. Characteristically, the Ethiopic version reports that the bishop’s efforts were an unqualified success, and the king also dropped all but one of his wives, Budge, The Book of the Saints, p. 995. It is stated elsewhere that one of the Zagwë kings was an ordained priest and that he decreed a strict monogamy in his kingdom, ‘Gädlä Yimriha-Kristos’, MS. Lalibâla, ff. 28b–29a.

⁴ Gädlä Éwosatăwos, p. 35.
exiled once again, apparently back to Tigré, where he died at Gilo-Mäkäda.¹

The question was reopened once again on the advent of Abunä Ya’iqob, the new Egyptian bishop, c. 1337 after the death of Bäşälotä-Mika’él. Ya’iqob added a new dimension to the movement. His predecessor, Yohannis, had been unpopular among the new monastic leaders of the country by maintaining the traditional close contact with the royal Court and the secular clergy. Ya’iqob now reversed this policy, and established excellent relations not only with the spiritual heirs of Bäşälotä-Mika’él, but also with the growing community of Däbrä Asbo in Shäwa. His first attempt was to organize a successful programme of evangelization in the pagan and Muslim provinces of the kingdom.² He probably tried to persuade the king in private to reform his matrimonial habits. When these quiet protestations failed he openly sided with the monks, and excommunicated the king.³ Amdä-Şiyon threatened to expel the bishop, flogged his monastic allies, and dispersed them into exile.⁴ It was probably this conflict with the Church which led to the rumours that Amdä-Şiyon was converted to Islam. Al-‘Umari has recorded this rumour in his contemporary work on Ethiopia: 'It has been reported to us that the king ruling [Ethiopia] at present has been secretly converted to Islam, and that he continues to practise Christianity only to preserve his crown.'⁵ An Ethiopian hagiographical tradition also accuses him of being indifferent to the conversion of Muslims to the Church. He is once said to have dismissed some of the converts [from Islam] of Bäşälotä-Mika’él with the following words: 'Go, and live according to the rules of your forefathers.'⁶

When Amdä-Şiyon died, Bishop Ya’iqob is said to have made an agreement with the new king that he would recall all the exiles and keep only one wife.⁷ But the new king, Säyfä-’Ar’ad, soon went back on his word. Although he seems to have recalled the exiled monastic leaders, Säyfä-’Ar’ad formally married three wives just like his father and predecessor, Amdä-Şiyon. The bishop and his monastic followers protested once again, but it was all in vain. King Säyfä-’Ar’ad

¹ Gädä Bäşälotä-Mika’él, pp. 29–30, 47–51.
² See Ch. V.
⁶ Gädä Bäşälotä-Mika’él, p. 31 (text).
⁷ Gädä Filäpos, p. 224; Gädä Aron, p. 85.
deported Bishop Ya'iqob back to Egypt, and sent out all the recalcitrant monks to the southern part of the kingdom in exile. The difficulties which thus befell these militant leaders apparently created divisions in the monastic movement. Many of the monks are accused of having accepted land and money from the king, to live with him on his own terms. Others are said to have refused to give even a temporary shelter to the king's opponents. Quite a new period had now started for the monasteries, and the will of the king had been triumphant over their ephemeral puritanical movements. To a very large extent, the first major Ethiopian attempt to reform the spiritual life of the Church had thus become a failure.

1 Ibid., pp. 141–9, Gädlä Filipos, pp. 226, 233–6. Gädlä Anoréwos, pp. 89–90. For the full story of these exiles and their contribution to the expansion of the Church, see Ch. V.
2 Gädlä Bâsholotâ-Mika'él, pp. 45–6.
3 Filipos was turned away from the gates of Dâbrâ Asbo (his own monastery) and Mâkanâ Şarâbt because of his quarrel with the king, Gädlä Filipos, p. 241.
CHAPTER IV
Territorial Expansion
(1270–1430)

The Christian kingdom at the time of Yikunno-’Amlak’s accession in 1270 apparently extended to the region of Shīmāzana and the Mārāb river, in the north; the Tākāzē river, southern Bāgēmdir, and the upper basins of the eastern tributaries of the Blue Nile, in the west; the edge of the plateau from northern Ethiopia down to the Shāwan region, in the east; and the northern part of the Shāwan plateau, in the south.

A large section of the army which Yikunno-’Amlak led against the last Zagwē king came from the Shāwan region. It is most likely that in the Shāwan districts which contributed these troops a number of Christian families had already been living for many generations. In hagiographical traditions about the thirteenth century, it is reported that small and isolated Christian communities were to be found unevenly scattered in the pagan dominated districts of Šīlalish, and Mugār on the Shāwan plateau. Wāgda, at the edge of the plateau


3 Tākāl-Haymanot’s ancestor, Yida, is believed to have settled here ten generations before the saint, Conti Rossini, Il ‘Gadla Takla Haymanot’, pp. 102–3. Budge, The Life of Takla Haymanot, pp. 5–6 (text). ‘Gādlā Zēna-Marqos’, f. 1r. Its location had been uncertain even since Conti Rossini placed it, for unknown reasons, in Shāwa, ‘towards the Nile’, op. cit., p. 125 n. 4. But it is an ancient name for Itīsa, which is still a popular centre of pilgrimage as the birth-place of Tākāl-Haymanot, cf. Tākāl-Šādiq Mākurya, History of Ethiopia (Amharic), ii, p. 20.

4 This still survives in the name of the river Mugār flowing into the Nile, west of Dābrā Lībanos. Anorēwos (d. 1374), a disciple of Tākāl-Haymanot, was born
overlooking Tägulät and Morät, is on the way to both Mugär and Şilalish from Amhara, and traditions of the Däbrä Libanos cycle indicate that it was a Christian area by the middle of the thirteenth century.¹

Christian immigrants had long been moving from Tigré, in the north, to the region of Amhara, and further south to Shäwa. It appears that this process was in full swing by the ninth century.² This slow population movement cannot be fully documented, but from the available traditions about the genealogy of Täklä-Haymanot³ it seems possible to infer the gradual Christian settlement in Amhara and Shäwa.⁴ The essential value of these traditions only lies in showing that, for many generations before the period covered by this study, a chain of Christian settlements had been forming from the northernmost fringes of Amhara to the south-eastern corner of the Shäwan plateau. However, these early population movements brought only a handful of Christian families to the pagan south, and only served as a pointer to the direction of the eventual expansion of the Christian kingdom. And, despite the clerical bias of these traditions which give a missionary origin to the migrations,⁵ more than eight generations passed before any appreciable evangelization was carried out. When this was at last started, it was inextricably connected with the rise of the new dynasty in the Christian kingdom.

Both Christian and Muslim traditions indicate that the whole of the Shäwan region was dominated by a strong pagan kingdom—the

² See pp. ³⁵–⁷, ¹⁵⁶–⁷.
⁴ The ancestors of Täklä-Haymanot are said to have moved to Shäwa in three different stages: Hizbä-Barik, a Tigré cleric, first settled in Dawint (Amhara), ¹⁸ generations before. His grandson, Asqä-Lëwî, is said to have moved further south and ‘baptized the people of Wälläqa, Amhara, Mårhabitë, and Mänzîh’. One of his descendants, Yidla, ten generations before Täklä-Haymanot, migrated still further south to Şilalish in Shäwa.
⁵ According to some versions of the traditions of Digna-Jan, king of Aksum, the ancestors of Täklä-Haymanot are said to have been sent to Amhara and Shäwa with numerous other priests to evangelize the region, Budge, op. cit., pp. ³–⁵ (text).
kingdom of Damot—before the last quarter of the thirteenth century. According to Christian tradition, Motālamī, a legendary monarch of Damot, invaded the Shāwan region as far north as the Jāma river, and almost completely annihilated the small Christian communities in the area. He is said to have conducted these invasions on two occasions: some time before the birth of Tāklā-Haymanot, and when the saint was a fully grown young man of twenty-five. If the chronology of the life of Tāklā-Haymanot (c. 1215–1313), transmitted by the hagiographical traditions, is correct, the invasions of Motālamī must have taken place in the first half of the thirteenth century. This tallies very well with the period of the revival of the Christian kingdom in the north, and with the local traditions of renewed Christian attempts at southern expansion. About two centuries after the queen of the Bani al-Hamwiyyah had successfully got rid of Christian overlordship in the Shāwan region, the Christians of Amhara are reported as having been in military conflicts with their south-eastern neighbours—the Warījh pastoralists—in the second quarter of the twelfth century. Probably, similar moves were also

1 Until the Muslim invasions of the sixteenth century, Damot referred to the region immediately south of the Blue Nile, and west of the sources of the Awash river. Its limits are indefinable, but it may have extended as far west as the Didéssé, and as far south as the region of Innarya. To the east, it probably bordered on Hadya, and Conti Rossini thinks that the region of Wālamo may have also been included in it, cf. Futuh al-Abasha, tr. Basset, p. 54 n. 2. Conti Rossini, ‘Postille al “Futuh al-Abasha”’, in Le Muséon, vol. lix (1946), pp. 180–1.
3 Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 105–7. Cf. also Budge, op. cit., pp. 45–53. According to the Waldbibann version edited by Conti Rossini, Tāklā-Haymanot was himself taken prisoner to Damot on this second invasion, and there, he preached until he succeeded in converting Motālamī. In the much-paraphrased text published and translated by Budge, however, Tāklā-Haymanot apparently made the trip of his own accord to preach the Gospel. In both versions, however, Motālamī’s power was strongly felt in the whole region of Shāwa.
4 The saint is said to have died in the 14th year of the reign of Widim-Rā’ad (1299–1314), Basset, R., Études sur l’histoire d’Éthiopie, p. 99 (tr.). This also fits other comparative chronological notes. Thus, Filipos, who succeeded the saint as abbot of Dābrā Asbo, only three months after his death, had occupied the chair for 28 years, when he was exiled to Tigré by Amdā-Ṣiyon in 1341, Gândlā Filipos, pp. 192, 246 (text). Tāklā-Haymanot is said to have been 55 years old when Yukunno-ʿAmkl acceded to the throne in 1270, Basset, op. cit., pp. 98–9 (tr.). He lived for just over 99 years, Budge, op. cit., p. 96 (text).
5 See pp. 38–41. We have seen there the persistent traditions about strong female rulers in the Shāwan region. It is interesting to note in this connection that the genealogy given for the legendary king of Damot, Motālamī, only refers to his mother: ‘Motālamī, whose mother’s name was Eslândan’, Budge, op. cit., p. 21 (tr.).
directed towards the northern districts of the Shāwan plateau, where a new wave of Christian settlements were apparently established. The tradition of the military expedition of a Zagwé king into Damot may belong to this period.\(^1\) Christian pressure in the area probably increased over the years, and the traditions of Motālāmi’s invasions may only represent a strong pagan reaction against this process of expansion.

The story of Yikunno-‘Amlak’s revolt against the Zagwé indicates—as mentioned above—that he procured substantial military support from Shāwa. In the tradition, the troops who served under him on that occasion are referred to as ‘his warriors’, and this most likely means that all the seven districts, from which they were recruited,\(^2\) had already become tributary to him. No doubt his success against the Zagwé and his assumption of the Christian throne also enhanced his power in Shāwa. For the period after the overthrow of the Zagwé, both Christian and Muslim traditions are unanimous in showing that Yikunno-‘Amlak conducted a strong offensive against the pagan ‘kingdom of Damot’ which gave the Christian kingdom, once and for all (until the end of our period), a dominant position in the Shāwan plateau. According to one version Yikunno-‘Amlak ‘appointed [Motālāmi] king of Ínnarya . . . and gave him the land beyond the Gibé river’.\(^3\) This only means that Christian military success in the Shāwan plateau forced the withdrawal of the centre of Damoti resistance further south, and as we shall soon see, it was not until the reign of King Amdā-Σiyon that pagan Damot was effectively reduced to a vassal state. But the most valuable account of the conquests of Yikunno-‘Amlak in the Shāwan region, which was once under Damoti overlordship, is given by the Arab historian Ibn Khaldun (1332–1406):

To the west of the countries of the king of the habasha is situated the city of Damout. A great king ruled there in former times, and he had a large empire. To the north of the same [countries] was found another king belonging to the same race [of the habasha], and called Hack ed-Din ibn Muhammad ibn Ali ibn Oulasma. He lived in the city of Oufat . . . Since

2 See p. 119 n. 2.
3 Guidi, I., ‘Strofe e brevi testi amarici’, in MSOS, x (1907), pp. 180, 182. The tradition is here given as part of a compilation of historical notes made in the middle of the nineteenth century. Just as in the dynastic struggle between Yikunno-‘Amlak and the Zagwé, Täklâ-Haymanot is said to have intervened to effect amicable relations with Motālāmi also.
his ancestor Oulasma had recognized the authority of the king of Damout, the Haiti was offended by it, and he took away his kingdom from him.  

Wēlasma, also called 'Umar, was the first historical ruler of the Muslim principality of Ifat, and just like Yikunno-'Amlak, he flourished in the last quarter of the thirteenth century. The last part of Ibn Khaldun's passage is clearly a reference to that period. At that stage of the history of the region, therefore, the principal contenders for what appears to be the control of the Shāwan plateau were the Christian kingdom and the king of Damot, and the outcome of the conflict was a Christian success. Local Christian traditions also report that already at the time of Yikunno-'Amlak, the Shāwan plateau north of the Awash river was under Christian administration. To a very large extent this is confirmed by Ibn Khaldun's story quoted above, and written only about a century after the events took place. Maqrizi also refers to this early predominance of Christian power in the Shāwan region already by the second half of the thirteenth century. According to his informants, who were Muslims themselves, even 'Umar Wēlasma owed much of his power to the Christian king. He speaks of the reign of this founder of the ruling house of Ifat and Adal in the following terms: 'This man administered for a long time with great power the government of Awdat and the country subject to it which he had received from the Haiti ...'  


3 'Gâdilâ Qâwiştos', ff. 1-5. 'Gâdilâ Zéna-Marqos', ff. 2-3. According to these traditions the districts of Wâgda and Kâlîtta, Mugâr, Siîlâsh, Fâtâgar, and even Dâwaro were ruled by Christian chiefs. The pagan king of Damot, always referred to by the legendary name of Motâlamî, was the most dominant power south of the Awash. In their details, these traditions are hagiographical in character and cannot be accepted literally. Their reference to Dâwaro at that early period is particularly dubious. North of the Awash, however, they seem to have been based on early historical recollections.  

4 The effect of Ibn Khaldun's invaluable reference to this early confrontation between the Christian kingdom and the kingdom of Damot is considerably obscured by his attempt to round off a long history in a relatively short passage. Thus immediately after his reference to the period when Wēlasma was tributary to Damot he jumps to the long-drawn armed conflicts between the Christian kingdom and the descendants of 'Umar Wēlasma. Cf. the translations of the passage quoted in Cerulli, E., 'L'Etiopia medievale', p. 284. Tringham, op. cit., p. 59. The Arab historian simply passes over the crucial developments transmitted in the document published by Cerulli in his 'Il sultanato dello Scioa', and in the chronicles of Amdâ-Şiyon.  

According to Masudi, the Muslims in the Ethiopian region were still living as clients of the native inhabitants in the middle of the tenth century. Ibn Khaldun's description of the ruler of Ḥifat as an autonomous vassal chief, tributary to the king of Damot, provides another stage of development in the political status of Islam in the area. By the second half of the thirteenth century, a chain of Muslim commercial settlements and principalities had already been established along the major routes from Zeila to the interior of the Ethiopian plateau. The most important among these were the principalities of Ḥifat and eastern Shāwa.

Both Maqrizi and the chronicle of the Wālāsma dynasty give a Quraysh or Hashimite origin for 'Umar Wālāsma. According to Maqrizi, the ancestors of 'Umar Wālāsma first settled in Jabara (or Jabarta) a region which he says belonged to Zeila; they gradually moved further inland and occupied Ḥifat also. But Maqrizi gives us no information on the rulers before 'Umar Wālāsma; nor does the chronicle of the Wālāsma dynasty, despite the long genealogy it gives for 'Umar, who in fact assumes the characteristics of a legendary figure.

The traditions of the 'sultanate of Shāwa' take its origin back to the end of the ninth century, ascribing it to a ruling house of Arab origin,

1 Les Prairies d'or, iii, p. 34.
2 Apart from the royal chronicles of the Christian kingdom our knowledge of the seven Muslim 'states' in the region mainly comes from Al-'Umari's work, later almost completely reproduced by Maqrizi with some additions. Recent investigation has brought to light the short chronicle of the Wālāsma dynasty of Ḥifat and Adal, of which the translation was first published by Paulitschke and later by Cerulli with many useful notes and additions. A still more recent find has been the chronicle of the so-called 'Sultanate of Shāwa' edited and translated also by Cerulli. On the other six 'states' mentioned by Al-'Umari and Maqrizi—Dāwaro, Bali, Hadya, Arābānī, Sharkha, and Dāra—we still have no additional material. All of these places, except Arābānī, are later mentioned as outlying provinces of the kingdom in the royal chronicles.
3 Maqrizi, op. cit., p. 17. Cerulli, 'Documenti arabi per la storia d'Etiopia', p. 43. It is interesting to note that Al-'Umari, Ibn Said, and Ibn Khaldun do not mention the Arab origin. According to Ibn Said (1214–74), 'The population of Ḥifat is very much mixed', Aboul Feda, Géographie, tr. Reinaud, M., ii (1848), p. 229. For Ibn Khaldun the Wālāsma family belonged simply 'to the same race [of the Habasha] . . . and it derived its origin from a family which embraced Islam at a time which we cannot specify', op. cit., p. 108.
4 Maqrizi, loc. cit. Ibn Said says that Ḥifat was also called Djabara, Aboul Feda, loc. cit. For the general connotation which the term Jabarti later acquired, see Bruce, Travels to Discover the Source of the Nile (1790), ii, pp. 8–9. Tringham, op. cit., p. 150 notes 1 and 2.
5 He is said to have lived for 120 years, and to have ruled for 80. He had 300 sons and 360 daughters, Cerulli, 'Documenti arabi', p. 43.
this time from the family of the Mahzumi Khalid b. Al-Walid. Its annals before the middle of the thirteenth century consist only of an incomplete record of successive reigns. After 1234 its history describes continuous internal conflicts among the different branches of the ruling house, until 'Umar Wälasma gives it the coup de grâce in 1285 by finally annexing it to his own kingdom of Ifat.

The motive behind the actions of the Wälasma was clearly to consolidate the conflicting interests of the Muslims into a strong political power in the area. Before this attempt at a united Muslim political front, the nature of the penetration of Islam was still essentially commercial and the small 'sultanates' were conterminous with the trading interests of each. The internal conflicts among the different family groups in the thirteenth century do not represent a period of decline of Muslim political power, which was still in its early stages. This was only inherent in their competitive trading concerns in the interior. The policies of the Wälasma were directed at this essential weakness of Islam as a political force in the Ethiopian region. Looked at in another way, 'Umar Wälasma was doing in the Muslim communities exactly what Yikunno-'Amlak had just accomplished in the Christian kingdom, and it is more than a coincidence that the military and political activities of both were in full swing during precisely the same period of time. Ifat was evidently responding to the revival of the Christian kingdom and its growing involvement

---

1 Cerulli, 'Il sultanato dello Scioa', pp. 15–16. The meaning of Shäwa as applied to this Muslim principality is very uncertain. In Christian documents the name applied to the whole plateau south of the Wänchit-Addabay gorge and extending as far as the Awash river. There is nothing tangible to show that the Muslim 'sultanate of Shäwa' ever included any of the districts in the highland area west of the edge of the plateau. All the identifiable place-names in Cerulli's document were still Muslim in Amdä-Siyon's reign, ibid., pp. 25–32. And in that period they clearly referred to the hot lowland areas east of the Shäwan plateau where the 'sultanate of Shäwa' must also be sought. For Al-'Umari Shäwa' was one of the 'mother cities' of Ifat together with Biquézär, Sime, and Adal, op. cit., pp. 8–9. By the time he wrote (1342–9) it cannot have referred to the Shäwan plateau, which was securely in the hands of Amdä-Siyon and Säyfa-Ara'd. Among the different contingents that joined Gragn at Biquézär, his chronicler mentions a group recruited from a Muslim tribe called Shaoa together with those of Harga ya, and Gidayah, Futuh al Habasha, tr. Basset, p. 173. In the Ethiopian chronicles Harga ya and Gidayah are mentioned in reference to Muslim districts east of Awash and Däwaro, cf. Perruchon, Histoire des guerres d'An de-Syion, pp. 283, 318, 321. Les Chroniques de Zar'a Ya'eqob, p. 166. Guidi, I., 'Le canzoni ge'ez- Amarina', p. 63. Probably the Muslim tribe of Shäwa also referred to the same region. More interesting still, a district of Scioa is mentioned among the other Adali districts of Slim, Nagab, Gidaya, and Dakar in a document regarding the sixteenth century, Cerulli, 'Documenti arabi', p. 57, and n. 6.
in the south. As it happened, however, this Muslim bid for political supremacy in the Shāwān region came rather too late in time, and the initiative was soon lost in favour of the Christians.

In the early part of his reign, Yikunno-'Amlak was friendly, not only with the local Muslims, but also with the rulers of Yemen and Egypt. A letter he wrote to Sultan Baybars (1260–77) of Egypt, asking for a new bishop, reached Cairo in 1273/4 accompanied by a covering letter from the then ruler of Yemen, Al-Malik al-Muzeffar (1250–95), through whom the message had been sent. After the usual humble protestations Yikunno-'Amlak declared his friendly sentiments to all Muslims: 'All the Muslims who come to Our Kingdom, we protect them, and we permit them to travel in our realms as they wish.' The letter also suggests that there was an earlier exchange of messengers in which the Mamluke governor of Kus had sent an envoy to Amhara where he was taken ill at the time of writing. Yikunno-'Amlak apologizes, in addition, for not having sent 'the ambassadors' back to Egypt as soon as he would have liked, because he was engaged in a campaign elsewhere. Finally Yikunno-'Amlak informs the Egyptian ruler that his large army included a hundred thousand Muslim cavaliers, and a still greater number of Christians. This number is almost certainly an exaggeration, but the essential part of the message—that his troops included some Muslims—is not hard to accept.

In the later part of his reign, however, Yikunno-'Amlak was

---

1 A chronological study of some of the events that took place in the two communities suggest this. For instance: Yikunno-'Amlak became king in 1270. Marriage relations between the reigning sultan of Shāwā and a daughter of the Wālasma were contracted in 1271. The Wālasma's first visit (probably hostile) to eastern Shāwā happened in 1277. His son burnt what appears to be the capital of the Mahzumite sultanate in the same year. The deposed Mahzumite ruler took refuge in Yikunno-'Amlak's court in 1278/9; cf. Cerulli, op. cit., pp. 11–14.


3 Blochet thinks this may be a reference to envoys previously sent by Baybars to Ethiopia, cf. Mufazzal, op. cit., p. 384 n. 3. It was in Baybars's time that Egypt took a more decisive action against Nubia, and the sultan took a special interest in ensuring the safety of the Dahlah–Suakin–Aydab–Kus route. Yikunno-'Amlak's contacts with the governor of Kus and with Baybars must be seen against this background. Cf. Al-Khowayter, op. cit. i, pp. 283–5; ii, pp. 498, 580.

4 In an Ethiopian MS. at the British Museum there is a representation of Yikunno-'Amlak seated on his royal dais and surrounded by Muslim ambassadors and servants. The inscription reads: 'Muslims and slaves', Wright, Catalogue, Or. 503, ff. 75b–76.
definitely taking offensive actions against the Muslims. This was partly a result of the failure of his mission to Cairo, which was largely due to the apparent lack of full co-operation on the part of the ruler of Yemen. Yikunno-'Amlak had sent his envoys, charged with the letter to Baybars, via Yemen. He expected that the ruler of Yemen would send them on to Cairo as soon as possible, and also facilitate their return with the new bishop by the same route. Yikunno-'Amlak promised to send the presents to the sultan of Egypt, usual on such occasions, upon the arrival of the bishop in Ethiopia, through the good offices of the ruler of Yemen. The latter, however, detained the envoys at his court, alleging that he would have to ask for the authorization of the sultan before sending them to Cairo. He then sent the king's letter to Baybars together with his own request for permission to send the envoys also to his court. This is clear from Baybars's reply to Yikunno-'Amlak:

Regarding the request for a bishop, submitted to Us in the letter, no one has come to Our Court to discuss the matter with Us on behalf of the king; so that We do not know at all what you expect from Us. As for the letter of Sultan Al-Malik al-Muzeffar, it has reached Us, explaining that the latter has received a letter and an envoy from the king of Ethiopia whom he has kept with him until he receives a reply [from Us] to his letter.1

It is not known if this reply ever reached Yikunno-'Amlak. We only find it sent back to Cairo in 1290 enclosed in another letter from his son and successor, Yagba-Ṣiyon (1285–94). From this second letter it is clear that Yikunno-'Amlak did not succeed in obtaining the bishop he asked for.2

Yikunno-'Amlak resented the serious limitation imposed upon him by the fact that he was dependent on the goodwill of neighbouring Muslim states, even for the religious needs of his kingdom. This feeling contributed to his growing anti-Muslim activities and probably led to armed conflicts also.3 His son's letter referred to these hostilities from which he was clearly anxious to dissociate himself: 'My father . . . was an enemy of the Muslims . . . But as for me, I am not like my father at all in this respect; but I protect the Muslims in all the realms of my kingdom.'4

Yikunno-'Amlak's policies were directed towards creating a united

---

2 See pp. 70–2.
4 Quatremère, Mémoires géographiques et historiques, ii (1811), p. 268.
kingdom, militarily and politically dominant throughout the whole Ethiopian region. This would give him the necessary control of the lucrative trade of the Muslims in the interior and eventually ensure their complete dependence on his kingdom. This would, in turn, make his bargaining power vis-à-vis the sultans of Egypt much more effective. In the Shāwān region his policy took the form of an active political intervention in the local rivalries of the Muslim princes. In one such conflict Dil-Marrah, the Mahzumite sultan of Shāwā, who was also the son-in-law of the Wālasma, escaped from his enemies and took refuge at the court of Yikunno-'Amlak in December/January 1278/9. He apparently remained there until his return in April/May 1280. Probably enraged by this unpatriotic act of his son-in-law, Wālasma sent his son Ali to join forces with Dil-Marrah’s local rival, Dil-Gamis, and to fight against another attack of Dil-Marrah from the Christian Court. At the beginning, the league failed in both these missions: Abdallah succeeded in taking power for a short time, and Dil-Marrah was able to return to Abut in Shāwā. Dil-Marrah was probably accompanied by a few Christian troops on his way back and was able to resist Ifatī hostilities for three years. By then, however, the Wālasma himself had come to Shāwā once more, and had assumed full powers in the region. He led what appears to have been punitive expeditions against many areas, in one of which Dil-Marrah was captured and executed in May 1283.¹

The ruler of Ifat now began consolidating his power against Shāwā. In July/August 1285 he captured all the contending Mahzumite princes and massacred them to a man. In their place he nominated a certain Mhz (?) and returned to Ifat feeling assured that, with the removal of the traditional rulers, the last vestiges of Shāwān resistance to his power were destroyed once and for all. He was soon disappointed in this. No sooner did he return home than Mhz himself led a rebellion in an attempt to regain Shāwān independence. The Wālasma invaded Shāwā once more, and furiously pillaged the country and its allies of Gidaya and Haddimorra. It appears that this determined move on the part of Ifat to control all the Muslim regions brought about much local resistance, and it was necessary for the Wālasma to send campaigns against each. Mora, Adal, Hobat, Zatanbar were each attacked and occupied. The predominance of Ifat in the Muslim regions was now taking shape.²

² As will be seen below, Al-‘Umari reports, in the first half of the fourteenth
After the return of Dil-Marrah to Shāwa from his court, it is not clear what Yikunno-'Amlak's role was in these local conflicts. It is, however, unlikely that he could have been indifferent to these events in Shāwa. The fact that the Muslim chronicle of Shāwa found it necessary to record his death strongly implies that the king had always maintained a close contact with developments in the south.¹

Yagba-Ṣiyon began his reign on friendly terms with the Muslims.² As mentioned above, he confirmed this to the sultan of Egypt and requested him to hold a similar attitude towards his Christian subjects: ‘... I protect the Muslims in all the realms of my kingdom; and I wish that the Sultan ... does the same to the Christians of his realms, so that durable friendship would reign between the two of us, and so that this would be cemented by mutual embassies.’³ Yagba-Ṣiyon had a Christian (Egyptian?) secretary, called Daud ben Azz, who wrote his letters for him in Arabic and had the title of ‘Wazir of the king’.⁴ He was thus in close touch with developments in the Near East. Very little internal (Ethiopic) material for this reign is as yet available, and the king’s name is only barely mentioned in the lists of kings. However from his letters to the sultan, to the patriarch of Alexandria, John VII (1262–8; 1271–93), and to the Ethiopian community in Jerusalem,⁵ he emerges as one determined to maintain friendly relations with the Muslims both at home and abroad. His envoys to Cairo were led by an Ethiopian Muslim called Yusuf Abderrahman, who died in Cairo. On his death another member of the delegation whom the Arab chronicler calls ‘an Abyssinian’⁶ assumed the position of ambassador and submitted to the sultan both the letters and the presents⁷ sent by Yagba-Ṣiyon.

century, this predominance of Ifat among the Muslims in the Ethiopian region as a whole, and in the corridor between Zeila and Shāwa in particular. It is very likely that the emergence of Ifat as a strong power started with these early actions of the Wālasma against his neighbouring Muslim principalities; cf. Al-‘Umari, op. cit., p. 5.

¹ Cerulli, op. cit., pp. 13, 19.

² His father died in 1285. His letter reached Cairo in 1290, five years after his accession to power, and it may have been sent from Ethiopia even earlier.

³ Quatremerè, op. cit., p. 268.

⁴ Ibid., pp. 270–1.

⁵ This is the first time we have an official royal message to the community. This in itself indicates that the king was able to command considerable goodwill among the Muslims, who were his major channel of communication.

⁶ This probably means a Christian Abyssinian. That Yusuf was also Ethiopian is suggested by a cousin of his who sent a note to Cairo about Yusuf’s death from Aydab where he had just disembarked on his way back to Ethiopia from Mecca. Cf. Quatremerè, loc. cit.

⁷ These consisted of a eunuch and ‘une pique dorée servant en guise de lampe’, ibid., pp. 268, 270–1.
This policy of co-operation with the Muslims was not, however, a renunciation of Yikunno-‘Amlak’s intentions of making the Christian kingdom the strongest single power in the area. In fact, as we have seen from his letter to Qalawun, Yagba-Ṣiyon was giving a new dimension to the growing power of his kingdom by posing as the protector of his fellow Christians in Egypt. That he could do this with sufficient confidence, only less than five years after his accession to power, shows how much the prestige of the kingdom had been enhanced in the momentous reign of his father. This special concern for the Christians of Egypt, which Yagba-Ṣiyon initiated, would henceforth be invoked time and again by his descendants in their relations with both Egypt and their Muslim subjects and neighbours at home.

It is possible, however, to detect a great deal of internal weakness in Yagba-Ṣiyon’s unreserved declaration of friendly sentiments towards the Muslims in his letters to Cairo. The policy of strong Christian offensive against Damot and the Muslims in the Shāwān region was seriously interrupted by the internal conflicts following the death of Yikunno-‘Amlak. These dynastic problems only came to an end with the accession to power of Widim-Rā’ad (1299–1314) which introduced a new period of protracted hostility between the Christians and the Muslims.

It is apparent that this started with a determined Muslim offensive against the Christian districts on the eastern borders of the Shāwān plateau. A certain Sheikh Muhammad, also called Abu-Abdallah, is reported to have gathered a huge following in 1299 in an attempt to lead a jihad against the Christian kingdom. Widim-Rā’ad intervened, we are told, by intriguing through his agents in the sheikh’s camp, and managed to persuade many of the soldiers to forsake the cause of the sheikh. Faced with these mass desertions, Abu-Abdallah had to conclude an honourable peace with the Christian king:

... the lord of Amhara sent a delegation to Sheikh Abu-Abdallah, and he conceded to him many districts on the frontiers of the land of the habasha; [these districts] would constitute a territory in which [the sheikh] and his companions could settle on the formal condition that they would demand nothing more from the prince of the Amhara who also undertook to

provide them with all their needs until they are completely satisfied; and they would recognize his sovereignty.¹

We are not told exactly where the camp of the sheikh was. The only definite thing that can be said from the text about the centre of the Muslim movement is that it was east of the Blue Nile. The Christian king sent his envoys to Sheikh Abu-Abdallah ‘from the direction of the Nile’.² It appears that the incident represented a further stage in the consolidation of the power of Ḥfat. We have already seen that the Wālasma had unified the Muslims of eastern Shāwa under his own leadership in about 1280. Encouraged by the internal difficulties of the descendants of Yikunno-'Amlak, they were now making a bid for the control of the Shāwan plateau also. Nevertheless, although the story had a Muslim origin, Sheikh Abu-Abdallah’s adventure shows the essential weakness of Islam as a political force. Abu-Abdallah’s followers displayed very little unity of purpose, and the Christian king had great influence in the rank and file of the Muslim community. The incident also indicates, however, Widim-Rā’ad’s internal problems which did not permit him to take a more decisive action against the new Muslim challenge. Both Widim-Rā’ad and Sheikh Abu-Abdallah concluded their agreement of 1299 from a position of relative weakness. It is most likely that, in the military engagements that must have followed the disclosure of Abu-Abdallah’s plans, the two parties reached a stalemate which could only be decided by the conclusion of an agreement. This is indeed what is implied in Mufazzal’s own passage: ‘. . . They sent their envoys from the direction of the Nile to establish the terms of a peace settlement; and this situation lasted for six months.’³ We have no further information on Widim-Rā’ad’s relations with the Muslims after this incident. It seems, however, that in the following fifteen years of his reign, he had considerably improved his position, and that his control of the

¹ Mufazzal, op. cit., p. 58.
² The name of the sheikh may also give an additional clue to the area of the conflict. In the district of Kāsām, on the eastern edge of the Shāwan plateau, there is still a locality known as Abīdāl. The church of St. George there is called Abdalla Giyorgis. This is most probably the area where Widim-Rā’ad allowed the sheikh and his followers to settle. It fits the historical topography of the period very well. In the hostilities of 1332 a certain Abdallah was killed in Adal. The Christian chronicler refers to him as ‘their ruler whom they revere like a bishop’, Perruchon, Histoire des guerres, p. 422. This took place only three decades after the attempted jihād, and in the reign of Widim-Rā’ad’s son and immediate successor. Al-'Umar also had an informant in Cairo whom he calls, ‘le pieux Cheikh “Abd Allah ez-Zeila’i”’, op. cit., p. 3.
³ Mufazzal, loc. cit.
Shäwan plateau had become secure. This is quite clear from the relative ease of military superiority which Amdä-Ṣiyon enjoyed from the very beginning of his remarkable reign.

King Amdä-Ṣiyon (1314–1344)

Amdä-Ṣiyon inherited the military and political problems of the turbulent reigns of his immediate predecessors. These included the final consolidation of the powers of the new dynasty in all the Christian provinces; programmes of expansion into the Agäw districts in the north-west, the kingdom of Gojjam in the west, and Damot in the south-west; and the more important struggle with the Muslims in the east and south-east.

A state of uneasy peace with the Muslims seems to have existed at the time of Amdä-Ṣiyon's accession to power. One of the most important reasons for Christian–Muslim conflicts was the need for the kingdom to ensure a free and unmolested passage of its nationals or agents to the Red Sea and beyond. This had already created the difficulties between Yikunno-'Amlak and the ruler of Yemen. The same consideration motivated the attempt to create a spirit of cooperation based on mutual respect between the Muslims and the Christian kingdom in the reign of Yagba-Ṣiyon. The Muslims had always enjoyed an almost complete monopoly of long-distance trade in the whole region. Sometimes they acted as the agents of the Christian kings, sometimes as independent traders. In either case Muslim rulers were masters of the areas through which the main trade routes passed. This technical dependence of the Christians on the service and goodwill of the Muslims was easily liable to be used for political purposes, especially in times of hostile relations. This appears to be the immediate reason which sparked off the first major military encounter between Amdä-Ṣiyon and the ruler of Ṣafat.

Amdä-Ṣiyon complained that Haqädîn, the ruler of Ṣafat, had arrested a certain subject of his called Ti'iyyintay, who had probably been proceeding on a mission to the coast. He answered this offence with an all-out attack against Ṣafat, and the Muslim regions in the south. Taken by itself the Ti'iyyintay incident was a minor one. The king himself describes Haqädîn's Christian captive as 'one of his least servants'. But it touched a sensitive chord in Christian–Muslim relations in the area, and precipitated a series of military encounters

1 Perruchon, op. cit., p. 352 (tr.).
which changed the balance of power in the Horn of Africa in favour of the Christians, for more than two centuries.

At this time Amdā-Šiyon was at Shagura, a place in the immediate neighbourhood of Ḥifat, probably to the north-west.¹ He marched

¹ Ibid., p. 332 n. 1, and p. 339 (tr.). Cf. also Gādli Abākārāzīn, ed. and tr.
south and attacked Ifat, which seems to have been quite unprepared for the encounter. The Christian army scored an easy success; they pillaged the country and sacked the town of Ifat itself. Amdâ-Ṣiyon’s description of this town gives an impression that it was a great centre of trade and Muslim political power: ‘. . . And then came my troops and destroyed the great [city] called Ifat. And I took from it a large quantity of gold, silver, and precious clothes. As for the other metallic objects I took from there, they were as innumerable as pieces of stone . . .’¹ The implications of this description are remarkably similar to those of Ibn-Said’s description of the same town in the thirteenth century, which confirms its position of great importance as the citadel of Islam in the region: ‘The palace of the king is built on a hill . . . The town is at a great distance from the sea; it is located west of Zeila . . . Its inhabitants profess Islam . . .’² It was here that ‘Umar Wâlasma had consolidated his power and extended it over the other Muslim principalities from Shâwa to Hubat, near present-day Harär, in the last years of the thirteenth century. Its destruction was a major blow against Islam and opened the way for a more effective Christian control of the districts to the east and south-east.

After his signal victory over Ifat Amdâ-Ṣiyon continued his offensives by sending his troops to the other Muslim establishments in Kwilgorâ, Gidayâ, Kubât (HuBAT?), Fâdisé, Qâdisé, Hargayâ, Biqulzär, and eastern Shâwa beyond the edge of the plateau. The reference to the burning of ‘strong forts’ in these places confirms the respectable stage of development reached by these Muslim settlements at this time. These early advances of Amdâ-Ṣiyon do not seem, however, to have resulted immediately in a direct control of these conquered places. Like most other engagements at the time, they were largely occasions for plunder and a show of force. But they were an unmistakable pointer to later political developments, and their immediate result was to make the military might of the kingdom more closely felt by the distant Muslim districts.

The implications of this Christian success were clearly understood by the Muslims, who immediately organized a strong resistance which at first seemed to reverse the situation. They rallied around Haqâdin’s son, called Dâradir.³ The new leader furiously attacked

Conti Rossini, pp. 25–6 (text). Sügura is here mentioned as being near Diror in Ifat and Zéga. The saint was travelling to Tigré from the south.

¹ Perruchon, op. cit., p. 283 (text).
² Aboul Feda, op. cit., p. 229.
³ Perruchon, op. cit., p. 284 (text). Haqâdin himself seems to have lost his life in one of the earliest engagements.
the south-eastern districts of the kingdom with what appears to have been a large army consisting of Wärjih pastoralists of eastern Shäwa and of the regions east of the Awash.¹ Amdä-Śiyon himself bemoans his own defeat which followed in no flattering terms to himself:

The people called Wärjih and Gābāl, who are experts in killing and war, came to fight me from the confines of Finštātē to the frontiers of Biqulzār. From the river of Hawash to Zābir [there were] also Muslims. And the land of the Christians was destroyed, and the land of Ziga and Mānzih. All these leagued against me and surrounded me.²

It is not clear for how long this military superiority of the Muslims lasted. The Christian chronicle which is the only source for these hostilities, reports that Amdä-Śiyon soon resumed his victorious position and later developments confirm it. Dāradir himself was captured and killed. This probably resulted in the immediate disbanding of the largely tribal Muslim forces. The morale of the resistance was seriously damaged and it appears that for most of the period before the advent of Sābrādīn, Amdä-Śiyon’s adversary in 1332, İfat was ruled alternately by a series of usurpers and military adventurers.³ This state of affairs may have been promoted by the increasingly more direct intervention of Amdä-Śiyon.

One of the earliest campaigns of Amdä-Śiyon was conducted against Damot and Hadya. It took place in A.D. 1316/17 and the king commemorates his success in a contemporary note in an early Ethiopic manuscript at the library of the island monastery of Hayq:

... [In A.D. 1316/17] I went to war trusting the prayers of [St.] Stephen and my Father Kristos-Täsfānā ... [And] God gave me all the people of Damot into my hands: its king, its princes, its rulers, and its people, men and women without number, whom I exiled into another area. And after that God gave me all the people of Hadya, men and women without number, whom I exiled into another area ... ⁴

¹ About the earliest conflict between the Christian Amhara and the Wärjih see Cerulli, ‘Il sultanato dello Scioa’, p. 10 with n. 4.
² Perruchon, op. cit., p. 284 (text).
³ The chronicle of the Ṯalasmas dynasty has eight short reigns between Haqādīn and Sābrādīn, only two of which belonged to the grandsons of Umar Ṯalasmas. The origin of the rest is not given. Maqrizi does not even mention these eight intervening reigns, most probably because of the unstable political conditions into which İfat had fallen at the time. It also seems to be the reason why he assumed too early a date for Sābrādīn’s accession to power; he also makes him die at a very advanced age. Maqrizi, op. cit., pp. 17–18. Cerulli, ‘Documenti arabi per la storia dell’Etiopia’, pp. 43–44.
⁴ This text has already been published in my ‘The Abbots of Dābrā Hayq’, p. 96.
These early victories had given the king a great source of wealth and manpower in the rich interior south and south-west of the river Awash. At first the king’s control of these areas seems to have been minimal and limited to levying occasional tributes from the local rulers. This appears to be the case with Hadya in particular. Al-’Umari mentions Hadya as one of the ‘seven Muslim states’ in the Ethiopian region, placing it west of Bali. It is not clear from his work when exactly Muslim influence became predominant in Hadya. The Christian report of Amdä-Šiyon’s successful campaign in Hadya about 1316/17 does not at all imply that the king was fighting against Muslim troops. Al-’Umari’s ‘Muslim state of Hadya’ may have been in fact a pagan kingdom in which a growing number of Muslim merchants had been settling; they had probably also converted some of the local people. But it does not seem that in Amdä-Šiyon’s time the rulers of the country were as yet Muslims. It is quite clear, however, that the influence of the Muslims in the area was very strong, and they used it to undermine the consolidation of Christian power there. Just before 1332, Amdä-Šiyon led another expedition against the kingdom of Hadya to offset such hostile activities.

According to his chronicler, the ruler of Hadya, called Amano, refused to come to the king’s court and submit the usual tributes. He was encouraged in this by a Muslim ‘false prophet’. Amdä-Šiyon’s response to this was very swift. He led his army into Hadya, pillaged the country, and took many prisoners including Amano. The Muslim preacher himself fled to Ḥifāṭ. Amdä-Šiyon seems to have made a thorough job of his reconquest of Hadya which soon became an important source of manpower for the Christian army, of which contingents from Hadya would henceforth form a considerable part.

With Hadya and the regions immediately to the north and west under his control, Amdä-Šiyon was now within measurable distance of achieving his initial programme of controlling the inland trade of

---

2 Hadya is first mentioned by Ibn Said (1214–74), Aboul Feda, op. cit., p. 229. Although he specifically describes Ḥifāṭ as Muslim in the same passage, his reference to Hadya has no political or religious connotations. On the slave trade see pp. 85–8. Cerulli’s article in which he discusses all the available historical and traditional material on Hadya is still the best on the subject, ‘Note su alcune popolazioni Sidama dell’Abissinia meridionale’, in RSO, x (1925), pp. 599–610.
3 Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 286–7 (text).
4 Ibid., p. 293. Already in 1332 a contingent called Hadya was sent as part of the Christian troops to suppress a Fālāsha revolt in Wágāra.
the Muslims. The reconquest of Hadya in particular dealt a hard blow to the slave trade for which it had long been the major source of supply. It was largely on this trade that Muslim activities in the area were based, and the effect was felt, not only by the local traders of Hadya and their immediate neighbours, but by all the chain of Muslim settlements as far as the Red Sea coast. Moreover, Christian power and influence was fast growing in the newly conquered regions, and it looked as if it would soon extend further afield. The future of Islam per se was threatened as it had never been threatened before.

The response of the Muslims to this new challenge was equally striking. Until now the different settlements had been operating largely independently of one another, and the attempt of Ifat to create a united Muslim front had been only partially successful in its immediate neighbourhood, and even that had already been seriously damaged by the early successes of Amdā-Ṣiyon against Haqādīn and Dāradir. Now, however, the time was ripe for a united action against the Christian intruder. Amdā-Ṣiyon’s dauntless campaigns in areas hitherto unknown to Christian troops further shook their sense of security, and made the need for a league even stronger.

Once again, Ifat took the lead in the ensuing hostilities. Sābrādīn was the ruler of Ifat at the time, and he seems to have been at the centre of the new movement for which he was perfectly suited as the son of the great ‘Umar Wālasma. Although evidence is lacking to determine the intellectual or doctrinal content of this movement, there are references in the chronicles to religious leaders helping in its organization. One of these is the ‘false prophet’ of the Christian chronicler, who fled to Ifat on the Christian conquest of Hadya. He appears to have continued his hostile propaganda in Ifat also, where he is represented as one of the advisers of Sābrādīn:

The false prophet fled to the land of Ifat and lived there propagating his false teaching. . . . And when Sābrādīn asked him for counsel he told him saying: ‘The kingdom of the Christians has now come to an end; and it has been given to us, for you will reign on Siyon.’ Go, ascend [the mountains], and fight the king of the Christians; you will defeat him, and rule him together with his peoples. . . .’

There is also another religious leader referred to in the chronicles, this time in the Adal-Mora region: ‘. . . [it was] a man called Salīh whose title was Qāzī, [a title] resembling that of an Archbishop, whom

1 For the implications of the use of this Biblical term see pp. 249–50.
kings and rulers revere, and whom they fear like God; [it was this
man] who gathered the Muslim troops, kings, and rulers [against
Amdä-Şiyon] . . . ' The Muslim league against Amdä-Şiyon had there-
fore assumed the characteristics of a jihad. Its purpose was very
ambitious, and not limited merely to regaining the provinces recently
lost by the Muslims. It aimed at a complete take-over of all the
Christian provinces, in order to remove the Christian threat once and for
all. It appears that the political and military moves of Säbrädín and
his allies were extremely well planned.

Säbrädín declared hostilities in the first months of 1332. Together
with the news of the Muslim risings in the east, Amdä-Şiyon also
received reports of rebellions in the northern provinces of his king-
dom. It is not impossible that Säbrädín deliberately chose the timing
so that Amdä-Şiyon's huge army could be diverted elsewhere. This
in fact suited the grandiose intentions of the ruler of Ḥifat.

According to the chronicler, one of the earliest steps taken by him
was to nominate his own Muslim governors for all the provinces of
the Christian kingdom. He accordingly divided his army into three:
The first part was to march due north to the province of Angot, the
second due north-west to Amhara, and the rest led by himself was
to march on Shäwa, where Amdä-Şiyon was camping. Apparently,
the king was warned of these plans and made a swift move to frus-
trate them. He sent a large force to attack Säbrädín and his army at

1 Ibid., p. 318 (text). Elsewhere, there are passing references to Säbrädín's
religious programmes after his victories, pp. 328–9 (tr.); and the plans of the
'king of Adal', are also mentioned, p. 363.

2 Ibid., p. 279 (text). It is here said that hostilities started in 516 Year of Grace,
in the 18th year of Amdä-Şiyon's reign. According to a contemporary historical
note which has come to light only recently, Amdä-Şiyon succeeded Widim-Rā'ad
on the throne in 498 Year of Grace, Taddesse Tamrat, 'The Abbots of Däbrä
Hayq', n. 39. This shows the chronicler's accuracy in considering 516 Year of
Grace the king's 18th regnal year. The two dates are equivalent to A.D. 1313/4 and
1331/2. For the rules of how to convert these dates see, Grébaut, S., 'Table de
comput et de chronologie', in ROC, i (1918/19), pp. 324–6. Mauro da Leonessa, P.,
Cronologia e calendario etiopico (Tivoli, 1934), pp. 100–3. Id., 'Un trattato sul
calendario redatto al tempo di re Amda-Syon I', in RSE, iii (1943), pp. 302 ff.
Huntingford's dating of Amdä-Şiyön's wars in 1329 does not appear to be
justified, see his The Glorious Victories of 'Amda-Seyon, King of Ethiopia (Oxford,


4 Ibid., pp. 280–1 (text), 328–30 (tr.).

5 Ibid., pp. 288 (text), 336 and n. 1 (tr.). Shäwa here doubtless refers to the
central Shäwan plateau where Amdä-Şiyon was camping. ' . . . and to ascend to
the country of Shäwa', left out in Perruchon's translation, clearly indicates the
direction of the hostilities.
their headquarters in Ifat, before they could move to their several assignments. The mission had the intended result. The Muslim army was defeated, Ifat sacked once again, and Säbrädín narrowly escaped, probably to Däwaro in the south-east.¹

Amdä-Şiyon sent some contingents of his army to the rebellious provinces in the north, and himself marched to Däwaro² to follow up the success of his troops in Ifat. He had more than one reason to march in this direction. Despite the religious and political overtones of the Muslim movement led by Säbrädín, the immediate reason for the hostilities in 1332 was exactly similar to the Ti’iyintay incident at the time of Haqädín. Säbrädín’s interference in the free movement of the king’s commercial agents still held an important place in Amdä-Şiyon’s multiple grievances against the ruler of Ifat.³ Amdä-Şiyon’s preoccupation with the safety and freedom of the trade routes was quite central to these conflicts, and was a prime factor in determining the direction in which the campaigns had to be conducted.⁴

It will be remembered that soon after his victory over Haqädín Amdä-Şiyon had sent troops to other Muslim districts such as Kwilgora, Gidayä, Kubät, Fädisé, Qädisé, Hargayä, and Biqulzär. Most of these areas were originally conquered and annexed by the Wälasma at the end of the thirteenth century and, having conquered Ifat, Amdä-Şiyon was also indirectly the master of these districts. It is hard to determine in precise terms the actual nature of the political relationship between the Christian kingdom on the one hand, and Ifat and its satellites on the other. Nevertheless, it seems clear from the chronicles that occasional tributes were collected through the

¹ Ibid., pp. 338–9 (tr.).
² This is the first time we have a reference to Däwaro. Neither the chronicle of the ‘sultanate of Shâwa’, nor that of the ‘house’ of Wälasma mentions it. Al’Umari later makes it one of the ‘Muslim states’ in the region, op. cit., pp. 14–15. It occupied the western section of the Harär plateau, throughout our period, Perruchon, Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’eqob, pp. 15 n. 7, 133 n. 1. Futuh al-Habasha, tr. Basset, pp. 16 n. 2, 30, 55. A small administrative district in central Harär still bears the name of Däwaro, Journal of the Ministry of Interior, Addis Ababa, ii, no. 3 (1964), p. 6. It is probable that most of the inhabitants in the area were of Sidama origin, Cerulli, E., Studi ettiopici, I: La lingua e la storia di Harar, pp. 6–14.
³ Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 298, 344.
⁴ Huntingford has recently proposed a different route for these campaigns, The Glorious Victories, pp. 36–8. I have indicated my objections to his proposed route in a short review of the book in the Journal of African history, vii (1966), pp. 512–13. Many of the place-names and tribal groups referred to in the chronicle are also mentioned in other documents in connection with the area of the Harär plateau, east of the Awash and Däwaro.
ruler of İfat, and that the freedom of movement of both Christians and Muslims in these areas was ensured.

Amdā-Şiyon’s growing control of the interior and his military prestige in the whole Ethiopian region had also obtained for him the respect and willing co-operation of the rulers of the communities where İfat did not have direct political influence, such as in Däwaro and Sarka.¹ This was important for the security and prosperity of trade in the area, and was promoted by the Muslim traders who had considerable influence at the king’s court and in those communities. All these areas lay along the main routes to Zella which had long been the chief outlet of trade for the region. As long as the safety of these routes to his Christian and Muslim agents was ensured, Amdā-Şiyon appears to have been satisfied with distant but friendly relations with these provinces. With the organization of Säbrädin’s resistance, however, all these Muslim areas were persuaded to unite in an attempt to abolish the growing Christian control of their old commercial and political activities in the interior.² Their success in this disastrous plan could have reversed the political situation back to its original position at the end of the thirteenth century, and could have made the Christian kingdom entirely dependent on the Muslim principalities for its commercial needs and its external communications in general. It was to solve these important problems that Amdā-Şiyon personally led his army to Däwaro, Mora, and Adal.

He fortified his mundane reasons for these campaigns with sufficient religious motives and could thus more effectively inspire his troops with a crusading spirit. ‘You fought for my sake in the past,’ he told them, ‘and now fight for Christ.’ He doubtless had the full support of the leading churchmen of his kingdom in this. He specially received a message from a local saint, Amanu’él, which message the king quoted in his speech to his troops: ‘Behold, the kingdom of the Muslims has come to an end. In former times, you used to fight for

¹ Amdā-Şiyon’s chronicler later accuses ‘the ruler of Sarka’ of having co-operated with the Muslims of Däwaro against the Christian army. The king led a punitive expedition against Sarka immediately after passing through Däwaro, Perruchon, op. cit., pp. 436-7. According to Al-‘Umari, Sharkha was a small Muslim state bordering on Hadya, op. cit., p. 17. Just like Amdā-Şiyon’s army Gragn’s troops also raided Sharkha after having passed through Däwaro, Futuh al Habasha, tr. Basset, pp. 190–3. It seems therefore that it was to the north-east of Hadya, and on the western borders of Däwaro. There is still a small administrative unit called Shirkha in eastern Arussi, Journal of the Ministry of Interior, i, no. 12 (1962), p. 15.

the sake of your transitory kingdom, and for the sake of gold, silver, and precious clothes. But today, rise and fight for the sake of Christ ..." 

The campaigns of 1332 were long and difficult. They were conducted in regions completely hostile and apparently unknown to the Christian troops. The heat and the scarcity of water in the semi-desert regions, where the fighting largely took place, were intolerable to the highland troops, and the whole campaign was overshadowed with constant threats of mass desertions which were only averted by the king’s determination, and by the possible dangers that awaited such recalcitrant soldiers on the long way home. Despite these difficulties, the campaigns were a brilliant success. Säbrädin surrendered, and was imprisoned together with his friend and ally, Haydära, king of Däwaro, and his own brother Jämaldin was appointed ‘king of all the Muslims’. The other members of the league in Mora and Adal, further to the east, were similarly attacked and defeated one by one. 

It appears that this superiority of the Christian army lay in its characteristic organization, consisting of numerous contingents recruited from the different provinces of the kingdom, each with its own commander and under the united leadership of the king himself at the top. That the campaigns took place in distant, very hostile regions was also an important cohesive factor in 1332. The Muslim troops, on the other hand, largely consisted of elusive nomadic tribes of the area, who were only suited to making occasional surprise attacks and immediately retreating into the bush after causing considerable damage in the Christian camp. These tactics, although they may have

---

1 Ibid., p. 312 (text).
2 Although most of the place-names mentioned in the chronicle cannot be precisely identified as yet, it seems clear that the campaigns followed more or less the same line as the Addis-Jibuti railway along the northern slopes and foothills of the Harár plateau. What is very interesting to note here in this connection is that, from the description of the terrain through which they led their armies, both Amdä-Şiyon and Ahmad Gragn seem to have used the same routes, see pp. 83–4. It is impossible here to determine how far east Amdä-Şiyon led his troops, and it is not clear where Bruce got the report that Amdä-Şiyon had actually entered Zeila. The chronicle, of which Bruce’s chapter on Amdä-Şiyon is a free translation, has ‘the king of Zél’a’ as one of the members of the Muslim league against the Christian king. It is quite possible that Bruce got his idea of Amdä-Şiyon’s occupation of Zeila from this note. Cf. Perruchon, op. cit., p. 318 (text); Bruce, op. cit., ii, p. 45.
6 Ibid., pp. 349, 358, 358.
caused high casualties among the Christians, were not suited to pursuing the campaigns with the necessary tenacity and single-minded continuity which characterized Amdā-Şiyon’s leadership. This weakness was further aggravated by the lack of essential unity in the Muslim league. This, indeed, seems to have been the key to Amdā-Şiyon’s success in those areas at a considerable distance from the centre of his kingdom. The political superiority of the kingdom, which was now an accomplished fact after these campaigns, also drew its strength very largely from this basic disunity among the Muslims.

The political control of Ifat in the districts originally conquered by the Wālasmā—Mora, Adal, Gidayā—was only minimal. What seems to have resulted from these early conquests was, at best a loose confederation of the old trading settlements, with the ruler of Ifat at its head, largely because of his military prestige and his strategic position at the heart of the trade routes from Zeila to Angot, Amhara, and Shāwa. And much of this early political influence was already lost to Ifat with the growing importance of the Christian kingdom. South of this east–west corridor from Zeila to Ifat, which was apparently limited in the south by the northern slopes of the Harār plateau, there threw another chain of Muslim commercial states along the routes from Zeila to the south-east, namely Dāwaro, Sharkha, Bali,\(^1\) Dara,\(^2\) Arababni,\(^3\) and Hadya.

The commercial nature of all these states, and their vulnerable positions vis-à-vis a strong power controlling the rich interior, made complete unity among them an impossible ideal. Faced with the considerable army of Amdā-Şiyon, the initial warlike preparations inspired by religious and economic motives virtually flickered out of existence. The league was already seriously weakened at the begin-

---

\(^1\) Unlike Dāwaro and Sharkha, Bali is not mentioned in the long list of Muslim areas who fought against the Christian army in 1332. But the songs about Amdā-Şiyon refer to it as one of his conquests, Guidi, I., ‘Le canzoni’, pp. 62–3. It is not clear when the Christian conquest was first undertaken, but by the time Al-‘Umari wrote it was one of the vassal Muslim kingdoms, very rich in its resources, op. cit., p. 18. Maqrizi, op. cit., p. 15. The Wabi Shābāllāh separated it from Dāwaro in the north, and Adal in the north-east, Futuh al-Habasha, tr., p. 68 n. 3; its original inhabitants were probably Sīdama, of the eastern group, Cerulli, Studi etiopesi, II (1938), pp. 1–2, 31–2.

\(^2\) See p. 188 n. 3.

\(^3\) Arababni is not mentioned as such in Christian or Muslim documents other than Al-‘Umari’s work. It has therefore been impossible to suggest any specific identification. It is clear, however, that Al-‘Umari placed it between Dāwaro and Hadya, south of the Awash, in the region of what is today Arussi province, op. cit., p. 15 with n. 1.
ning of the war when Amdā-Šiyon first attacked Ḩfat, as it appears, before the numerous ‘kingdoms’ and ‘principalities’ could send their share of the army to Sābrādin. This does not seem to have been due to the king’s strategic moves any more than to the deliberate delay on the part of Sābrādin’s allies. Even after the hostilities were in full swing, divisions of interest seriously affected the efficacy of the Muslim strategy.²

These fraternal divisions seem to have furnished Amdā-Šiyon with sufficient allies among the Muslims themselves. This is clearly brought out throughout the struggle, and provides a more logical reason for his success in these distant regions. It will be remembered that one of the major immediate reasons for the conflicts of 1332 was the seizure of the king’s commercial agents, and their detention, by Sābrādin. These merchants were most probably Muslim themselves, and the incident was a clear case of jealousy by the more distant Muslims of the large profits such royal agents were doubtless making, protected as they were by the political and military power of the king. It is unlikely that these Muslim commercial interests, strongly organized around the Christian court, were immediately disbanded on the declaration of war between the two religious communities. These traders, like many of their Christian counterparts, were no religious crusaders and would, at most, only try to make the best of the two worlds.³ Rival members of the ruling families among them also seem to have offered themselves for nomination as new governors of the districts conquered from their brothers.⁴ Such rivalry among local princes for the royal favour was not unique in the Ethiopian polity, and it was the mainstay of the medieval empire as a whole. What is new, in the case of Ḩfat and the Muslim provinces, is that the principle was also being more boldly extended to them despite their former political and economic importance and the religious difference with the Christian kings. The dependent status of the Muslim provinces became an accomplished fact after the conquests of 1332, and by the

---

² Ibid., pp. 381–2.
³ There seems to have been a strong Muslim pressure group in the Christian court anxious to see hostilities discontinued and peace resumed. The earliest such instance is when the queen was made to intercede for Sābrādin on the occasion of his surrender. Amdā-Šiyon persistently refused to accept such half-measures; many requests were submitted to him during the course of the campaigns to return to his kingdom. Cf. ibid., pp. 342–3, 347–8, 471–3.
⁴ Ibid., pp. 422–6.
time Al-’Umari was writing, this relationship between the kingdom and the Muslim states was definitely established:

Although all the sovereigns of these [Muslim] kingdoms transmit their power on the basis of heredity, none among them has effective authority without being invested by the king of the Amhara. When one of these [Muslim] kings dies, and if he has any male issues, all of them go to the king of the Amhara and they employ all possible means to gain his favours; because it is he who chooses the one on whom he confers power . . . , because it is he who has supreme authority over them, and in front of him, they are only his lieutenants.¹

This policy of ‘divide and rule’ was specially directed to the family of Säbrädin, and was in the end responsible for the militant members of the family moving their headquarters from Ifat further to the east, and continuing the struggle throughout the period.

Amdä-Šiyon’s conquests of 1332 did not bring about a permanent settlement to the Muslim question. It has already been mentioned that, soon after Säbrädin’s defeat, Amdä-Šiyon appointed his brother Jämaldin in his place. Jämaldin was himself in prison before he was elevated to this office.² This probably means that there had already been a reaction in the Wàlásma family to the growing Christian dominance since Därdir’s defeat, and the king may have consequently held some of the princes in custody, either as hostages or virtual prisoners. Like many individuals under similar circumstances, these princes reconciled themselves (at least temporarily) with their positions, and could later be used as rival nominees of the king for the leadership of their people, especially in times of hostilities. This may have been the case with Jämaldin as it would soon be with the son and grandsons of Säbrädin. However, although he accepted the office of his brother, Jämaldin did not intend to be a passive agent for the king’s expansionist policies. At first, he requested him to

¹ Op. cit., p. 19. Al-’Umari (1301–49) wrote in the years between 1342 and 1349. But much of the material for his book seems to have been collected in 1329–37, when he assisted his father, who was head of the chancery in Cairo. He mentions Patriarch Benjamin (1327–39) as one of his informants on Ethiopia (p. 27). Much of his work is therefore contemporary with Amdä-Šiyon, and the relationships which he gives between the two communities were characteristic of that reign and the years immediately following it. By the time Maqruzí wrote (1435/6) however, the situation was different, although he simply copies Al-’Umari in enumerating the seven ‘Muslim states’. As is clear from his own story of the subsequent wars, Ifat was no longer the centre of Muslim resistance, and the other Muslim ‘states’ of Bali, Däwaro, and Hadya were integral parts of the kingdom.

² Perruchon, op. cit., p. 479.
relinquish his intentions of continuing the war further east to Adal. When Amdā-Ṣiyon rejected this idea, Jāmaldīn began secret arrangements with the king of Adal' and his allies to take concerted action against the Christian army, and attack them on two flanks. The plan failed because of disagreement among the allies.¹ But it seems that Jāmaldīn continued his hostile intentions, and Amdā-Ṣiyon found it necessary to imprison him once again, and appoint another brother in his place on his way back from Adal. The immediate reason for his dismissal was his failure to bring to the king's court all those Christians living in the realm of Ḥfat who had been converted (or reconverted?) to Islam during the war. He alleged that 'the son of his brother' prevented him from doing so. This presumably means Sābrādīn's son, and implies that although Amdā-Ṣiyon may have appointed his own governors over Ḥfat, the legitimate ruler was still Sābrādīn's son. This in fact seems to be the case since, according to both Maqrizi and the chronicler of the 'house' of Wālasma, Sābrādīn was succeeded by his son Ali, who was the contemporary of Sāyfā-Ar'ad, son and successor of Amdā-Ṣiyon.²

Amdā-Ṣiyon's successors (1344–1430)

Sāyfā-Ar'ad (1344–71)³ continued his father's military policies towards the Muslims. It appears that his was a policy of maximum intervention in the local affairs of Ḥfat, undertaken with the view of incorporating it completely into the system of his medieval empire. He went further in this than even his father, and his direct attempts at assimilating the ruling house of the Wālasma created a split in the family, the more militant group moving further east, and eventually emerging as the undisputed champions of Islam in the region.

Ali, the son of Sābrādīn was, according to Maqrizi, 'the first to revolt from the customary allegiance to the Haṭī'.⁴ Since Maqrizi does not refer to the war of 1332 this appears to mean that, after Amdā-Ṣiyon's military display of power, the ruler of Ḥfat and his

¹ Ibid., pp. 362–3, 441.
² Ibid., p. 479. Maqrizi, op. cit., p. 18. Cerulli, 'Documenti arabi', p. 44. It is significant that the chronicler of Amdā-Ṣiyon does not say what happened to 'the son of his brother' when Jāmaldīn was cast into prison. This may very well represent the position of strength which the son of Sābrādīn enjoyed at the time, vis-à-vis the wishes of the conqueror.
³ Bruce reports that Sāyfā-Ar'ad had accompanied his father on the 1332 campaigns, op. cit. ii, p. 60. He probably misread the name of another son of the king, Saf-Asāgīd, who did take part in the Adal wars, Perruchon, op. cit., p. 400.
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followers showed no more resistance to the demands and political domination of the Christian kingdom, until after the death of the conqueror himself in 1344. This was sound policy, since the damage done to the economic life of the Muslim regions in the long and desparate campaigns of 1332, and the military prestige of Amdä-Şiyon himself, could not have been favourable to another series of hostilities. Ali, therefore, seems to have given a breathing space to his people before summoning them to help him restore their independence. The accession to power of a new king was probably thought to have created the necessary conditions for a new rising. But Säyfä-Ar'ad took stern action against such a move, and popular feeling in İfat was not favourable to Ali's rebellion since, according to Maqrizi, 'they not only disagreed with his action but rebelled against it'.

This popular complacency towards Christian rule in these Muslim regions, a thing which is lamented by both Al-'Umari and Maqrizi, was of course merely the result of the considerable loss they had already suffered in the earlier hostilities. It was also a result of the difference in the relative position of the two communities. For the Christians, led by their warrior kings, it was a period of conquest and expansion when they were always on the offensive. Possessed of a huge army and a mobile destructive power, they had much less to lose in the conflict than their Muslim counterparts, in whose territory the campaigns were conducted, with all their disruptive effect on the trade routes and on the marginal agricultural economy of these areas. The location of İfat itself, which was its greatest asset in the thirteenth century, as the spearhead of Muslim expansion in this direction, was now becoming a liability, since physical contiguity with the centres of the Christian power offered much scope for direct political and military intervention by the Christian royal court. The over-all result of these unfavourable circumstances doubtless fell heavily on the influential merchant class, which probably helps to explain their apparent reluctance to engage in further hostilities.

This strengthened Säyfä-Ar'ad's position. He imprisoned Ali, the son of Säbrādīn, together with all his sons, except for Ahmad with whom the king was on very good terms. Ahmad was appointed governor of İfat in his father's place. Eight years later his father, Ali, was set free and reinstated. 

---

1 Al-'Umari, op. cit., p. 2; Maqrizi, loc. cit.
2 Maqrizi, op. cit., p. 18. Maqrizi says elsewhere (p. 27) that Ali was in prison for 30 years and died there in the reign of Sä'adādīn. This would mean that he was
with his other sons, and he nominated them to positions under himself. He excluded Ahmad from these nominations, and the king’s personal intervention was required for him to be given a district to rule. Ahmad was alienated from his family for his friendship with the Christian king. When he died, his children were looked upon as outcasts by the Wālasma family, and they were excluded from local-government posts.

A bitter struggle for power started between Ahmad’s children on the one hand, and their grandfather, Ali, and his sons on the other. Among Ahmad’s sons were Haqādīn II, and Sā’ādādīn. The latter was actually born at the Christian royal court, and probably Haqādīn also accompanied his father during his sojourn there. But despite their father’s apparent friendship with King Sāyfā-Ar’ad, both Haqādīn and Sā’ādādīn grew up to be the strongest champions of Islam in the Ethiopian region. Their father Ahmad represented a major success in the king’s deliberate policy of dividing the Wālasma family. But it is ironical that the deadliest enemies of the Christian kingdom should have come from his branch of the family.

Haqādīn, the elder of Ahmad’s two famous sons, was in fact the founder of the kingdom of Adal as we know it in its protracted struggle with the Christian kingdom from the last quarter of the fourteenth century right to the end of our period. Maltreated by his grandfather and his uncle, Mola Asfah, Haqādīn started his career as an outlaw. He apparently had a great deal of Islamic learning, and, unlike his father, he was completely reluctant to use the goodwill of the Christian king in regaining his rights as the son of Ahmad. He took the opposite course, gathered a large following around him, and rebelled against his grandfather and his uncle, both of whom he forced to identify themselves with the much-hated Christian kingdom. Accusing them of being the puppets and vassal chiefs of the Christian king, Haqādīn assumed the sole leadership of militant Islam in the region. No doubt the religious leaders of the Muslim communities approved of his action, and probably encouraged many to join him. Thus, surrounded by numerous followers, Haqādīn declared war on his grandfather and his uncle. They asked Sāyfā-Ar’ad for Christian imprisonment more than once. The chronicle of the Wālasma dynasty also gives him a long reign of 40 years which is, however, followed by that of Ahmad, who is given only two years. But we know from Maqrizi that Ahmad died before his father, and the succession was not as neatly legitimate as the official Wālasma chronicler has it. Cf. Cerulli, ‘Documenti arabi’, pp. 44 n. 5 and 45 n. 1.

1 Maqrizi, op. cit., p. 19.
assistance against the rebel Muslim prince. In a series of military engagements, Haqādīn was victorious against the combined force of his relatives and the Christian army. His greatest enemy and uncle, Mola Asfah, was killed in action, and the breach in the family feud grew even wider. Haqādīn triumphantly entered Ifat where his grandfather resided. He was magnanimous to the old man, and confirmed him as titular ruler of the old city. However, he established his own capital at another site, in ‘the land of Sawa’, according to Maqrizi, ‘where he built the town of Wahal’.¹

It is very uncertain what Maqrizi meant by ‘Sawa’ in this context. Almost certainly, however, the name cannot be a reference to any of the districts of the Shāwan plateau, which was definitely a Christian province at the time. Most likely, it was in the region south-east of Ifat, and east of the edge of the plateau, just like the former ‘sultanate of Shāwa’.² Haqādīn’s change of his capital was the most drastic action taken by the Muslims since active struggle with the Christians started, and the establishment of a new centre of Muslim resistance marked a new chapter in the relations between the two communities. Haqādīn inaugurated a new, and uncompromising spirit of Muslim leadership in the area, which was no longer satisfied with a mere local autonomy. The prime motive of his new move was to be completely independent of the Christian kingdom. For this he needed to acquire much of the mobility and warlike character of the Christian kings themselves, and his emergence to power was a clear case of self-adaptation to the Christian challenge.

According to Maqrizi, Haqādīn began his reign in about A.D. 1363–4.³ His advent to power was preceded as we have seen, by a series of armed conflicts, which seriously disturbed the process of

² See p. 125 n. 1 above. It is interesting that Maqrizi locates Sā’adādīn’s centre of military activities at twelve days’ journey from Bali, op. cit., p. 25. This is most likely a reference to the Harār region, and probably Wahal was also in the same general direction as Dakar and Harār, cf. Cerulli, ‘Documenti arabi’, pp. 42 n. 1, 50 n. 1. Futuh al-Habasha, tr., pp. 24, 67.
³ Ibid., p. 22: ‘. . . he fell in the year A.H. 776, the tenth year of his reign.’ His reign was probably considered as having started on his successful take-over of Ifat, although his grandfather was still alive, until after his own death, see p. 146 n. 2. above. According to the Wālasma chronicle, ‘Haqādīn . . . initiated the movement of the holy war along the path of the Lord for a period of ten years’. This description tallies with Maqrizi’s reports about him. But the chronicler gives his dates as A.D. 1376–86, Cerulli, ‘Documenti arabi’, p. 45 notes 2, 3, and 4. These dates are too late by about twelve years since, according to Maqrizi, Haqādīn was already active in the reign of Sāyfā-Ar’ad (1344–71).
quiet assimilation that formed the cornerstone of Sāyfā-Ar'ad's Muslim policy. It seems reasonable to suppose that it was also about this time that Sāyfā-Ar'ad is reported to have taken desperate repressive measures against his Muslim subjects. The new upsurge of hostilities damaged the business and security of the merchants to such an extent that they appealed for help to the sultan of Egypt. In Egypt itself, Muslim popular feeling had flared up against the Copts, and relations between the two communities were extremely tense. This probably induced the sultan and his court to treat with special urgency the petition of the Muslims in Ethiopia. Patriarch Marqos (1348–63) was summoned at once, and ordered to send a delegation asking the king of Ethiopia to stop his hostile actions against the Muslims:

At that time ruled a king called Sāyfā-Ar'ad . . . He killed many of the Muslims who rebelled against him. There were also some whom he expelled from his country. These went to the king of Egypt and appealed to him. They told him: 'The king of Ethiopia destroyed the Muslims: Some of them he killed, and some he made Christians'. When the king of Egypt heard this he was greatly concerned for his religion and forced the patriarch to send prominent persons . . . [to] the king of Ethiopia . . . about the remaining Muslims in his kingdom.¹

It is not clear what exactly were the results of the embassy, but the delegation, which consisted of the Coptic archbishop of Jerusalem and the bishop of Ahnas, was not allowed to leave the country. Sāyfā-Ar'ad doubtless realized that the patriarch was only induced to send such a delegation by forceful means, and probably distrusted it as a result. Armed hostilities continued with Haqādin until he was killed in action in A.D. 1373–4, in the reign of Niwayā-Maryam (1371–80), the son and immediate successor of Sāyfā-Ar'ad.²

¹ Quatremère, Mémoires géographiques et historiques, ii, pp. 250–7.
³ Maqrizi, op. cit., p. 22. Here Maqrizi calls the Christian king in whose reign Haqādin lost his life, Dawīt. But this is an obvious error, since he himself says that the Muslim prince fought until the death of Sāyfā-Ar'ad 'who was succeeded by his son Dawīt'. This no doubt refers to the immediate successor of Sāyfā-Ar'ad who was also his son, but his name was Niwayā-Maryam which Maqrizi took for Dawīt (1380–1412), probably because of the more widely known exploits of the latter. Professor Cerulli bases his defence of the dates of the Wālasma chronicle on this error of the Arab historian. Consequently he alters many dates given by Maqrizi and partly confirmed by Ethiopian sources—namely, that Haqādin began his reign in the days of Sāyfā-Ar'ad; that he was killed in the reign of his immediate successor; that Śa'addādin, who succeeded him, died on the occasion of the Christian invasion of Zeila in the reign of Dawīt, in A.D. 1402–3. He alters
The power of resistance of the Muslim kingdom of Ifat had already been exhausted by the second half of the fourteenth century. A hundred years of continuous warfare with the much stronger Christian kingdom had sapped its economic and military strength, and it had been reduced to the position of a provincial governorate. As such it was no longer of much use as a platform for organizing and leading a political and religious warfare against the Christians. Haqādīn and his militant followers understood this, and they displayed a remarkable sense of realism in moving their centre of hostile activities away from the expanding Christian empire. Thus on the one hand, Haqādīn’s transfer of the centre of Islam in Ethiopia from Ifat to Wahal, probably located much further to the south-east, represented a major success in the expansionist policies of the Christian kings since the days of Yikunno-'Amlak. But it also brought new dangers to the kingdom. Ifat had always been within a short distance from the highland Christian provinces, and it was more vulnerable to swift punitive expeditions sent out against it. But the kingdom of Adal which sprung up in its place, after the eventful career of Haqādīn II (A.D. 1363/4–1373/4), was very remote, and it had at its command an all these to establish the Wālasma dates, of which the reliability is also questioned sometimes by himself, Cerulli, ‘Documenti arabi’, p. 45 notes 2, 3, and 4; and p. 46 notes 1, 2, and 3. Cf. also Gādālā-Mārqorēwos, ed. Conti Rossini, p. 38 (text). Basset, Études, notes 90, 93.

This transfer of the political centre also represents, it seems, the vast displacement of peoples which always accompanied the expansion of the kingdom in every direction. In the Adal region, the hot lowland plains were largely inhabited, it appears, by the eastern Kushitic group of people represented today by the Soho, Afar, Somali, and Galla. An interesting theory on the origin of these people has recently been proposed, Lewis, H. S., ‘The Origins of the Galla and Somali’, in JAH, vii (1966), pp. 27–46. Most likely, the pastoral tribes to which references are made in the chronicles belong to this stock of people. It seems possible to detect, after 1270, a general eastward movement of a section of these people. The relative positions of the Harla in the fourteenth and sixteenth centuries seems to illustrate the general phenomenon. Ibn Said (1214–74) mentions the Karla as an important people in the Ethiopian region, Aboul Feda, Géographie, pp. 225–6. Mufazzal (wrote before 1358) makes them one of the subject peoples of the Christians, Histoire des sultans Mamlouks, p. 386. Amdī-Šlyon’s chronicler describes their hostile action against the king’s army in the region between Biqulzār and Dāwaro, Histoire des guerres, ed. Perruchon, p. 435. In the time of Gragn, however, their habitat seems to have been east of Harār, Futuh al-Habasha, tr. Basset, pp. 149–50, 170–1. Azais and Chambard collected some vivid traditions about the early importance of the Harla in the Harār region, Cinq Années de recherches archéologiques en Éthiopie (1931), pp. 33, 35–6, 132–3, 139. Conti Rossini, ‘Postille al Futuh al-Habasha’, pp. 181–2. Still today, there is a small village called Harla, just outside Dirédawa on the road to Harār. A number of Arabic inscriptions are reported to have been found in and around this village.
extremely mobile and elusive force, considerably more destructive than what Ḥafṣ could ever have at its disposal. It also held a strategic position on the major eastern route to Zeila. The region of Harār, where the new Muslim centre seems to have been established, was the focal point for all the trade routes from the eastern provinces of the Christian kingdom—namely, Ḥafṣ, Fāṭāgar, Dāwāro, and Balī. Islam was the dominant religion in all these eastern provinces. This gave the successors of Ḥaqādīn II additional power and influence within the boundaries of the Christian empire itself. The political loyalties of the inhabitants were seriously divided, and often changed sides with every shift in the balance of power between the Christians and the Muslims. The new Muslim kingdom of Adal fully exploited these favourable circumstances, and began to harass the long frontier lines of the Christian kingdom from the outskirts of Ḥafṣ in the north, to the left bank of the Wabi Shābāllāh in the south.

Ḥaqādīn II was succeeded by his brother Sāʿadādīn, who continued the aggressive policy of his predecessor. Both Christian and Muslim sources are unanimous in reporting his military successes against the Christian army in the early part of his reign. An Ethiopian hagiographical tradition relates that ‘a rebel called Sāʿalādīn... son of Ḥaqālādīn, in the country of Adal... fought against King Dawīt whose army he easily destroyed.’ Ahmad al-Qalqashandi (d. 1418), the Egyptian encyclopedist, also describes Sāʿadādīn as the most successful Muslim prince in his resistance against the Christian kingdom, which nevertheless maintained its position as the strongest power in the Ethiopian region:

The Haṭī, king of Ethiopia, after the year eight hundred, led his Christian [troops] in the greater part of these [Muslim] provinces which he devastated and of which the inhabitants he killed, burning their holy books, and forcing most of them to be converted to the Christian religion. Of the Muslim kings [in Ethiopia] only the following remain intact: Ibn Mīsmar whose country is just opposite the island of Dahlak and who obeys the

---

1 For these routes see pp. 83–4.
4 Gāddā Lāgāwėwos, ed. Conti Rossini, p. 38 (text). The Christian scribe has slightly altered the names of the princes, and has considered Sāʿadādīn as the son of Ḥaqādīn, presumably because he was his immediate successor.
5 A.H. 800 = A.D. 1397–8. The Haṭī at the time was King Dawīt (1380–1412).
Hați to whom he pays a fixed tribute; and Sultan Sa’d-ed-Din, king of Zeila and its dependencies, who resists and refuses to obey the Hați; there are some continuous wars between them, and most of the time, it is Sultan Sa’d-ed-Din who remains victorious.1

The successes of Sā’adādīn after this Egyptian report were only short-lived. The Christian kingdom had taken up the challenge brought about by the emergence of the new state in Adal, and had considerably strengthened the military colonies stationed in the eastern provinces. The locations of King Dawīt’s favourite camping sites are particularly expressive of his special preoccupations with the Muslim threat from Adal. One of these sites was at a place called Tobya, in north-western Ḥifat, where the king apparently settled for a long period of time.2 Tobya lay in the most important pass which led to the highland Christian districts of Mānẓ and Gishè, and further north into Amhara. The so-called Ḥifat route from Zeila passed through it, and it was precisely this road which Ahmad Gragn later followed on his way to Amhara. Gragn actually camped at Tobya for a time.3 The other site where Dawīt established his court was at Tilq in Fātiqgar, and it also looked towards the eastern frontiers. The Christian court was apparently maintained here for many years: Zār’a-Ya’iqb (1434–68), and his grandson Iskindir (1478–94) were born at Tilq, and Bā’idā-Maryam (1468–78) also spent much of his childhood there.4 King Dawīt had also stationed numerous troops in Balī,5 Dāwaro,6 and Ḥadya7 who fought against the Muslims with a varying degree of success. The elusive character of Sā’adādīn’s army presented

1 Quoted in Gaudefroy-Demombyne’s translation of Al-‘Umari, Masalik, p. 37 n. 1.
2 Les Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’eqob et de Ba’eda Maryam, ed. and tr. Perruchon, J. p. 152. Dawīt apparently lived here for a fairly long period of time. The chronicler seems to suggest this when he says: ‘Previously our King Dawīt had lived in this land, and had planted many trees in it.’ Perruchon’s translation, and his proposed punctuation are imperfect.
4 Les Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’eqob, pp. 67, 91–2, 155.
5 Maqrizi, op. cit., p. 24.
6 Ibid., p. 35. Zār’a-Ya’iqb’s chronicler complains that the military colonists settled here—apparently before the king’s reign—and called Zan-Haṣāna, had become insubordinate, and often took refuge in the Muslim kingdom of Adal whenever measures of discipline were taken against them, Les Chroniques, pp. 45–6. Other colonists were organized, and settled there.
7 Sā’adādīn also fought against ‘Amāno, the Hați’s emir in Ḥadya’, Maqrizi, op. cit., p. 23. This is a reference to the hereditary king of Ḥadya. He was definitely Muslim himself in the reign of Zār’a-Ya’iqb who married his daughter, Les Chroniques, pp. 16, 59.
the settled Christian military colonists with serious technical problems. Sä‘adädîn only launched a series of raids which had little or no motive of actual empire-building, such as characterized the early struggle between Amdâ-Şiyon and Säbrâdîn. His raiding parties descended upon the frontier districts by surprise and, when successful, they did considerable material damage on the local people. The Muslim troops never followed up their occasional victories with a more settled military occupation. By the time the local Christian troops were reorganized for another round of hostilities, the Muslim army would disappear from the area, taking away its spoils usually consisting of many head of cattle and numerous slaves. It was this characteristically nomadic aspect of the Muslim army that distinguished it from that of the Christians, and gave a special sense of urgency to the Adal question. King Dawit himself led his army into Adal on more than one occasion. In the final encounter Sä‘adädîn was pursued by the king’s troops as far as Zeila, where he was captured and killed in 1402/3. Ethiopian clerical traditions also make a reference to this victory as one achieved through the special favour of God.

This is the first Christian invasion of Zeila on record in our period. It is indicative of the persistence of the struggle with Sä‘adädîn and of the degree of the resultant weakness of the Muslim forces. With Sä‘adädîn’s death, Muslim power in the Ethiopian region reached its lowest ebb, and remained so for a long time. But the ephemeral success of King Dawit’s army in Adal did not lead to a permanent settlement. Dawit’s immediate successors—Tewodros (Oct. 1412–June 1413) and Yishaq (1413–30)—persistently continued their father’s aggressive policy towards Adal, and probably both of them lost their lives there. When Sä‘adädîn was killed, his sons had been exiled in Arabia and Christian superiority was assured in Adal which was

1 Maqrizi, op. cit., pp. 23–5.
2 A land grant attributed to him refers to at least two such campaigns he personally led to Adal, Wright, Catalogue, Or. 481, f. 154a.
3 Maqrizi, op. cit., pp. 26–7. For the date see also p. 149 n. 3.
5 Ethiopian hagiographical indicates that the body of Tewodros was brought from beyond the river Awash for burial in Amhara, extract published and translated in Sapeto, G., Viaggio e missione cattolica fra i Mensa (Rome, 1857), pp. 437–8. Maqrizi reports that Yishaq was killed in action, op. cit., p. 39. There seems to be no reason to contradict the story. In the royal chronicles and other traditions for the period, one can detect a deliberate attempt to suppress the violent ends of Ethiopian kings at the hands of their enemies.
occupied for a time: ‘. . . the strength of the Muslims was abated. For the Háti and the Amhara having acquired this country settled in it, and from the ravaged mosques they made churches. The Muslims they harassed for the space of twenty years . . . ’1 On the return to Adal of Sā’adādīn’s sons,2 the struggle started once again, and Muslim raids and counter-raids followed one another in a monotonous regularity, right down to the end of our period. Of the Christian kings at the time, Yishaq was most successful in his Adal policy. He had reorganized his army and the administration of his kingdom with the help of Egyptian advisers.3 It is apparent that he particularly made use of these new developments in his characteristic attempt to solve the Adal question once and for all.4 Maqrizi seems to refer to this desperate policy when he describes a Christian attack against Adal ‘with the object of rooting out utterly all the Muslims living in Abyssinia’.5

But Adal could never be an integral part of the medieval empire of Christian Ethiopia. This was unwittingly prevented by Amdā-Šiyon in 1332, when he appointed a descendant of the Wâlasma as ‘King of all the Muslims’.6 His own intention was to centralize Muslim affairs in Ethiopia under one person, with whom he could deal more effectively, and a friendly member of the ‘house’ of Wâlasma was, of course, most suited for the post. But this tremendously helped the process of the final emergence of the Sā’adādīn branch of the Wâlasma family as a champion of Islam in the whole of the Ethiopian region. Haqādīn II and his successors had been successful in establishing complete independence from the Christian kingdom. Yet as the descendants of Wâlasma the price they paid for this was very

1 Maqrizi, op. cit., p. 27.
2 Maqrizi does not specifically state how long they had remained in Arabia. But he says that the Christian superiority lasted for twenty years after Sā’adādīn’s death in A.H. 805. He is also very consistent, for the next date he gives is A.H. 825, when Sâbrâdîn died a natural death apparently after one year of armed struggle with the Christians settled in Adal, ibid., pp. 28–30.
3 Maqrizi, op. cit., pp. 6–8. Ethiopic traditions also seem to confirm Maqrizi’s report about this, and a royal panegyrist refers to Yishaq as follows: ‘Your wisdom is that of Egypt and your strength is that of India’, Guidi, ‘Le canzonì’, pp. 54–5. It is also interesting to note that Maqrizi’s account of the king’s pompous appearance on solemn occasions is remarkably similar to the story reported by Pietro, the Neapolitan in 1432, Le Voyage d’outremer de Bertrand de la Brocquière, ed. Schefer, Ch. (Paris, 1892), p. 147.
4 Maqrizi, op. cit., pp. 8, 31.
5 Op. cit., p. 33. Yishaq pursued a similar policy towards the Fâlasha, see Ch. V., pp. 200–1.
6 Perruchon, Histoire des guerres, p. 301.
high: by relinquishing Ḥfat as their traditional centre, they gave up all their advance positions, and their more direct political influence, in the interior. Ḥfat, the whole of Shāwa, Dāwaro, Hadaya, and Bali were now under the direct control of the Christian kingdom. The almost regular frontier conflicts and political intrigues, in which Adal was always involved, were no longer relevant to the Christian control of these very rich areas as long as the Christian kingdom maintained its military supremacy.

For a period of just over a century and a half after the establishment of the new dynasty in 1270, the Christian kingdom underwent an intensive process of expansion throughout the Ethiopian region. In the south, with the early conquest of Damot and Hadaya—the strongest political units beyond the Awash—the presence of the kingdom was strongly felt in the predominantly Sidama country of the basins of the Gibē, Gojēb, and Omo rivers. In the north-west, the Fālasha country was gradually brought under Christian control, and King Yishaq (1413–30) probably sent his troops into the country of the so-called Shangilla west of Agāw-Midir in Gojjam. These military conquests throughout the Ethiopian region opened up a vast field for the expansion of the Church, and it is to this important question that we must now turn in the next chapter.

1 The southern frontiers of the kingdom are more difficult to determine in precise terms. It is possible, however, to detect in the chronicles an increasing amount of knowledge about the Sidama region over the centuries. Most likely this represents a closer contact, probably also a more direct military control of the area. Amda-Šışon's panegyrists only sang of the king's exploits in Damot, Gänz, Hadaya, and Bali in this direction, Guidi, 'Le canzonii', pp. 50 et seq. In the case of King Yishaq, a century later, numerous recognizable tribes of the Gibē-Gojēb-Omo basin are listed, ibid., pp. 56–7. Zār'a-Ya'iqob married a Hadaya princess, and his chronicler can enumerate many tribal groups in Hadaya, Perruchon, Les Chroniques, pp. 16–18. At one time, this king is believed to have established his court on the hill of Jibat which is part of the high ridge dividing the high-waters of the Gibē and Gudru rivers, Annequin, G., Notes on 'Jibat and Adadi Maryam, in AE, vi (1965), pp. 13–16. Zār'a-Ya'iqob still has an important place in the traditional recollections of the people of this area, Haberland, E., 'The Influence of the Christian Ethiopian Empire on Southern Ethiopia', in Journal of Semitic Studies, ix, (1964), pp. 235–8. It is most likely that the chain of Sidama aristocracies in the whole of this area were originally formed under the stimulus of the Christian expansion, Conti Rossini, 'Sui linguaggi dei Naa e dei Ghimiria nell’Etiopia meridionale', in RRAL, ser. 6, vol. i (1925), pp. 612, 616, 633–6. Id., 'Il popolo dei Magi nell’Etiopia meridionale e il suo linguaggio', in Atti del 3° Congresso di studi coloniali, vi (1937), pp. 108–18. Id., Etiopia e genti d’Etiopia (1937), pp. 369–71.

CHAPTER V

Evangelization
(1248–1430)

The evangelization of the Ethiopian region followed very closely the expansion of the Christian state. The fourteenth century, which was marked by the maximum expansion of the kingdom, was also the period when the Ethiopian Church exerted its pressures most on the non-Christian peoples in the area. The Shäwan plateau, which had increasingly come to be the most important theatre for the military activities of the Christian army, also assumed a key position as the centre for propagating Christian teaching among the conquered peoples.

It is apparent that the presence of isolated Christian families in Shäwa preceded the establishment of effective Christian political control of the area. These early Christian immigrants in Shäwa apparently lived in a pagan country, under pagan chiefs. Despite the tendency in the hagiographical traditions to give them a long clerical background they were probably mostly lay Christians who were anxious to maintain peaceful co-existence with their pagan neighbours. They kept contact among themselves and with their distant kinsmen in Amhara and Tigré. Their long-distance contacts probably gave them an advantage over their locally orientated neighbours, and many of these families appear to have lived a relatively comfortable life with numerous slaves and substantial farms.1 Some of these communities probably built small churches in their respective areas. These churches were staffed by a handful of clerics, who provided the most primary religious needs of the Christian families. The long distance from the court of the Egyptian bishops, and from the centres of Christian learning in Tigré must have always kept the number of the clergy to a bare minimum. Some rudimentary teaching may have been given by very few local priests to their own children, who would almost invariably succeed them in their clerical posts, and to some other

1 Such traditions of material wealth are told about the families of many of the early Church leaders, and they may not have been completely unfounded, cf. Budge, The Life of Takla Haymanot, pp. 28–30 (text). Gädlä Anoréwos, p. 67 (text).
children entrusted to them.¹ These meagre educational facilities may have been strengthened from time to time by the arrival of clerical reinforcement from the north.² Some of the more adventurous members of these early Christian communities may have also travelled all the way to Tigré in order to obtain a clerical education. On their return home they, no doubt, established their own schools among their relatives, whose children they helped to educate.³ But such educational enthusiasm does not seem to have been a general characteristic of the Christians in the south and, before the advent of the new dynasty, the picture of the Church that emerges from a study of these early traditions is one of precarious existence. These early Christian settlers were no religious crusaders, and it would seem that in general they participated in the ordinary life of their pagan neighbours. Even the hagiographer(s) of Täklä-Haymanot inadvertently point to this conclusion in the story of his wild youth: ‘He learnt riding horses, hunting wild animals and using arrows and other implements... And his arrow never missed, and was always smeared with blood.’⁴ They had reached a modus vivendi with their environment and were generally reluctant to disturb their amicable relations with their neighbours. The same conclusion emerges from the attitude of cautious opposition assumed by the older generation of Christian settlers against the more militant anti-pagan activities of the younger men in the traditions concerning the last quarter of the thirteenth century. We have one such tradition in the story of the early life of Filipos of Däbrä Asbo. His father is represented as punishing him for his hostile actions against a local witch-doctor, and he thus explained his position: ‘I punished you so that the people of this country may not kill you, and confiscate our belongings.’⁵ This cautious attitude seems to have characterized the life of the Christian settlers in the south for many generations.

² Gädlä Anorëwos, p. 68. Anorëwos is here said to have been instructed by one Harankis who seems to have entered his parents' service.
³ ‘Gädlä Qäwïsòt’ ff. 17-24. Here is given the tradition about the life of Hïywät-Bïnå-Bäşiyyon, great-grandfather of Täklä-Haymanot. He is said to have travelled to Aksum and Däbrä Gärîma where he was educated. On his return he opened a school in Shâwa. This tradition is almost certainly an attempt to increase the importance of the saint's family. But the essential part of the story, that some of the distant Christian settlers used the educational facilities of Tigré, is not inconceivable as a general pattern.
⁴ Budge, op. cit., p. 24 (text).
⁵ Gädlä Filipos, pp. 182-3.
The province of Amhara was exposed to Christian influences much longer, and the Christians were clearly dominant by the beginning of the thirteenth century. Even there, however, the politically dominant position of the Christian Amhara does not seem to have been accompanied by a similar development of Christian learning and a Christian zeal for evangelization. As among the Christians of Shäwa, there were only small secular churches serving the daily needs of the local congregation. The growing political importance of the Christian Amhara may have increasingly drawn to the Church many of the non-Christian groups in the area. But even clerical traditions are lacking about any Christian centres of learning and monastic activities in the area until the middle of the thirteenth century. The cultural centre of the Ethiopian Church still remained in Tigré, particularly in the centres of the monastic activities of the Nine Saints established over seven centuries before. The story of monasticism in Amhara and Shäwa, and systematic evangelization of the newly conquered areas only starts with Ýäsus-Mo’a of the island monastery of Däbrä Hayq.

Ýäsus-Mo’a

Ýäsus-Mo’a (d. 1292) was born at Dahna of a Christian family. Very little is said about his early life in his native land. He may have received some primary religious education in his home district as his hagiographer reports, no doubt with much exaggeration. But the turning-point in his religious career only occurred when he decided to travel to Tigré in about 1241, and to join the famous monastery of Däbrä Damo of which the abbot at the time was Abba Yohanni. Ýäsus-Mo’a was a young man of about thirty when he joined the monastery, and he was given arduous assignments by the abbot:

3 Gädli Ýäsus-Mo’ a, p. 7 (tr.).
4 Very little is known about him. There is a short hagiographical notice about his early life, ibid., pp. 16–17. A still more uncertain tradition about him is that he is said to have been the 7th abbot of Däbrä Damo after Arägawi, one of the Nine Saints of the sixth century, Gädli Arägawi, ed. Guidi, I., pp. 85–6. This is chronologically impossible, and it may be that by the time the Gädli was written many of his predecessors were forgotten.
Four Leaders of Däbrä Hayq

From a fourteenth-century MS. of the Four Gospels which belonged to Aqabé Sî’at Kristos-Täsfanā, abbot of Däbrä-Hayq in A.D. 1316/17
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'[Yohannî] ordered him . . . to travel much [on the business of the monastery] . . . and he thus travelled for a long time and by the will of God he returned to his master Abba Yohannî . . . and delivered to him the things for which he was sent . . .'. Apart from thus 'fulfilling the orders of his master' he devoted much of his time to learning and solitary contemplation. The most important art he acquired here was that of writing: he learnt how to write and lived on his own (in a cell) on the precipice and wrote the Four Gospels there.² His sojourn in Däbrä Damo lasted about seven years after which he travelled south and settled on the island of Lake Hayq. His advent to Lake Hayq was a turning-point for the history of monasticism in Ethiopia, and for the story of evangelization with which we are specifically concerned here.

It does not seem that Ḳyäṣus-Mo’ā’s final choice of Lake Hayq as a place of settlement was motivated by a need for a solitary retreat, as some traditions have it. His own Gädlî transmits the tradition that a church dedicated to St. Stephen had already been built by an Aksumite king and an Egyptian bishop of his time four centuries before.³ For a long time before the advent of Ḳyäṣus-Mo’ā the lakeside region seems to have continued to be one of the centres of Christian population in what is now the rich district of Täḥulädäré. Besides Däbrä Igziabhär and the island church, there were apparently other churches in the neighbourhood. Ḳyäṣus-Mo’ā himself stayed in a church dedicated to Saints Peter and Paul, apparently on the western shore of the lake, for six months before being admitted to the island.⁴ On the island itself lived Christian men and women clustered around the secular church of St. Stephen. Having a long tradition of royal foundation, the island church may have been associated with the local Christian chiefs, and even with the power groups in Amhara claiming descent from the ancient royal house of Aksum. Däbrä Damo itself, where Ḳyäṣus-Mo’ā obtained his monastic instruction in Tigré, had always cherished its ancient associations with the Aksumite kings for which it was apparently neglected by the

---

¹ Gädlî Ḳyäṣus-Mo’ā, pp. 10–11 (text). The translation (p. 9 with n. 1) does not take full account of the practical aspect of Ḳyäṣus-Mo’ā’s travels. It was usual in the monasteries for young novices to be given such business assignments on behalf of the community, cf. Gädlî Filîpos (Bîzän), ed. Conti Rossini, pp. 97–8.
² Gädlî Arâgawi, p. 86.
³ Gädlî Ḳyäṣus-Mo’ā, pp. 18–19 (text). See also Taddesse Tamrat, op. cit., notes 1, 3, 5–7, and 9.
⁴ Gädlî Ḳyäṣus-Mo’ā, p. 22 (text).
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Zagwé kings. It appears that Ḣyāṣus-Mo’a also found in Hayq a viable Christian community with respectable connections which offered tremendous possibilities for further development. Like many of the churches in Amhara and Shāwa at the time, Hayq Iṣṭīfānos was insufficiently supplied with priests and deacons, and probably had no adequate schools of its own. This last need was now amply provided for by Ḣyāṣus-Mo’a on his advent to Hayq in about 1248. He thus opened new opportunities of learning for the Christians of Amhara and Shāwa, and many appear to have taken full advantage of this. Many young men from among the local Christians and from areas further afield seem to have joined the monastic school which he founded. When they graduated from there, they are believed to have left Hayq and established their own monastic centres in different areas. Among the pupils of Ḣyāṣus-Mo’a, Tāklā-Haymanot of Shāwa has later assumed particular significance in the monastic traditions of the Ethiopian Church.

Tāklā-Haymanot

Tāklā-Haymanot was born about 1215 at Šilalish in Zorārē, in what is today the district of Bulga. As we have seen above, tradition derives his origin from an ancient clerical family who had settled in Shāwa for over ten generations. His own father is presented as having been a priest who served in the church of Šilalish. He is also said to have given Tāklā-Haymanot his first religious instruction and to have even taken him to the court of the Egyptian bishop, Qērilos, for ordination as a deacon while still a young boy. This is quite possible in the light of our attempt above at a reconstruction of the small Christian communities of Shāwa, based on their early traditions. There also seems to be an additional factor that may help in understanding the background of Tāklā-Haymanot’s later activities. We have already alluded to the persistent traditions that strong anti-

1 Cf. Ḡāḏlā Arāḡawl, pp. 71–3. Many of the land grants to Dābrā Libanos of Shimāzana in the Zagwé period make no mention of either Awsum or Dābrā Danno. But, no sooner was the new dynasty established by Yikunno-‘Amlak, than both these communities begin to assume particular importance, cf. Conti Rossini, ‘L’Evangelo d’oro di Dabra Libanos’, pp. 194–201.
2 For the traditions about Ḣyāṣus-Mo’a’s early students who later founded their own monastic communities see Taddeesse Tamrat, op. cit., notes 12–15.
3 For the date see p. 121 n. 4.
4 See p. 120 n. 4.
Christian manoeuvres were undertaken by the pagans in Shāwa between the last years of the twelfth and the first quarter of the thirteenth centuries. In Täklä-Haymanot’s Gâdl, and in related hagiographies of the Dâbrâ Lībanos cycle these pagan activities are said to have been led by the legendary Motâlâmī, king of Damot.¹ The immediate effect of this reaction on the Christian communities in Shāwa appears to have been disastrous. Churches were burnt down, and Christian homesteads destroyed.² This must have created a great strain on the still modest position of the Church at the time, and must have further weakened the religious life of the Christians. It is this picture of general decline of the Shāwan Church that seems to offer a reasonable background for the religious career of Täklä-Haymanot which finally resulted in the regeneration of the Church in the south.

The Waldibban version of his Gâdl explicitly states that he had already been ordained a priest, and had officiated as such in Shāwa, long before he joined Ýāsus-Mo’a in Hayq.³ But it is difficult to give much credence to these later hagiographical assertions of which the motive is clearly to show the importance of Täklä-Haymanot, and to minimize his dependence on his monastic associations with Hayq and Tigré. But it is apparent that he joined Ýāsus-Mo’a when he was already well over thirty years of age.⁴

¹ See pp. 121–3.
² Budge, op. cit., pp. 8–9. ‘Gâdlâ Qâwistos’, ff. 4–5. It is probable that the traditional founder of the Ziqwala community, Gâbrâ-Mânfâs-Qiddus, belongs to this early period. A hagiography, which is still unpublished, is available about his life and activities in Ethiopia. The date of its composition is very uncertain, Wright, W., Catalogue, Or. 711. Conti Rossini once thought that it may have originally been written in the reign of King Dawit, ‘Appunti ed osservazioni sui re Zague’, in RRA, iv (1895), p. 444. Gâbrâ-Mânfâs-Qiddus is believed to have been an Egyptian who came out to Ethiopia in the reign of Lalibâla, Wright, op. cit., Or. 711, ff. 2b, 63b–64a, 78–9. Evangelical activities are attributed to him in the region of Ziqwala and immediately south of it in ‘the land of Kâbd’, ibid., ff. 18–21. All these are very uncertain, and the saint is not mentioned at all in traditions of Dâbrâ Lībanos, which became the dominant monastic group in the area after the 14th century. Probably, Gâbrâ-Mânfâs-Qiddus only represents the beginnings of Christian Zagwé pressure on Shāwa, soon repulsed by local pagan reaction, which may also explain the uncertainties of the traditions about him. It is interesting to note that a place-name, Lalibâla, is reported by Gragn’s chronicler, not far from Ziqwala, Futuh al-Habasha, tr. Basset, p. 112.
³ Conti Rossini, Il ‘Gadila Takla Haymanot’, p. 104; the same point is also made in the Dâbrâ Lībanos version which describes (in even greater detail) his alleged missionary activities even before joining Ýāsus Mo’a. Budge, op. cit., pp. 25–59 (text).
⁴ Ýāsus-Mo’a himself came to Hayq in about 1248, and it seems that Täklä-Haymanot joined him when he was already well established on the island and well known in the area.
Täklä-Haymanot’s advent to Hayq is of great significance for the important role that his community of Däbrä Asbo would soon play in the expansion of the Church, particularly after his death in the fourteenth century. But, despite later traditions that grew around his name, his own arrival at the monastic community of Ýäsus-Mo’a did not create a sensation, and his life on the island is not even mentioned in the contemporary notes of Däbrä Hayq. These notes are not a complete record of every aspect of the island community, and this negative evidence does not at all invalidate the historicity of Täklä-Haymanot’s sojourn there. What it does is to underline his rather modest position in those early days, and to offer a basis for a historical reconstruction of the gradual development of his religious career.

Very little is known about his life in Hayq,¹ but he no doubt made use of his stay with the widely travelled Ýäsus-Mo’a to improve his knowledge of the Scriptures, Church history, and monastic traditions. Contacts between Tigré and Hayq were probably frequent, and Täklä-Haymanot may have collected further information about the ancient centres of Ethiopian Christendom and the monastic communities long established by the Nine Saints. This appears to have increased his curiosity, and he decided to have a more personal experience of these places. After nine years in Däbrä Hayq, therefore, Täklä-Haymanot left for Tigré.²

At this stage he apparently concentrated on his own personal

¹ I am adopting here his itinerary according to the Waldibban version of his Gädl, Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 107. The Däbrä Libanos version, due to what appears to be a much later addition, relates that he stayed for 12 years with Bäšälotä-Mika’él of Däbrä Gol before going to Hayq, Budge, op. cit., pp. 60–9 (text). But according to his own Gädl, Bäšälotä-Mika’él lived much later and was still a young boy at the time of Widim-Rä’ad in whose reign Täklä-Haymanot died at a very advanced age, Gädlä Bäšälotä-Mika’él, pp. 8–9. Gädlä Ýäsus-Mo’a, which is a much later work than the Däbrä Libanos version of Gädlä Täklä-Haymanot, also refers to Bäšälotä-Mika’él as the senior of Täklä-Haymanot. The passage, which is strongly polemical, also contains other anachronisms, Gädlä Ýäsus-Mo’a, pp. 28–31 (tr.). For these anachronisms see Taddeus Tamrat, op. cit., n. 15.

² Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 107; Budge, op. cit., p. 72 (text). An interesting passage in Gädlä Ýäsus-Mo’a indicates that Täklä-Haymanot left for Tigré after Yikunno-’Amlak had already overthrown the Zagwé dynasty. This is stated in the message Täklä-Haymanot is said to have taken from Ýäsus-Mo’a to his former master Abba Yohanni, Abbot of Däbrä Damo: ‘[Yikunno-’Amlak] prevented me from coming to you myself . . . ’, p. 37 (text). The passage is significant in showing that Täklä-Haymanot was still in the early stages of his monastic career in 1270, and that the role which he is said to have played in bringing Yikunno-’Amlak to power is only legendary.
salvation, and does not seem to have been contemplating evangelical activities in his native land of Shäwa. More than anything else, his departure from Hayq was motivated by a desire to make a pilgrimage to the holy places of Tigré, and even further to Jerusalem. Täklä-Haymanot doubtless visited Aksum and the other monastic centres of the Ethiopian Church; but it was at Däbrä Damo, İyäsus-Mo’a’s old monastic school, that he finally settled. İyäsus-Mo’a’s spiritual father, Yohannî, was still alive and welcomed him to his fold. Here again we know very little about his activities in this community. Täklä-Haymanot was now a middle-aged man with many years of monastic labours behind him. His origin in distant Shäwa, from where he had long banished himself in the name of Christ, must have further enhanced his reputation among his fellow monks. This may have attracted many close friends and younger followers to him. This personal following may have substantially increased over the years, and it may be in this period that Ar’ayänä-Śaggahu, Mädhanînä-Egzi, and Bártälotêwos joined him.  

All the available traditions of the Church are unanimous in attributing a great monastic influence to Täklä-Haymanot while he was still in Tigré, and they even make him personally responsible for the establishment of many communities. It is more probable, however, that such communities only developed later after his own return to Shäwa. It is important to appreciate, nevertheless, that the development of monasticism in Ethiopia was extremely personal in character, and a monastic leader with sufficient hagiographical and religious reputation could always draw many disciples. Monastic loyalties always transcended regional considerations. It was within this framework that Täklä-Haymanot could gather a number of followers around him in Tigré, where some of them remained. Each of them appears to have established his own community on Täklä-Haymanot’s return to his native land of Shäwa. The period in which he stayed in Tigré saw a tremendous revolution in the history of monasticism in Ethiopia in general. In the long period between the sixth and the mid thirteenth centuries, Ethiopian monasticism had always revolved around the old establishments founded by the Nine Saints.

1 Gädäl Arāgawi, pp. 85-6. Täklä-Haymanot does not seem to have succeeded in going to Jerusalem, despite the apocryphal traditions to the contrary.
Together with the secular churches which enjoyed royal or local princely patronage, they alone provided the cultural leadership which kept Ethiopian Christendom alive. Apart from some individuals who may have abandoned their homes to wander freely in isolated woods and desert regions, no independent monastic communities seem to have been established before the middle of the thirteenth century. This age-old convention was now seriously compromised. We have already seen how Ḥyäsus-Mo’a started his own community in distant Lake Hayq about 1248. In the north, Abba Dani’el of Dābrā Maryam in Gār’alta, the spiritual father of Ģwọṣatẹwos, was similarly developing his own community.¹ It is this picture of an intensive monastic development at the time which explains the religious career of Tāklā-Haymanot both in Tigré and back in Shāwa.

Tāklā-Haymanot returned to Dābrā Hayq before he finally went back to Shāwa. His encounter with Ḥyäsus-Mo’a on this second occasion is shrouded with uncertainties in the traditions, and has become one of the most controversial points in Ethiopian ecclesiastical history. The controversy basically revolves around the mutual claims of seniority later advanced by Dābrā Hayq and Dābrā Libanos for their respective founders. As such it is of a relatively recent origin, and concerns the rules of investiture of monastic habits in the Ethiopian Church.

Four elements constituted the essential parts of the Ethiopian monastic habit. These were the qāmās,² qinat,³ qob,⁴ and the askēma,⁵ which were formally acquired by the novice at different


² A long (cotton or leather) cloak worn by the monks. It is usually white in colour, but some monasteries prescribe yellow cloaks, cf. Alvarez, The Prester John of the Indies, p. 70.

³ The leather belt worn over the cloak. Guidi’s definition is ‘... a blessed cord which a monk carries on his neck ...’, Vocabolario amarico–iˌtaliiano (Rome, 1901), col. 283.

⁴ The head-piece of a monk.

⁵ Guidi defines it most adequately: ‘A kind of scapular made up of two parts, one part resting on the breast and the other on the back, and each ending with a large leather cross, with ten other crosses, altogether twelve crosses ... It is worn by monks who have completed the third profession ...’, op. cit., cols. 445–6. Ḩidānā-Wäld Kiflē’s definition is not very different, Müṣḥfī-Sāwawīw Wägıs,
stages of his career. On the first occasion, the novice was given the qämís and the qinat. Then, after a further period of re-examination and preparations he was invested with the qob. The last and highest stage, that of the askéma, was only open to the most saintly and the most tried members of the community. It appears, however, that these specific rules of gradual investiture were of a relatively recent date.

Most of the hagiographical traditions available for our period do not mention these different stages of investiture, and they seem to indicate that the monastic habit was conferred upon the novice on only one occasion: ‘Abba Tomas . . . took the holy askéma of the angels, and the qab and the qinat, and invested the monastic habit [upon Täklä-Şiyon].’ In many of these traditions, however, even the terms used to describe the four vestments are inconsistent, and only the more generic term of ‘monastic habit’ is usually given. This inconsistency of language in the description of such essential elements of Ethiopian monastic life clearly indicates the relatively recent date of the institution of the rules of gradual investment. The controversy in the traditions we have about Ýyasus-Mo’a and Täklä-Haymanot is also very largely a result of this uncertainty of language. The following passage can best illustrate this point:

There came Ýyasus-Mo’a and said to Abba Yohanni, ‘Father, confer upon me the askéma of the monks’, and he conferred it upon him. And Ýyasus-Mo’a stayed [at Dăbrä Damo] for a long time . . . He then went and entered a place called Hayq . . . without receiving the askéma and the qob . . .

The same contradiction is repeated in the Life of Ýyasus-Mo’a himself. The two versions of the Life of Täklä-Haymanot deny that

p. 245. Almeida defines it in a slightly different manner, Historia de Ethiopia a alta, bk. ii, ch. 18.

1 Almeida describes the ceremony, and the special prayers for the occasion, loc. cit.

2 ‘Gădlă Täklä-Şiyon’, B.M. Add. MS. 16257, f. 6a. The saint probably lived in the 15th century. The qämís is missing in the list here and in many other such passages. Even in Almeida’s time the qämís, qinat, and qob were granted at the same time. Only the askéma required a special occasion, loc. cit.


4 Gădlă Arăgawî, pp. 85–6.

5 Gădlă Ýyasus-Mo’a, p. 21. Here again Yohanni is said at one place to have already given him ‘the askéma and the qob of the angels’; then Ýyasus-Mo’a is said to have left Yohanni ‘without receiving the askéma’, p. 37. This indicates an imperfect and direct borrowing by Ýyasus-Mo’a’s hagiographer (late 15th century) from Gădlă Arăgawî.
İyäsus-Mo’a had received the *askéma* and the *gob* from Yohannì. These symbols of monastic excellence, we are told, were only conferred upon him by his own former disciple Täklä-Haymanot on his return from Däbrä Damo.¹ This is also partly accepted by İyäsus-Mo’a’s hagiographer, who however contests that İyäsus-Mo’a had already been invested with the *gob* and that it was only the *askéma* which was later given to him by Täklä-Haymanot at his own request.² There is, however, no satisfactory reason to believe that Täklä-Haymanot conferred even the *askéma* on his former master. İyäsus-Mo’a’s profession as a monk was already complete even before Täklä-Haymanot’s first arrival from Shäwa in Däbrä Hayq. Before Täklä-Haymanot’s departure to Tigré, many, including himself, had already received the monastic habit from İyäsus-Mo’a. This is accepted by all the traditions. Only the *Gädlä Arägawi* partially contradicts this, when it reports that Täklä-Haymanot arrived at Däbrä Damo in Tigré ‘dressed like a soldier’. This is however contradicted by its own assertion that he had previously stayed with İyäsus-Mo’a, who had already been conferring the monastic habit on his disciples.³ It is most unlikely that Täklä-Haymanot could have left for Däbrä Damo without first receiving the monastic habit from İyäsus-Mo’a. This Däbrä Damo tradition seems to be the original source of all the controversy, and was apparently invented by an over-zealous scribe of the monastery to stress the historical fact that both İyäsus-Mo’a and Täklä-Haymanot derived their monastic origin from the ‘house’ of Abunä Arägawi. The story was then anxiously adopted by the spiritual descendants of Täklä-Haymanot because it brought them one step nearer to Däbrä Damo. The *Gädlä-İyäsus-Mo’a* itself was only composed much later, and thus partially accepted the tradition. Nevertheless, no satisfactory evidence seems to be available to show that Täklä-Haymanot did in fact confer any of the monastic habits on İyäsus-Mo’a. Based on an earlier but confused source in the hagiographical records of Däbrä Damo, this tradition was only too readily adopted by the ‘house’ of Täklä-Haymanot in an attempt to give a secure basis for the growing dominance of Däbrä Libanos of Shäwa in the fifteenth century. This vital process of the transformation of hagiographical traditions is more than confirmed by a similar attempt elsewhere to show the seniority of Filipos of Däbrä Asbo over his

² For the whole story see, *Gädlä İyäsus-Mo’a*, pp. 38–40 (text).
³ *Gädlä Arägawi*, p. 85.
contemporary Aron of Däbrä Darét. It is also quite apparent that this controversy about Ýyasus-Mo’a and Täklä-Haymanot was further complicated by applying to the thirteenth century the rules of gradual investiture which apparently developed in Ethiopian monasticism only later.²

Täklä-Haymanot’s second stay at Däbrä Hayq was not long, and he soon moved further south to Shäwa. This is a major landmark in the history of organized mission work of the Ethiopian Church, and it is significant that according to the traditions it was in fact Ýyasus-Mo’a who persuaded Täklä-Haymanot to return to his native land. Equipped with much learning and with a great monastic reputation Täklä-Haymanot returned to Shäwa as an emissary of the Church which was at the time undergoing an important period of revival in Tigré and in Amhara.³ His own special role was to transmit this spirit of revival to the Christian communities of Shäwa, and, for the first time in the history of the Church there, to establish a permanent centre of Christian learning and monasticism through which the Church would soon make its influence felt on the pagan people of Shäwa, Damot, and Gojjam. Many of the evangelical achievements in Shäwa and Damot attributed to him personally seem, however, to be apocryphal, and were almost completely the work of his disciples in the eventful years of the fourteenth century.

At first, Täklä-Haymanot probably went to his own native district of Šilalis.⁴ His return after a long absence in the holy places of Tigré

¹ Wright, Catalogue, Or. 797 f. 107. Aron is here said to have received his qamis and qinat from his accepted master Bäšälotä-Mika‘ēl, and his qob and askema from Filìpos; cf. also Or. 769, MS. B.M, ff. 54b–55a. But since we have the Gädä of each of these monks we can check the alterations in this case.

² No chronological study of the development of these rules is possible here, mainly because, as has been said above, the hagiographical traditions available do not seem to have been affected by these rules in general. The question is only raised in cases of important rivalries among the major monastic groups. But it seems most likely that in the 15th century, when these controversies were raised about Ýyasus-Mo’a and Täklä-Haymanot, the rules of gradual investiture as described by Almeida were taking shape.

³ Cf. Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 108. The Däbrä Libanos version is not clear about the role of Ýyasus-Mo’a in asking Täklä-Haymanot to go to Shäwa, and characteristically attributes it to Abba Yohannä of Däbrä Damo, Budge, op. cit., p. 76 (text).

⁴ It is unlikely that Täklä-Haymanot followed the itineraries given in both versions of his Gädä, Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 108–9; Budge, op. cit., pp. 78–9 (text). This was a route frequented in the 15th century when the Gädä was first completed, probably in the reign of Yishaq, Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 123. Precisely in this reign Abba Giyorgis of Säglä seems to have used this route on his way to Däbrä Libanos, ‘Gädä Abba Giyorgis’, MS. Hayq, f. 27. The
and Lake Hayq must have created a great sensation, particularly among his own relatives. For Täklä-Haymanot himself, the relative position of weakness of the Church of Shäwa may have greatly impressed him, and it seems that he soon took it as a personal challenge. This was also a period when the prestige of the Christian Amhara was keenly felt in Shäwa, particularly since the establishment of the Amhara dynasty by Yikunno-‘Amalak in 1270. These new developments opened tremendous possibilities for evangelical work, and Täklä-Haymanot set out preaching in the surrounding area of the Shäwan plateau.

His early preaching probably involved some travelling, but it does not seem that he himself moved out of the central area between Šilalish and Grarya, where he finally settled.¹ Before he established his monastic community at Däbrä Asbo, he probably moved from place to place in Shäwa for some time. In many of these districts, which were still dominated by pagan worship, his activities may have brought him into conflict with many of the inhabitants of Kätäta and Grarya. The memory of these conflicts must have then furnished the scribes of Däbrä Libanos with the basis for their fabulous tales of Täklä Haymanot’s miraculous activities, culminating in the conversion of Motälami. But Täklä-Haymanot himself seems to have been specially preoccupied with the spiritual stagnation of Shäwan Christendom, and he particularly addressed himself to his fellow Christians.

The intellectual content of his teaching has been given little attention by his hagiographers, and we have as yet no way of studying this in detail. But one can imagine that, fresh from his long and edifying monastic experience in Tigré and Hayq, Täklä-Haymanot found

Däbrä Libanos version takes Täklä-Haymanot further west to Däbrä Dada in Amara Saynt, although this was apparently established only after his death, Budge, op. cit., pp. 77–8. It is most likely that he himself followed a route much more to the east: Hayq–Mänz–Tägulä–Kätäta–Šilalish, where there was an almost unbroken chain of Christian settlements. There is also a tradition that this route was used in the 13th century. ‘Gädlä Qäwistos’, ff. 54, 57.

¹ Both versions of his Gädl tell us of his evangelical exploits beyond these areas, especially in Damot, Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 105–7. Budge, op. cit., pp. 43–59 (text). But they both attribute this to the period before his travels to Hayq and Tigré. This is almost impossible in the cultural milieu of Shäwan Christendom at the time. The saint must have also been in his sixties when he returned from Hayq. This may have been the reason for his hagiographers to transfer his mission work to his more youthful days. His movements were limited to the Shäwan plateau after his return from Hayq, Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 108–22. Budge, op. cit., pp. 79–87 (text).
Christian life in Shawa much more complacent towards its pagan environment. His early teachings were therefore probably aimed at removing this state of indifference in the religious life of the Christian communities. He exhorted his audience to be much more rigorous in their observance of the prescriptions of the Church, and he set an example for them by establishing a completely different community of his own with its own rules and regulations. In the wider Christian context this was not especially original of Täklä-Haymanot. But it greatly impressed his fellow Shäwans, who had had no direct experience of monastic life before. Täklä-Haymanot offered a spiritual challenge to the Christians, a new religion to their pagan neighbours, and a new life and monastic career to the younger members of the Christian families who soon began joining him. Some of these early followers of Täklä-Haymanot may have been his own younger relatives, and the group was probably mobile at first.¹ His influence had spread considerably even before he finally decided to settle at Däbrä Asbo.

**Däbrä Asbo, later called Däbrä Libanos**

According to his hagiographer(s), Täklä-Haymanot obtained the site of his monastery with the help of a local chief recently converted by him. This was done in the face of serious opposition by the pagan inhabitants of Graryya.² The main significance of the tradition is that the site was in a pagan area. Its general location and the physical characteristics of the surrounding region indicate that Täklä-Haymanot had a definite reason for his choice of the place. Däbrä Asbo was directly north-west of his native district of Šilalish. Apart from his evident desire to establish his community outside his home district, it appears that Täklä-Haymanot also considered the future possibilities of his mission in choosing an area towards the west. Šilalish was itself a frontier area on the eastern edge of the plateau overlooking the river basin of the Awash and the vast desert lowlands beyond. The whole of this area had long been exposed to a very slow process of Muslim expansion, and by the thirteenth century the broken country immediately to the east and north-east of Šilalish,

¹ Mentioned as his relatives are Zéna-Marqos and Qäwisjós, Budge, op. cit., p. 81 (text); ‘Gädlä Zéna-Marqos’, f. 18; ‘Gädlä Qäwisjós’, ff. 4–5. There is however a general tendency in the later hagiographers to relate most of the 14th-century church leaders, ‘Gädlä Zéna-Marqos’, ff. 1–3; ‘Gädlä Samü’el’ (Wägäg), f. 7.
between the slopes of the plateau and the left bank of the Awash, was apparently the home of the so-called ‘Mahzumite sultanate of Shāwa’. It was also precisely in this period of the return of Täklä-Haymanot to Shāwa that the Wālasma rulers of Īfāt had incorporated this internally divided Muslim community under their direct control.¹ Conditions were not suitable for an immediate establishment of an effective monastic community in this direction. Ever since Christian families began migrating south of the headwaters of the Jāma river, their settlement had always been in the central Shāwan plateau, which forms a great watershed between the basins of the Blue Nile and the river Awash. We have seen that there were already Christian communities in the Mugār area further west before Täklä-Haymanot’s settlement in Grarya.² Although still dominated by pagan worship, Täklä-Haymanot’s new abode was not at all unknown to Christians before. The site of Däbrä Asbo is also strikingly similar to that of Šilalish. Like its eastern counterpart, it is on the edge of the plateau, overlooking a wide panorama as far as the mountains of Gojjam beyond the river Nile to the west, and to the north, the mountains of Amhara across the eastern tributaries of the Abbay. The country immediately below is intersected by the numerous tributaries of the river Jāma. To the south-west is the district consisting of the river basin of the Mugār from which it also derived its name. Further still in the same direction, was the region of the headwaters of the river Awash and the river basin of Gudär, beyond which lay medieval Damot. Located in this area, Däbrä Asbo was very well placed for its future offensives against the pagans of the region. It was clearly chosen to serve a double purpose: tucked into a cliff difficult of access, it could pass unnoticed by the hostile pagan neighbourhood; and being within easy reach of the plateau, the small community could gradually spread its influence on the local people.

It was however as a solitary retreat that it must have first impressed Täklä-Haymanot. This was specially so because of the long preparation required to undertake active evangelical work. For this Täklä-Haymanot needed to gather around him a sufficient number of disciples and instruct them properly for the purpose. In the cultural

¹ See pp. 124–6. Neither version of Täklä-Haymanot’s Gādl, both composed at a time of unquestioned Christian predominance, gives any attention to the Muslim factor in his life. This was one of the reasons that led Almeida to date him between the 7th and the 8th centuries, op. cit., book ii, ch. 18.
milieu of the Church in Shâwa at the time, it is unlikely that he could have received much help from the local clergy. It may therefore be that on his return to Shâwa he was accompanied by some of the individuals who had joined him in Tigré and Hayq.\(^1\) Helped by such younger companions, Tâklâ-Haymanot began to train the young men who joined him during his round trip of some of the Christian communities. The process must have started before his settlement at Dâbrâ Asbo. But while his small group was still mobile and completely dependent on the sedentary communities, he must have found it difficult to ensure the necessary degree of discipline and sense of urgency among his new followers. This increased the need for a secluded area not only for the pursuit of strictly monastic ways of life, but also for instituting a permanent programme of religious training.

A monastic community was specially relevant to the circumstances in Shâwa. As we have briefly seen above, the position of the Church there was very weak, and the frontiers between Christian and pagan ways of life were probably difficult to demonstrate. In such a society any attempts to create better standards from within were bound to fail. The creation of a completely separate community acting, as it were, as a miniature Christian society, had better chances of success, both for the personal salvation of its individual members, and as the training ground of eventual religious leaders for the local people. This was indeed the dual purpose which Tâklâ-Haymanot and his early companions intended for their new community of Dâbrâ Asbo.

At first the monastery of Dâbrâ Asbo only consisted of a small cave divided into two to make room for a church, and also for the living quarters of Tâklâ-Haymanot and his disciples: ‘He kept the tabot [consecrated] in the name of St. Mary in half the cave, which he partitioned with a curtain of straw. He lived with his fifteen

\(^1\) The growth of Dâbrâ Asbo founded by him in his sixties cannot be fully explained without the help of his early companions in the north. The role of such non-Shâwans is of course played down by the subsequent growth of a strong local group vying for the leadership of the community. There are tantalizing indications of a struggle for the succession on the death of Tâklâ-Haymanot: after the short reign of Eisa'i, whose origin is not given, there is a miraculous selection of the Shâwan Filipos to the chair, Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 122. Budge, op. cit., pp. 95–6 (text). Anoréwos also seems to have been a candidate, Gâdlâ Anoréwos, p. 75. He left for Tigré on the appointment of the next abbot. He may not have been the only one to do so, and the foundation of Dâbrâ Dada in Amara Saynt may also represent a similar exodus, cf. Budge, op. cit., pp. 77–8 (text). The community of Bârtâloméwos in Janamora in north Angot may also be of a similar origin, cf. ‘Lidätâ-Abâw’, Or. 769, MS. B.M., f. 4.
disciples in the remaining half..." Hemmed in by the densely wooded slopes of the plateau, the young community lived on the wild fruits of the forest. They began to have some contact with the local people, who could not understand their strange ways of monastic life. Täklä-Haymanot and his disciples probably used these early contacts for teaching the new religion to the local people. This could have obtained for them their first converts. There are many traditions of such conversions which are said to have provoked the opposition of the pagan religious leaders. Täklä-Haymanot's reputation also followed him to Däbrä Asbo, and when the news of his settlements in Graryu spread among the Christians many came to join him: 'When they heard his fame, the men who lived in distant areas came to Abunä Täklä-Haymanot, they took the yoke of monasticism from his hands, and they stayed with the holy Abunä.' The sudden growth of the community at first presented some economic and organizational problems. Täklä-Haymanot's disciples began clearing the forests and cultivating the land; and a simple monastic organization soon began to take shape.

Täklä-Haymanot lived for about 29 years after he established Däbrä Asbo. The last three decades of his life were most fruitful years during which he created an effective centre of Christian propaganda in Shäwa. Almost all the future leaders of the Church there, and in the newly conquered areas further south and in Gojjam, derived their origin from Täklä-Haymanot's new community of Däbrä Asbo. He revived the religious consciousness of his fellow Christians, and raised the relative degree of Christian learning among them. Once he had started the process, many of his early disciples seem to have followed his example in establishing their own communities, even before his death. By the time he died, the position

1 Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 115. Budge, op. cit., p. 80 (text).
2 There are some caricatures in the hagiographies about the people's idea of the novelty of monastic life. A group of shepherd boys who showed Filipos the way to Däbrä Asbo also warned him: 'We heard our fathers say, "those monks, they eat human beings", Gädlä Filipos, p. 188 (text). Cf. also Budge, op. cit., p. 81 (text). Gädlä Anoréwos, p. 77 (text).
6 Budge, op. cit., p. 96 (text). Since he is believed to have died in 1313 Däbrä Asbo was probably established in about A.D. 1284. This fits in perfectly with the chronology given in Gädlä Filipos, p. 246 (text).
of the Church in the districts of Kätäta and Grarya, and in the whole of the Shāwan plateau, was very secure, and it was ready to take some advantage of the expansionist policies of King Amdā-Ṣiyon (1314–44).

Amdā-Ṣiyon succeeded Widim-Rā’ad only one year after the death of Täklä-Haymanot. His predecessor’s realm had already included the central Shāwan plateau, where the religious activities of the saint had been conducted, and it was from this area that the new king led his successful campaigns against the Muslims to the east and southeast. Already before the campaigns of 1332, he had also reduced Damot and Hadya to vassal states. These military conquests did not, however, mean an immediate Christianization of the inhabitants. But they tremendously boosted Christian morale and prestige, and contacts between the Christian communities and the new subject areas became open and more frequent. The numerous captives of war were reduced to slavery; some were distributed among the conquering troops, and others were probably sold to the Christian settlers in the north. The contingents, frequently raised from the conquered areas, always took part in the campaigns of the king, side by side with his Christian troops. As such, they were increasingly exposed to Christian influence in a religious sense also. All these offered invaluable opportunities for the expansion of the Church. But the fourteenth century was not the only occasion for the Ethiopian Church to be provided with such opportunities of which she often failed to take full advantage. It is very clear that the Church was not yet sufficiently well organized for such a great task.

We have already made a distinction between the secular and monastic clergy in the Ethiopian Church. Before monastic institutions were developed in Amhara and Shāwa, any semblance of Christian teaching was done by the secular clergy, whose meagre religious training only suited them for routine services. Their essential function was merely to serve the religious needs of the troops or colonists. The conversion of the conquered peoples was always left for time to solve. As long as the military dominance of the Christians lasted, the inhabitants of the conquered areas were slowly and imperfectly absorbed into the new religious framework. This seems to be the general pattern in which the Ethiopian Church always secured its members in the new areas, and the influence of Christianity lay only in its position as the religion of the dominant political group. It is not at all clear what, if anything, the Egyptian bishops did to change this
state of affairs before the fourteenth century. From the condition of the Church in Amhara and Shäwa in the thirteenth century, it is apparent that they did little or nothing more than ordaining priests and deacons to maintain the precarious existence of the secular clergy in the distant corners of their extensive diocese. Abunä Ya’iqob, the second metropolitan in the long reign of Amdä-Şiyon, however, presents an altogether different picture.

Bishop Ya’iqob and his programmes of evangelization

His name is first mentioned in a contemporary note of 1339/40 at the library of the island monastery of Hayq, and he apparently came to Ethiopia in 1337.¹ From the traditions we have about him, he emerges as a man with a more than average gift for organization. He was also fully committed not only to ensuring a more rigorous application of the rules of the Church, in the daily life of the Christians, but also to evangelizing the non-Christian provinces of the kingdom. At the time of his advent to Ethiopia, Amdä-Şiyon was at the zenith of his power, and Ya’iqob intended to use this opportunity in the service of the Church, and drew up plans for systematic missionary work in the region. His attempts were made much simpler by the work already done by İyäsus-Mo’a and Täklä-Haymanot in the provinces of Amhara and Shäwa.

At the community of Däbrä Asbo, there was apparently a struggle for succession on the death of Täklä-Haymanot. His immediate successor, Ėlsa’i, ruled for a short period of time, and Filipos took over on his death only three months later. This has made the name of Filipos most renowned in the traditions of Däbrä Libanos, only second to that of Täklä-Haymanot himself. In his long tenure of office of twenty-eight years Däbrä Asbo emerged, from a relatively obscure private centre of monastic life, into one of great significance in the history of the Church as a whole. After the apparent struggle for succession, which probably induced some of the early members to leave Däbrä Asbo, the community went on growing, and new accommodation had to be built for the fresh arrivals.²

However, it was the advent of Abunä Ya’iqob that dramatically changed the importance of the community. The Egyptian bishop saw that the success of his intended evangelical programme would depend on the co-operation of the local clergy. His problems were very clear,

² Gädlä Filipos, p. 193.
and the following words put in his mouth by the hagiographers also relate the solitary position of his predecessors: 'I have been thinking for a long time to establish the law of God. But I am alone in this big country with no one to help me in teaching the people who are numerous.' To free himself from this position of helplessness, Ya’iqob set about reorganizing the clergy on his side. This involved a major decision. As an integral part of the royal court, the Egyptian bishops had always been surrounded by the secular clergy. Ya’iqob had to break this historic connection, and bring his chair back to its natural monastic ambience. This radical move alienated him from the court. Its effect on the position of the future Egyptian bishops in Ethiopia was probably short-lived, and his immediate successors seem to have opted for a happier compromise. But it left an indelible mark on the organization of the Ethiopian Church.

Ya’iqob’s re-organization of the monastic groups in Shāwa and Amhara is variously told in the traditions of the Church, and it is only natural that the spirit of rivalry among these communities should be reflected in the stories. His immediate plan was to establish permanent centres of Christian teaching in the new pagan areas conquered by Amdā-Šiyon. The province of Shāwa was most affected by the king’s latest military successes, and it was also in this direction that the Egyptian bishop felt the need for immediate action. He divided the area among Täklä-Haymanot’s disciples, whom he urged to preach the Word of God in their various districts:

[The Holy Spirit] ... inspired him to appoint preachers to strengthen the rules of the Holy Church and keep [God’s flock] in the teachings of Christ.

---

1 Ibid., p. 194.
3 The story is told in the Dābrā Libanos cycle of hagiographies and in Gādlā Aron, pp. 126–7 (text). According to the latter, Başālotā-Mika’el was made ‘Head of the 12 Shepherds of Ethiopia’. In his own Gādl, however, he was already dead before Ya’iqob’s arrival in Ethiopia, Gādlā Başālotā-Mika’el p. 53 (text). The position of Filipos is exaggerated by apocryphal stories that he was even invited to become a bishop for Shāwa, Gādlā Filipos, pp. 194–8. Cf. also ibid., p. 204, Budge, op. cit., p. 84 (text). The geographical delimitations of the sphere of influence of Dābrā Asbo are essentially based on Ya’iqob’s reorganization; but in their present form they show the cumulative effect of the post-15th-century history of the monastery, ‘Lidätā-Abāw’, Or. 769, MS. B.M., ff. 10–12. A more readable copy is available at Dābrā Libanos, and the Patriarchal Library at Dābrā Šigé also has the tradition in ‘Rules for the Administration of Dābrā Libanos’, pp. 121–3, 139–40. A comparative study of these successive editions of the same tradition is most helpful in the identification of historical place-names.
EVANGELIZATION

Each of them was to keep to his assigned area without trespassing in that of his neighbour according to the instructions of the Apostles.\textsuperscript{1}

The disciples among whom Ya’iqob made this arrangement are said to have been twelve in number, including Filipos. Among these we have the Gādlı̂s of only three of them, and a complete review of the traditional activities of all cannot be made at the moment. It is however very clear from the geographical setting that the bishop intended to cover all the corners of the province of Šàwva and that he sent his emissaries in different directions from the central plateau:
(a) to the east, in the direction of the Muslim frontiers, the following were assigned:

Yohannis for Kil’at,\textsuperscript{2} Qāwìsṭos for Māhpil,\textsuperscript{3} Tadéwos for Šilalish,\textsuperscript{4} and Matyas for Fātāgar;\textsuperscript{5}

(b) to the north-west, beyond the western edge of the Šàwwan plateau, were assigned:

Anorewos ‘the junior’ for Morät and Wāgda,\textsuperscript{6} and Märqorëwos for Märhabìţe;\textsuperscript{7}

(c) to the extensive new areas in the south and south-west were sent:

Anoréwos ‘the elder’ for Wārāb and Šigaj,\textsuperscript{8} Adhànì for Damot,\textsuperscript{9} Iyosyas for Wāi\textsuperscript{10} and, Yosef for Inar’it.\textsuperscript{11}

\textsuperscript{1} Gādlı̂s Filipos, p. 193.
\textsuperscript{2} Gādlı̂s Filipos, p. 197. The place is often mentioned together with Sārmat, with which it apparently had common frontiers, Perruchon, Histoire des guerres d’Amda-Siyon, pp. 280, 329.
\textsuperscript{3} Gādlı̂s Filipos, loc. cit. Cf. also Wright, Catalogue, Or. 769, f. 12a. In his own Gādlı̂s, Sārmat was also his district, ‘Gādlı̂s Qāwìsṭos’, ff. 68\textsuperscript{b}–69\textsuperscript{a}, 105\textsuperscript{b}–106. Sārmat seems to be an ancient name for what is today the central part of Bulga, cf. Or., 769, f. 7\textsuperscript{b}, Pereira, F. M. E., Chronica de Susenysos, p. 18–20 (text). A river of this name is also mentioned in the region, ‘Gādlı̂s Qāwìsṭos’, f. 83.
\textsuperscript{4} Gādlı̂s Filipos, loc. cit. Or., 769, ff. 10\textsuperscript{b}–11\textsuperscript{a}. For the location of Šilalish see p. 119 n. 3.
\textsuperscript{5} Gādlı̂s Filipos, loc. cit. Or., 769, f. 11\textsuperscript{a}. This area was immediately south of Šilalish on the plateau, ‘Gādlı̂s Qāwìsṭos’, ff. 4–5. It included what are today the districts of Minjar, Ṣhānkora, and Ada, cf. Futuh al Habasha, tr. Basset, pp. 96–116. ‘Rules for the Administration of Dābrā Libanos’, MS. Dābrā Šigé, p. 123.
\textsuperscript{6} Gādlı̂s Filipos, loc. cit. Or., 769, f. 10\textsuperscript{b}. Wāgda is still the name of the edge of the plateau overlooking the precipitous districts of Tāgulāt to the north, and Morät to the south. Morät borders on Insaro to the south, and the river Addabay to the west. Anoréwos was a disciple of Žéna-Maqros and his appellation ‘the junior’ is derived from this, ‘Gādlı̂s Žéna-Maqros’, ff. 19–20\textsuperscript{a}.
\textsuperscript{7} Gādlı̂s Filipos, loc. cit. Or., 769, f. 11\textsuperscript{b}. Märhabìţe is still the name of the district between the rivers Wānchā and Addabay before they join to form the Jāma in Dāra.

\textsuperscript{[Notes 8–11 opposite.]}
These were all disciples of Täklä-Haymanot, and the whole region south of the Jäma river was thus left for them to evangelize. In the fourteenth century, when there were no other monastic groups in the region Ya’iqob had no other alternative, and Shäwa remained an inexhaustible sphere of influence for the ‘house’ of Täklä-Haymanot. But despite later traditions of Däbrä Libanos, it was not with Däbrä Asbo alone that the Egyptian bishop began to work. As we have seen above, one of the first things he did on his arrival in Ethiopia was to create amicable relations with the monastery of Däbrä Gol, and we have traditions of a similar organization of the disciples of Bäsälotä-Mika’él in Amhara.

Bäsälotä-Mika’él was also a follower of Iyäsus-Mo’ä, but of the second generation. Some disciples of Iyäsus-Mo’ä, other than

8 Gädälä Filipos, loc. cit. Or. 769, f. 10. Gädälä Anoréwos, p. 76. Wärräb and Šigaja (= Šigaga) were in the district of Indägibæ, ibid., p. 93. ‘Gädälä Zëna—Marqos’, f. 44a. Indägibæ was in the Gudär river basin, south-west of Gindä-Bärät, cf. Chronica de Susenynos, pp. 32, etc. (text).

9 Gädälä Filipos, loc. cit. Or. 769, f. 11b. For the location of medieval Damot see p. 121 n. 1. There are traditions of early Christian expansion into Damot, Gädälä Yarëd, ed. Conti Rossini, pp. 22–6. For the first conquest of Damot by Amdä-Šyön’s army, see p. 135.

10 Gädälä Filipos, loc. cit. Or. 769, f. 12a. Wäj appears to have been the area immediately to the south of the headwaters of the Awash, and west of its upper course, including the northern part of Gurageländ, Futuh al-Habasha, tr. Basset, pp. 215, 234, 242. Chronica de Susenynos, p. 36.

11 Gädälä Filipos, loc. cit. Or. 769, f. 12b. Inar’it and Inarya seem interchangeable. The upper course of the great Gibé is still called Gibé Inarta. A kingdom of Inarya apparently existed immediately to the north of what would later be the famous kingdom of Käfa. Inarya is the area where Motälami, the legendary king of Damot, is said to have withdrawn on being conquered by Yikunno-Amlak, Guidi, ‘Strofe e brevi testi amarici’, in MSOS, X (1907), p. 182. Cf. also Chronica de Susenynos, pp. 156, 191–7. Almeida, Some Records, pp. 11, 18–19. Most likely the origin of the later kingdom of Käfa should be sought in medieval Inarya.

The 12th unit in Ya’iqob’s delimitation is said to have been Dimbi, assigned to one Gäbrä-Kristos. In more recent editions of the story, the place is called ‘Dämbya, also called Amara Tabor’, Or. 769, f. 11. The accompanying notes seem to favour an identification north of the Jämä or in Bägëmdir. But this is very unlikely for the 14th century; cf. Ya’iqob’s organization in Gädälä Filipos, pp. 197–8. A more satisfactory identification must be sought in southern Shäwa, in Gurageländ, for instance, where Gäbrä-Kristos is said to have had some parishes. A land grant by Amdä-Šyön (1314–44) mentions Dimbi together with Wärräb and Inar’it, and seems to confirm a southern identification, Wright, Catalogue, Or. 821, ff. 106b–107a

2 Cf. p. 117.

3 Gädälä Aron, pp. 126–7. The organizational characteristics—‘12 Shepherds of Ethiopia, 72 disciples’—are suspect. But an attempt at the revival of the Christian movement in Amhara also fits very well the traditions we have about Ya’iqob. For the chronological problems see p. 175 n. 3.
Täklä-Haymanot, also seem to have withdrawn from Hayq and founded small monastic communities in Amhara. The most important among these was the community of Däbrä Gol. It was still a private hermitage when its founder Anoréwos, ‘the priest’, was joined by Bäsälötä-Mika’él, probably in the second half of the reign of Widim-Rä’ad (1296–1314). After having received the monastic habit from Anoréwos, Bäsälötä-Mika’él travelled to Tigré and stayed at Däbrä Damo for some time. Like Ýäsus-Mo’a and Täklä-Haymanot before him, he appears to have made extensive use of this ancient centre of Christian learning in Ethiopia: ‘He stayed here reading the books of the Old and New Testaments, and pondering over their meanings.’ He visited many other communities in Tigré, and on his return to Amhara he made Däbrä Gol an important monastic centre. Much of the story of his life concerns his famous conflicts with Abunä Yohannis and King Amdä-Şiyon. But when Ya’iqob came to Ethiopia, Bäsälötä-Mika’él had already died in exile, and Aron was left as the most senior of his disciples. It was with Aron and Filipos of Däbrä Asbo that the Egyptian bishop now began to work, in an attempt to unite the spiritual descendants of Ýäsus-Mo’a in a common struggle against non-Christian elements both within and outside the Ethiopian Church. He saw in these leaders the only hope, not only for the expansion of the Church, but also for the regeneration of strictly Christian ways of life within the Church itself. His leadership in this was completely followed throughout his short term of office. We have seen above how they co-operated with him in staging a frantic attack on the king for his non-Christian matrimonial habits. They also took up their evangelical assignments with a similar youthful enthusiasm.

From the purely hagiographical records at our disposal, it is very

---

1 Gädllä Bäsälötä-Mika’él (text), p. 14. There is also a mention of other communities in the area, pp. 8–10. Bäsälötä-Mika’él tried to join one of these while still very young. His mother appealed to Widim-Rä’ad, who was her relative, and the king returned him to his parents by force. That Anoréwos may have been a disciple of Ýäsus-Mo’a is implied in later traditions connecting Bäsälötä-Mika’él with Hayq, Gädllä Ýäsus-Mo’a, pp. 28–31 (tr.). The curious appellation ‘Anoréwos the Priest’ does in fact suggest that he was a retired secular priest who may have visited Hayq, obtained his monastic habit, and retreated to Däbrä Gol.

2 Gädllä Bäsälötä-Mika’él, p. 19. Curious revelations are attributed to him, pp. 20–1. These have striking similarities with the apocryphal work of the still little-known author Bähaylä-Mika’él, Mäṣḥafā Misṭirā-Sāmāy Ḫā-midr, ed. in part and tr. by Përruchon, J., in PO, i, pp. 1–97. Budge, The Book of the Mysteries of Heaven and Earth. The connection becomes even more intriguing since the author at times calls himself Bäsälötä-Mika’él also, Perrouchon, op. cit., p. x n. 7.

3 See pp. 114–17.
difficult to give an adequate reconstruction of the pre-Christian beliefs of the regions in which Täklä-Haymanot and his followers began to work. What one gathers is a general picture of animistic practices and nature worship: 'At that time the people of that district [Zim, west of Däbrä Libanos] worshipped the rocks, trees, or rivers. They did not know God except very few [among them]. They lived by eating, drinking, and committing adultery all their lives.' The religious leaders of these pagan communities assume a character analogous to that of witch doctors. They had a very strong power over their people and some curious rituals are associated with them in the hagiographies:

Täklä-Haymanot came to a hill called Bilat. This was the headquarters of the sorcerers and here they sacrificed the blood of cows and goats [to the devils] ... There lived their king and the witch-doctors, the diviners, and all the men of magic worshipped him. They stayed in his palace at the foot of the hill ... waiting for his words. If he has good words for them it presages good luck; if he makes bad pronouncements evil befalls them. Because of this they have made him king over themselves.  

This pagan priesthood offered the greatest resistance to the work of the disciples of Täklä-Haymanot in the south. Their countries were only recently opened to Christian influence in a religious sense, and they naturally staged a long and desperate struggle against the establishment of churches and monasteries among their peoples. After the military successes of Amdä-Śiyon the local chiefs had long accepted the fact of Christian political dominance, and were reconciled to the idea of Christian expansion. Their religious leaders nevertheless continued their hostile policies on their own. The systematic programme of mission work inaugurated by Abunä Ya'iqob specially provoked such organized hostilities.


It was an ancient custom of the Christian kings to send some of the clergy to their frontier military colonies. These were secular priests, and their primary function was to meet the religious needs of the Christian troops themselves. With the development of monastic institutions, however, and with Ya’iqob’s reorganization of the disciples of Täklä-Haymanot in particular, a new and powerful element was added to the process of Christian expansion. A prominent monastic leader, with special evangelical responsibilities, began to accompany the Christian troops stationed in an area. He was in charge of the secular priests and co-ordinated their work according to the evangelical needs of his province. He established a monastic community of his own, where he trained more of his followers for the religious leadership, and which he used as a centre for his activities in general. From this centre he travelled widely in the area, preaching, baptizing new converts, and building churches at convenient places. Ya’iqob’s programme of missionary work had the approval of King Amdä-Şiyon, who naturally placed his royal authority behind it. Besides his frontier troops, the local chiefs were also under some obligation to ensure the security of the king’s religious emissaries. This background of royal protection clearly accounts for the sudden development of evangelical activities in the new areas, and for the traditions of early association between the preachers and some of the local chiefly families. A clear picture of this regional missionary organization emerges from the traditions we have about the life of Anoréwos of Wäräb.

We have seen above that Anoréwos was assigned to teach in the district of Wäräb. His first preoccupation on his arrival in the region was to look for a suitable place for establishing his monastic centre. This seems to have taken some time during which he moved from

1 Christian troops were stationed in the area where Anoréwos was operating. On one occasion he was accused of undermining the frontier defence of the kingdom by accepting some of the soldiers as novices, Gädllä Anoréwos, pp. 89–90 (text). This refers to the reign of Säyyfl-Ar’ad (1344–71), and it is most likely that the practice also existed before him.

2 Gädllä Filipos, p. 197 (text); “Gädllä Qäwištä”, ff. 105b–106a.


4 See p. 176 n. 8. It is interesting that his assignment was to the immediate southwest of his native district of Muğär, Gädllä Anoréwos, p. 67. ‘Gädllä Samu’el (of Wägäg)’, f. 9a. This also seems to be the case with Qäwištä, Zéna-Marqos, Anoréwos ‘the junior’, and probably also of the other preachers.
place to place, preaching and baptizing some of the local people for whom he also built churches:

and he began teaching [the people] . . . saying ‘It is better to believe in God than in mortal human beings’. He told them much about Truth from the Scriptures. He gave them penance and baptized them in the name of the Holy Trinity. He also provided them with tabots and built churches for them in their country . . .

Anoréwos continued his search for a convenient place to establish his monastery. It is characteristic of these hagiographical traditions that he finally obtained the site with the help of a local man. Bäragban (later called Zákaryas) is said to have been the chief of the district of Šigaga. Having heard about the religious activities of Anoréwos in the nearby districts, Bäragban invited the saint to come to his district and establish his monastic centre. Anoréwos accepted the invitation, but on his arrival there he was met by an organized resistance of the local religious leaders. Bäragban took the Christian side, and the issue was decided by force:

Bäragban took his arrow and went to the woods. He found there three [men of magic] sitting at the foot of an oak tree. He shot at one of them who fell and died; the second fled away, and he captured the third, tied up his hands backwards, and took him to Abunā Anoréwos. When [Anoréwos] saw him he wondered and said, ‘After all a man of magic is a human being!’ And at that time [the chief] took a knife and slew [his captive] at the feet of [Anoréwos]. After some time [the saint] built a small church on the site.

This gruesome story is a distant echo of the bitterness of the struggle which confronted the Ethiopian Church in its early attempts to extend its influence in this direction. The bitterness of the conflict seems to have been further promoted by the insistence of the preachers on building their churches on the sacred places of the pagan clergy. It was also on such a site that Bäragban helped Anoréwos to establish his monastery of Däbrä Šigaga.

1 Gädäla Anoréwos, p. 77.
3 Gädäla Anoréwos, p. 79.
5 Gädäla Anoréwos, p. 80.
The community of Däbrä Šigaga grew fast, and many new disciples joined Anoréwos, including some of his own relatives from the north.¹ From here Anoréwos continued his preaching in the surrounding area. He also seems to have assigned evangelical duties to some of his own disciples:

He called one of his disciples, whose name was Dawit, a respectable man and a great master of the scriptures, and said to him: 'Come, my son. Go to the country of Adamat at a place called Bäzirgoy. Take some priests and deacons with you, and prepare the Holy Communion until I come.'²

The evangelical activities of Anoréwos seems to have extended far beyond Indägäbtan, and the traditions attribute to him much pioneering work in Inar'it to the south-west and Damot to the west.³ But since we have no other hagiographical records for this region, this tradition of the descendants of Anoréwos cannot be controlled. Nevertheless, it seems quite clear that before the end of the third quarter of the fourteenth century, Ya'iqob's evangelical programmes had borne fruit and the presence of the Church was already felt in the outlying provinces of the kingdom in this direction.

Similar work was being done on the Muslim frontier beyond the eastern edge of the Shäwan plateau.⁴ We have seen above that there already were Christian communities in the region of Šilalish in the Zagwé period, and the immediate result of the advent of the new dynasty had been the consolidation of Christian power in Amhara and Shäwa. On the religious side, a similar development of Christian influence was soon promoted by the activities of Täklä-Haymanot in the central Shäwan plateau. By the time Täklä-Haymanot started his teaching, the region beyond the eastern slopes of the plateau was undergoing a tremendous political upheaval, culminating in the final ascendance of Ifat over all the other Muslim communities. This,

¹ Gädlä Anoréwos, p. 81. Four sons of his sister (in Wälqa) and a son of his brother (in Mugär) are specially mentioned. The latter is called Gäbrä-Nazrawi, and is remembered as a prominent monastic leader. It seems quite possible to identify him with his namesake commemorated on Tahasas 5, Synaxaire, in PO, xv, pp. 603–10. Here also he is made a monastic descendant of Anoréwos but of the second generation. The tradition (ibid., p. 607) associating him with an evangelical effort in Hadya makes the identification quite secure.
² Gädlä Anoréwos, p. 94.
³ Ibid., pp. 85, 89.
⁴ In Ya'iqob's time the parish divisions in this direction seem to have been, from north to south, Kil'at (Yohannis), Särmat and Mähagil (Qäwistos), Šilalish (Tadéwos) and Fätägar (Matyas). Of these, I have only 'Gädlä Qäwistos' at my disposal pertaining to this period.
however, did not affect the Christians on the plateau and on its eastern edge. Here, Täklä-Haymanot was preaching among his fellow Christians and their pagan neighbours, moving from one community to another. It was probably on one of these occasions that Qäwiśtos came under his influence.1

In Gâdlâ Täklä-Haymanot, Qäwiśtos is mentioned only in a list which has the generic title of ‘disciples of Täklä-Haymanot’, and there are no clear references to him as a resident member of the community of Dâbrä Asbo.2 According to his own Gâdl, he received his monastic habit from Täklä-Haymanot during the latter’s visit to the church of Nibgê Maryam recently built by Qäwiśtos in Şilalish.3 Here also there is no mention of him staying at the new monastery of Grarya. This seems to indicate that Qäwiśtos came under the influence of Täklä-Haymanot before the establishment of Dâbrä Asbo. After these early associations with his master, he seems to have returned to his native district of Şilalish, and eventually established his own community at Nibgê.4

In the region of the eastern slopes of the plateau, Ŭfat was still the dominant political power, and the community of Nibgê could only have a strictly local significance for about two decades. In the meantime, however, it became an increasingly important religious centre among the local Christians, and Qäwiśtos consolidated his reputation as a monastic leader and as the disciple of Täklä-Haymanot. Nibgê reflected in the east the spirit of Christian revival propagated by Täklä-Haymanot at Dâbrä Asbo, with which Qäwiśtos probably kept a close contact. This was probably increasingly felt, not only by the Christians, but also by their Muslim and pagan neighbours. Although Christian and Muslim communities had long been living side by side in these frontier districts, they do not seem to have completely rid the area of its ancient pagan ways of life. The evangelical activities of Qäwiśtos outside his Christian group probably started among these marginal communities. The traditions about his early

1 Qäwiśtos is said to have been a cousin of the saint, only six months younger, ‘Gâdlâ Qäwiśtos’, ff. 44b-48a, 52a. But he is also said to have been killed in the reign of Amdâ-Şiyon for his part in the monastic attack c. 1341 on the king’s unorthodox matrimonial habits, ibid., ff. 106-9. This is chronologically impossible, and he must have been a much younger disciple of Täklä-Haymanot.
2 Budge, op. cit., pp. 88-9 (text).
4 The traditions of his earlier visits to Tigré and Jerusalem, and his alleged preaching in Sârmât before his monastic professions, are almost certainly apocryphal; cf. ibid., ff. 60-68a.
contacts with these people present them as given to magico-pagan practices of a very hybrid character, but strikingly similar to those which Täklä-Haymanot and his disciples confronted in the west and south-west:

He found the inhabitants of the country worshipping the devils at the foot of a kobal [?] tree. They were sitting there eating, drinking and amusing themselves in the fashion of the Muslims. They fanned the fire with their hands and held hot flames in their mouths and chanted saying 'O people of Gään and Yäy, see what your god Qorké [can] do! ... And they brought for [Qorké a daily present of] two fat cows, five sheep, five goats, and twenty-one baskets of white [wheat?] bread. All these were eaten by the functionaries of the gods ...'

It was only after Amdä-Şiyon's initial successes over Haqädin I and Däradir, however, that the community of Nibgé seems to have taken further advances towards the east. The military prestige of the Christian kingdom impressed the frontier peoples. There is a clear indication that among these Christian and Muslim frontiersmen very close ethnic, linguistic, and probably even family connections existed; and their religious associations often depended on the political fortunes of the day. A tradition about the conversion of a local chief in the area amply illustrates the point:

[His convert told Qäwiştos:] 'I was (originally) a Christian living in the country of Fäßägar. A Muslim chief took me away while still ten years old (together with my mother) ... The son of another chief married her ... and gave me his sister to wife from whom I had this son ... He also appointed me chief of this district and my mother ... used to teach me in secret the rules of the Christians. I have always been a Christian at heart while observing Islam in public.'

The essential message of this story is that the growing political power of Amdä-Şiyon was being reflected in the intensive evangelical activities of the descendants of Täklä-Haymanot long stationed in the region. The influence of the Church developed, and more

---

1 'Gädlä Qäwiştos', f. 71a. The passage is about a people living near a place called 'Mt. Yäy'. From the comparative topography given in the Life itself, f. 75a, Mt. Yäy seems to be somewhere east of Kättäta and therefore to the immediate north-east of Nibgé. The indirect mention of Muslims is interesting, and indicates superficial Islamic influences on the practices of the people who were otherwise unmistakably pagan.


4 'Gädlä Qäwiştos', f. 77.
churches were being built. The rulers of the kingdom of Ifat deeply resented this expansion of the Church, and it provided them with additional grievances against the growing power of Amdā-Śiyon. Sābrādīn's inimical attitude to these religious activities played a considerable part in the hostilities of 1332. On the eve of the war, Amdā-Śiyon accused the ruler of Ifat of taking strong repressive measures against the Christians in the area: 'He sent to him, saying, “This thing that I hear about you, is it true or not? Did you burn the church of God and kill many Christians? Did you take away the rest of the Christians and convert them into your religion?”' This mention of churches and Christian communities doubtless refers to the region of the eastern slopes of the Shāwan plateau, and constitutes an important confirmation of the hagiographical traditions of the church. The religious content of the struggle of 1332 was furnished by the attempts of Ifat, and indeed of all the Muslims in the region, to stop this tide of active expansion of the Ethiopian Church. During the war, many of the Christians in the frontier area were converted (or reconverted?) to Islam, and after the war Amdā-Śiyon decreed strong measures against them. On his way back from Adal, he ordered Jāmaldīn, his new governor of Ifat, to deliver to the Christian Court all such 'apostates':

The king of the unbelievers ... brought to king Amdā-Śiyon the renegades who were found there, and who included priests, deacons, and soldiers of his [i.e. Amdā-Śiyon's] army. Amdā-Śiyon ... had them flogged each thirty times and he had them branded on the breast and on the back with a mark of the perpetual slavery into which they were thenceforth reduced ... Those who were thus punished constituted only part of the elusive frontiersmen who had characteristically changed sides during the hostilities; and Jāmaldīn's failure to deliver still more of them to the king's judgement cost him both his freedom and his new appointment as ruler of Ifat.  

1 Ibid., f. 81; more Christian clerics are said to have come from Fätāgār, Zim, and from Wāgda to help Qāwīṣṭos in his work for a time. Cf. the tradition collected by Azais and Chambard at Fāntālē, *Cinq Années de recherches archéologiques en Éthiopie* (1931), p. 145: 'At Fantale, a priest ... told us that there was a church and an Abyssinian town on the site of Lake Metahara, and that the first successors of Tāklä-Haymanot belonged to this church ...'

2 Perruchon, J., *Histoire des guerres d'Amda-Seyon*, pp. 282, 299 (text), 331–2, 344 (tr.).

3 Ibid., pp. 433–4 (text), 478–9 (tr.).

4 Ibid., pp. 434, 479. Jāmaldīn was arrested and his brother Nasrādīn appointed in his place.
After 1332, the Christians enjoyed a greater freedom of action in the area. On the advent of Abunä Ya’iqob, Qäwisños was confirmed as head of the Churches of Särmat and Mähagil, and his other colleagues, Yohannis, Tadéwos, and Matyas were also assigned for Kil’at, Śilalish, and Fättägar respectively.² Besides greatly enhancing the prestige of these preachers as monastic leaders this act of the Egyptian bishop seems to have intensified their work. The traditions indicate the extension of the influence of the Church even across the Awash;

And the chief of Fäntalé [recently converted by Qäwisños] crossed the river Awash, fought with the rulers of the Muslims and defeated them... He destroyed their place of worship and built a church in the name of St. George... and many of the Muslims believed.²

The region was no longer a forbidden ground for Christian religious activities, and the disciples of Täklä-Haymanot were free to spread the Christian teaching, particularly through the local chiefs. Essentially, however, the loyalties of these frontier people to the Church were political, and the Church could not flourish beyond the last fringes of the plateau. Apart from the strong militancy of Islam, the semi-desert conditions of the area were also unfavourable for this development.

We have already examined the traditions of the religious conflict between Amdä-Şiyon and his successor Säyfā-Ara’d on the one hand, and Abunä Ya’iqob and his monastic allies on the other.³ The exile inflicted upon the Ethiopian Church leaders by these kings on that occasion marked an important stage of the evangelization of the Ethiopian region. This followed two general patterns:

(a) the banished monks tried to convert the local people of their temporary abodes of exile; and

(b) some of the younger monks went further away from their place of exile and settled in new areas altogether.

The monks were temporarily exiled in two general directions. In the first period of the conflict, in the lifetime of Amdä-Şiyon, all the

¹ See p. 176 notes 2–5 above.
² ‘Gädla Qäwisños’, f. 101a. The chief is said to have been a brother of a powerful woman who offered great resistance to Qäwisños’s work in the area. She had a great influence among the local people between the rivers Särmat and Awash. Ibid., ff. 83–4, 94–101. It is interesting to compare the position of this woman with Cerulli’s comments on female rulers in the area, ‘Il sultanato dello Scioa’, pp. 21–2. For the tradition of a church built in that area see p. 185 n. 1.
³ See pp. 116–18.
traditions we have (with only one exception) indicate that the exiled monks were sent northwards to Amhara and Tigré. Amdā-Šiyon banished the leading disciples of Täklä-Haymanot northwards out of Shāwa, and those of Bāšālotā-Mika’él north of Amhara. Those exiled to Tigré, where some churches are attributed to Aron, are not relevant to our story of evangelization. In the regions of Amhara and Bāgēmdir, however, these places of refuge served not only as centres of spreading Christianity among the local people, but also as a launching-pad for further evangelical work in Gojjam and in the Lake Tana region.

Anoréwos of Wārāb spent his first three years of exile in the district of Wālāqa where he is said to have preached among the local people: ‘He converted many of the people of Wālāqa into the worship of God.’ He was not the earliest preacher in the district where his own sister was already married to a local Christian before his exile. Apart from early traditions involving an ancestor of Täklā-Haymanot, another prominent monastic leader called ‘Zā’amanu’él of Wālāqa’ is also mentioned elsewhere in connection with the region in an earlier context of the fourteenth century. But it seems that many parts of the district were still pagan and both Anoréwos and Filapos appear to have helped in its evangelization.

1 The exception referred to is the alleged exile of Bāšālotā-Mika’él (d. before 1337) by Amdā-Šiyon to Dāra and Lake Zway, Gādlā Bāšālotā-Mika’él, pp. 30–4 (text). The conflict with the kings was conducted on two different occasions. On the first occasion, before 1337, Bāšālotā-Mika’él led the clergy against Amdā-Šiyon. He was exiled to Tigré on two occasions and he finally died there. The conflict started again on the advent of Bishop Ya’iqob. Amdā-Šiyon again exiled the monks to Tigré in 1341. They were recalled on his death in 1344. Soon afterwards, however, they quarrelled with his successor, Sāyfār-Är’ād. It was probably on this occasion that the monks began to be exiled to the south, and Bāšālotā-Mika’él’s alleged participation in this later event may have only been based on the experiences of his monastic descendants led by Aron.

2 Probably both Anoréwos and Filapos were first exiled together to Wālāqa, Gādlā Anoréwos, pp. 84–5. Gādlā Filapos, p. 242. Filapos was later sent further north to Tigré, ibid., pp. 214–15.

3 Aron and many of his followers left Dābra Gol and went northwards to Angot and Tigré, then back to Wag and Lasta, and to Dābrä Qätin across the upper Täkäzé, Gādlā Aron, pp. 133–6.

4 Ibid., p. 133.

5 Gādlā Anoréwos, pp. 84–5.

6 Ibid., p. 81.

7 See p. 120 n. 4.

8 Gādlā Bāšālotā-Mika’él, pp. 43, 45–6. Amdā-Šiyon mentions ‘a monk called Amanu’él’ as having sent his blessing and encouragement to the expedition of 1332, Perruchon, op. cit., p. 311 (text); it seems quite possible to identify Amdā-Šiyon’s ‘Amanu’él’ with Zā’amanu’él of Wālāqa, a district in Amhara.

9 Cf. Gādlā Filapos, pp. 242, 246 (text).
In the second period of the conflict, in the reign of Säyfa-Ar‘ad, the monks’ places of exile were in the south or south-east, in the newly conquered areas beyond the river Awash. Here the most important place seems to be a locality called Dära. It is mentioned in the hagiographies of the descendants of both Täklä-Haymanot and Bäsälotä-Mika‘él, and it appears that all these monastic leaders were first sent there at the same time.¹ But only Aron and his followers stayed there for a period of about seven years.² It is also in Gädälä Aron that we possess an interesting description of the area: ‘The soldiers [of the king] brought them to the land of Zängo . . . in the neighbourhood of Astran, near Dära and Bosato, facing Lama. Gwatr was also near them, and Zway was visible from far. And there ruled the Gärad of Bali.’³ The inhabitants of the area were apparently Muslims and are remembered in these traditions as having been very hostile to the exiles: ‘. . . those who lived there were Muslims and they did not know Christ. They were murderers, and the king sent [his enemies] to them so that they might take his revenge for him.’⁴ Aron and his followers were forced to stay here for seven long years, and although they suffered many casualties, they eventually made their peace with the local people. They cleared a piece of land and transformed it into a garden on the fruits of which they managed to live.⁵ They preached

² Gädälä Aron, p. 149. Filipos and Anoréwos were both transferred to an island in Lake Zway after a period of three months, Gädälä Filipos, loc. cit. Gädälä Anoréwos, loc. cit.
³ Gädälä Aron, p. 145. Some of these places are perfectly identifiable. Al-‘Umari has a Muslim state called Dara bordering on Bali, op. cit., pp. 1, 18–19. The general direction given for it is also similar in Gädälä Filipos, which places it, however, in Däwaro, p. 240. It is also said elsewhere that it was inhabited by Muslims, Gädälä Bäsälotä-Mika‘él, pp. 30–1. Gragn’s chronicler has ‘Darah’ on the frontiers between Däwaro and Bälî, Futuh al-Habasha, tr. p. 387. The identification of Dära with a district east of Lake Tana is misleading, Almeida, Some Records of Ethiopia, p. 233. Gwatr is also mentioned elsewhere as being near Däwaro, Gädälä Estifanos, ff. 82, 86. Gädälä Abäkäräzun, p. 26. It is probably the same district as Djoutir, a frontier district of Christian Däwaro, Futuh al Habasha, tr., p. 134 n. 3. The area where the monks were exiled was also a week’s journey east of the Awash, Gädälä Aron, p. 150. The additional mention of Zway and the Gärad of Bälî suggests that the place was between Däwaro and Bälî. It is precisely here that a modern Ethiopian religious scholar located the place of Aron’s exile, Aläga Lämma, Mäşäfat-Tizitä, ed. Mängistu Lämma (Addis Ababa, 1967), pp. 36–7.
among their neighbours, and it appears that they left some churches behind them at the end of their exile: ‘... they prayed in the churches they built in Dära and Zāngo, and left some monks and priests there.’

Filipos and Anoréwos were transferred to Lake Zway. They were taken to one of the islands, and the hagiographical traditions about this exile provide the earliest description of the lake and the people who lived there in the fourteenth century: ‘The lake was famous for its great depth and width, and no one can enter it without Wäbäl [?]. They took them into an island inhabited by pagans who did not have any religion and who ate the flesh of both properly slain and dead animals.’ The monks are believed to have converted some of these peoples, and it seems probable that the island monastery of Lake Zway had its origin in this early mission work. According to these traditions the monks were soon transferred from the lake region to what appears to be the area further south and south-west of Lake Zway, where they are also said to have preached. By the middle of the fourteenth century, therefore, the Ethiopian Church had already begun to make direct contacts with what is today a predominantly Sídama country.

Early missionary work around Lake Ṭana

It has been stated above that the communities established in Amhara during these exiles also served as a stepping-stone for further mission work in Gojjam and in the Lake Ṭana area. This was made possible by Amdā-Šiyon’s successful military activities in the area

---

1 Ibid., p. 150. Cf. also Güdli Bäsälotié-Mika’el, loc. cit.
4 Güdli Filipos, p. 235. Güdli Anoréwos, p. 89. The place is said to be Gānasqé in Damot, which seems to indicate a general direction towards the upper Omo and Gibé rivers. Cf. also Güdli Bäsälotié-Mika’el, pp. 34–5.
shortly after his accession to power. A fourteenth-century note relates the king’s victories over the king of Gojjam soon after the year 1316/17. His chronicler mentions in addition successful campaigns against Gojjam and Wägära some time before 1332. It is probably to one of these early expeditions that we also have a reference in a hagiographical tradition:

The king sent [his men] to bring him a tabot from the monastery of Şana because he wished to set out on an expedition. They took for him a tabot consecrated in the name of Qîrqos. Having taken this, he went to war and defeated the rebels (who were) his enemies . . .

The first Christian influence in Gojjam came from the direction of the south-eastern corner of Lake Ṭana. The island church of Şana Qîrqos has the earliest tradition. Its alleged foundation in the fourth century A.D. is almost certainly apocryphal. There seems to be no doubt, however, that a Christian community existed in the area before the end of the Zagwé dynasty. About the end of the second decade of

---

2 Perruchon, op. cit., p. 309.
3 Gădılă Yafqirinnă-Igzi, ed. and tr. by Wajnberg, I., in OCA, no. 106 (1936), pp. 18–22. Conti Rossini, ‘L’agiografia etiopica e gli atti del santo Yafqiranna-Egzi’ in ARIV, xcvi (1936–7), pt. 2, pp. 414–15, 424. The early date of this incident is quite clear from a close examination of the Gădıl: Yafqiranna-Igzi was still a young member of the community as he is referred to as ‘my brother’ by the king’s messenger who is described as a mere ‘deacon’, p. 20. This tallies very well with the chronological notes we have about the saint who died at an advanced age in 1376, Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 420. He was born one year before the arrival of Abun Yohannis in Ethiopia. This bishop is also mentioned in the note commemorating the king’s success in Gojjam. Yohannis came as a result of Yagba-Şiyon’s letters to Egypt in 1290, Quatremère, Mémoires, ii (1811), pp. 268–73. He apparently only reached Ethiopia in the reign of Widim-Ră’ad (1299–1314), when we have the earliest references to him, Budge, The Life of Taklu-Haymanot, p. 84 (text). Gădılă Qăwistsos, f. 68a. He was accused of simony by Băsălotă-Mika’él in the early part of Amdā-Şiyon’s reign, Gădılă Băsălotă-Mika’él, pp. 22–4.
5 It seems that Amdā-Şiyon’s success was only a culmination of earlier attempts at Christian expansion in this direction. We have early traditions about Christian activities already in the Aksumite period, Conti Rossini, ‘Il convento di Tsana’, pp. 581–2. Id., ‘Il libro delle leggende’, pp. 706–7. The Zagwé kings also seem to have had contact with Gojjam in this direction, Perruchon, Vie de Lalibela, p. 117. Conti Rossini, Gli atti di Nă’akuto-Lă’ab, (1943), pp. 37–8. The traditions of some churches in the Lake Ṭana region also connect them with Amdā-Şiyon’s grand-
the fourteenth century Amdā-Šiyon had firmly consolidated his power in the region between the river Täkäzë in the east and Lake Ṭana, and the stage was set for the expansion of the Church further afield.

Yafqirānnā-Igzi joined the community of Šana Qīrqos in the first years of Amdā-Šiyon’s reign.1 On his arrival there the community was fairly well established. But its reputation as an important centre of monastic activities and Christian learning was a relatively recent development. The modest position occupied by Šana at the time is suggested in the stories of the early life of Yafqirānnā-Igzi. On his advent to the lake region the activities of the monastery were still firmly controlled by the ruler of Gojjam whose special permission was required to admit the young monk from Tigré into the island.2 It was only after Amdā-Šiyon’s successful campaigns that the position appears to have changed. Šana Qīrqos became the natural recipient of the king’s favours,3 and soon asserted itself by gradually extending its supremacy over all the other islands. The local rulers of Gojjam and Dāmbya now seem to give some protection to the monks living in the islands.4 This was apparently followed, however, by the monks of Šana Qīrqos venturing into the mainland and the still unoccupied islands in the lake.

Local opposition to the expansion of the Church was still considerable on the mainland south of the lake. On his first attempt to establish himself in the district of Bāda, Yafqirānnā-Igzi was forced to return to the islands:

And then came the messengers of Widim, king of Gozam and said to him, ‘Why are you staying in the district of Bāda? Return to your place . . .’


1 The saint was born in Tigré, Gādlā Yafqirānnā-Igzi, pp. 14, 16. For the place of his birth, cf. Conti Rossini, ‘L’agiografia etiopica’, pp. 412 n. 3 and p. 413 n. 1. He was already ordained monk by one Adhani in Tigré. He is elsewhere said to have been the disciple of Mādhanīnā-Igzi, id. Gādlā Filipos (Bizān), table, p. 156. Basset, Études, p. 10.


3 Gādlā Yafqirānnā-Igzi, pp. 20, 22.

4 Cases of such co-operation are reported in the early part of the saint’s career at Lake Ṭana, ibid., pp. 26, 28.
Having heard the message, the saint refused to go... and they wanted to take him back by force...\(^1\)

This local opposition appears to have been even stronger in the region north and north-west of the lake. The most serious resistance to the Christian advance here seems to have come from the Fälasha, who were predominant in the mountainous districts between the lake and the Tákázé river. The early campaigns of Amdá-Šiyon had resulted in the consolidation of his power in Gojjam and in the districts immediately to the north and east of the lake. But his conquest of the mountain Fälasha tribes had apparently been only temporary and, probably also provoked by the resultant activities of the Church in the area, the Fälasha rose in an open rebellion against the king on the eve of his campaigns in Adal in 1332:

He sent other contingents called Damot, Sáqált, Gondär, and Hadya [consisting of] mounted soldiers and footmen and well trained in warfare...; their commander [was] Bågåmdir Šagå-Kristos. He sent them to the country of the rebels to fight... [the people of] Simén, Wågåra, Shålám, and Šagådè. Originally these people were Christians but now they denied Christ like the Jews who crucified him. For this reason [the king] sent an army to destroy them...\(^2\)

This did not represent a ‘Révolute de Bagamdir’. Perruchon reached this conclusion by a casual misunderstanding of the term Bågåmdir which was only part of the title of Šagå-Kristos, who seems to have been the governor of the district of that name at the time.\(^3\)

\(^1\) Gådålå Yeqirånå-Ígzt, p. 24. Båda seems to be the district which today bears the name Båd on the mainland just opposite, and south of the island of Kibran. In a 16th-century land grant ‘the chief of Båd’ is mentioned together with ‘the king of Gozam’, ‘The Four Gospels’, MS. Kibran, f. 238\(^\text{b}\). Widim seems to be the successor of Žankimir as king of Gojjam. The same name was borne by an officer who commanded Amdá-Šiyon’s cavalry contingent called Gojjam in 1332, Perruchon, Histoire des guerres d’Amanda-Seyon, pp. 289, 383.


\(^3\) It is consistently used as such in the documents, Perruchon, Histoire des guerres, loc. cit., and p. 281. This is further confirmed by two land grants of the early 15th century where a Princess held the title Bågåmdir (or Mågåmdir),
Fälasha rebelled against the Christian kingdom in 1332, and Bāgäm-dir Sāga-Kristos was sent to suppress them with an army which included Gondar, a contingent of local extraction still loyal to the king. The expedition was apparently successful, and the Fälasha revolt was put down for a time. The king’s success in his Muslim campaigns further enhanced his power throughout his kingdom, and we have no case of major Fälasha risings on record for at least fifty years. It seems, in fact, that those years saw a speedy development in the establishment of the Church in this direction.

The island monastery of Śana Qīrqos had been the major centre of Christian activities in the lake region. In the reign of Amdā-Śiyon its influence at the royal Court was apparently considerable. Abba Ya’iqob, the second abbot of the community since Yafqirānnā-Igzi’s arrival in Śana, seems to have had very good connections at the court and was probably appointed from there. He was later sent to Egypt as a member of the delegation to fetch a new bishop, apparently Abunā Ya’iqob, in about 1337.¹ It is not clear what part the community of Śana played in the conflicts between Abunā Ya’iqob and his monastic allies on the one hand, and Amdā-Śiyon and Sāyfā-Ara’d on the other. But the series of exiles which Amdā-Śiyon imposed upon the monks in 1341 eventually led to the establishment of monastic centres in the lake region, other than Śana Qīrqos, and considerably strengthened the Christian mission work in Gojjam and in the Fälasha country. The activities of the followers of Abba Aron and Filipos of Dābrā Asbo were particularly significant in these developments.

We have already seen how, after some wandering in Tigré and Lasta, Aron finally established himself at Dābrā Qāṭfān.² The site was on a hill overlooking the western bank of the Tākāzē on its upper course immediately west of Lalībāla. Aron and his followers built a church and settled in what is today the south-eastern corner of Bāgāmdīr.³ Their influence was soon felt around the country and many of the local people seem to have joined them:

¹ Gūdlā Yafqirānnā-Igzi, pp. 26, 38. For the date of the bishop’s arrival see Tadesse Tamrat, ‘The Abbots of Dābrā Hayq’, n. 57.
² See p. 187 n. 3.
³ It seems that the church was hewn out of the rock, Gūdlā Aron, p. 136. Cf. also A. Caquot, in AÉ, v (1963), p. 272, no. 65.
[The reputation of Aron] spread in all the districts of Mäqät, Asasa, and the countries of Wadla and Dawnt. The whole of Bägémdir was full of his news from Amhara to Wägära . . . and many received the monastic habit from his hands and he taught them the ways of God . . .

The district of Gaynt, west of the river Bashilo and south of the monastery, was apparently still pagan, and Aron baptized many of the inhabitants there. His work was interrupted by another period of exile, this time south of the river Awash. On his return from there about seven years later, Aron’s activities were redoubled and his influence was felt even more widely. He travelled to his own native land of Gämbya, further west, and built churches and established communities. His own seat remained at Däbrä Qätín where he built a new rock-hewn church on a nearby hill called Däbrä Darét which became the centre of his activities. The monastic community of Abba Aron had a great reputation, and even a daughter and a brother of King Säyfâ-Ara’d were among its residents.

The dispersal of the leading members of Däbrä Asbo also contributed to this vital process of the expansion of the Church in this direction. Some of them are said to have settled eventually in the districts of Dämbya and Bägémdir, and on the islands of Lake Ṭana. Abba Zäkaryas, a disciple of Filipos, established his community on the island of Gällila, about the end of the reign of Amdä-Šiyon. There, fresh reinforcements increased Christian activities in the area and further advances were made in the pagan districts south of the lake. This new development comes out very clearly in the story of the

---

1 Gädłä Aron, loc. cit.
2 See pp. 188–9.
3 Gädłä Aron, pp. 152–3.
4 It is quite clear here that it was a rock church: ‘He finished hewing the rock in three years.’ For identification of this site cf. Caquot, op. cit., p. 274, no. 64.
5 Gädłä Aron, pp. 137–8, 140. His refusal to give up these princesses was one of the reasons for Aron’s conflict with the king. Aron gave the Princess the monastic name of Barbara, and her career is confirmed by a contemporary note of 1390/1, in a MS. of the Four Gospels belonging to herself, S. Grebaut, ‘Note sur la princesse Zir-Ganela’ in JA (1928), pp. 142–4. The identity of the king’s brother is, however, still obscure. A son of Amdä-Šiyon, Abba Yasay, is the traditional founder of Mandabba on the northern shore of Lake Ṭana, Cheesman, op. cit., p. 199. But it is not certain where he first received his monastic ordination.
6 Basset, R., Études sur l’histoire d’Éthiopie, pp. 10 (text), 100 (tr.). The date of the MS. he used is mid 18th century, ibid., pp. 5–6; and as such it has some chronological errors of which the most conspicuous is its mention of Täklä-Alfa (16th century) among the 14th-century monastic leaders.
7 Ibid., pp. 10–11 (text), 100 (tr.). This is also confirmed by Gädłä Yafqirännä-Igzi, pp. 104–8, 112. Gällila was ‘uninhabited’ when Yafqirännä-Igzi first visited it, ibid., p. 28. Zäkaryas seems to have come many years later. But he appears to be the senior of the two in terms of age and monastic experience.
life of Abba Zäyohannis, who settled on the island of Kibrán apparently in the reign of Säfyä-Ara’d.

Zäyohannis originally came from the district of Märbabšt in north-western Shâwa. He joined the monastery of Däbrä Asbo and was professed a monk by Hizkyas, who became abbot in 1341 when Filipos was exiled to Tigré. It is not clear why he left Däbrä Asbo; the traditions about his life state that, after moving about in the country for some time, he went to the region of Lake Ṭana. He first stopped in the district of Dära on the south-eastern shore of the lake where the people were already Christians. He is said to have made friends with a Christian family of fishermen who helped him cross over to Kibrán: ‘There are no people [his friends told Zäyohannis] living on that island. But we often spend the night there when we [intend to] catch many fish.’ This contradicts the tradition in the Life of Yafquiränña-Igži, according to which some clerics were already settled on the island, and were part of the community of Şana Qäqqä. The hagiographers of Zäyohannis also indirectly imply elsewhere that some hermits were already living on the island before him. These hermits may have originally been members of Şana. It is also most probable that Zäyohannis himself was first connected with the same community when he started his career in Kibrán.

The most interesting part of the story of Zäyohannis’s life is the tradition about his attempts to evangelize the mainland south of the lake. With the unsuccessful attempts of Yafquiränña-Igži to settle

1 ‘Gädlä Zäyohannis’, MS. Kibrán, ff. 3a, 12a, Basset’s text makes him a disciple of Filipos, loc. cit.; together with other traditions it also makes him settle in Kibrán in the reign of Amdä-Siyon, cf. ‘Gädlä Zäyohannis’, ff. 20b–21; Gädlä Täklä-Haymanot, MS. (17th-century), Kibrán, end of the MS. But this contradicts his accepted monastic ordination by Hizkyas, and it seems doubtful that his advent to the island was in Säfyä-Ara’d’s reign (1344–71). Säfyä-Ara’d is in fact the earliest king mentioned in early (15th-century) historical notes at the monastery, ‘The Four Gospels’, MS. Kibrán, ff. 3a, 235b–237.

2 It is interesting that the Gädl does not mention his alleged exile with Filipos given in Basset’s text. His itineraries are uncertain and the usual hagiographical story of the saint’s ‘flight in the clouds’ makes his alleged visit to Tigré, Hamasên and Jerusalem very suspect, ‘Gädlä Zäyohannis’, ff. 13b–14a.

3 Ibid., f. 16a.


5 Ibid., f. 18a.

6 Many of the land grants to Kibrán since the early 15th century clearly show that the Nibrä-Id of Şana still held a position of considerable authority on the community of Kibrán, ‘The Four Gospels’, MS. Kibrán, ff. 3a, 235–237a, 238b–239a.
in the district of Bād before him, this tradition seems to offer another stage in the gradual expansion of the Church in Gojjam. The pagan people 'south of the island' are said to have been under 'the ruler of Agāw' called Jan-Chuhay. Zāyohannis crossed over to the mainland and began preaching in Zāgé and the adjoining districts. When Jan-Chuhay heard of the religious activities of the monk in his territory, he put him in prison, from which he was only freed by the intervention of the officials of the Christian kingdom:

[Jan-Chuhay] ordered his men to arrest him and beat him . . . And Zāyohannis remained a prisoner in Amādamit. Then King Amdā-Šiyon heard [this] and sent many soldiers [to Jan-Chuhay] saying: 'If you do not release this monk, there shall be no peace between you and me.' The king ordered [his messengers] to kill Jan-Chuhay if he refused . . . and they killed him . . . and released Zāyohannis.2

This seems to suggest that local resistance to the new religion was persistent, and the power of the Christian state was once again required to suppress it. As in the other frontier areas, the degree of political control which the Christian kingdom possessed always determined the speed of advance of the Church. In the case of the rich kingdom of Gojjam, the end result of these early efforts was particularly successful. But as we shall soon see, the vital process of the complete integration of Gojjam in the Christian kingdom was further promoted by evangelical activities conducted from other directions, accompanied by considerable Christian settlement from Shāwa and Amhara. We must now turn to the area north and north-east of the lake, where the Fālasha were becoming restive once again, after more than fifty years of apparent calm.

The evangelization of the Fālasha

This period of about fifty years had been one of continuous Christian pressure on the ancient habitat of the Fālasha. During the first half of the fourteenth century this pressure came from Bāgēmdir in the south, and the fertile plains of Dāmbya north of Lake Ṭanā. During the whole of this period, no major traffic seems to have been opened directly between the district of Shiré in Tigré and the lake region.

1 See pp. 191–2.
2 ‘Gādlā Zāyohannis’, ff. 20b–21a. The people are said to have worshipped a serpent which Zāyohannis killed by praying to his God. The conflict with Jan-Chuhay started from this, ff. 19–20. The ruler is elsewhere given as being the king of Gojjam, Žīnkimir, ‘Gādlā Tāklā-Haymanot’, MS. (17th-century), Kibrān, end of MS.
The whole region between Dämbya and Bägémdir in the south, and the Täkázé river in the east and north, was Fälasha country, and a forbidden ground for the Christian movement. The itineraries of Yafqirán-nâ-İgzî, on his way from Tigré to Şana Qîrûqos at the beginning of Amdã-Şiyon’s reign, are not given in his Gâdlî; but it is probable that they followed the ancient route from Tigré to Lalibälä, and then went westwards across the upper course of the river Täkázé to Lake Ṭana, via Bägémdir. This was exactly the route followed by Abba Aron of Däbrä Darét towards the end of the same reign.¹

The energetic campaigns of Amdã-Şiyon pushed this Fälasha frontier considerably northwards into the heart of Wägära, and into the mountain districts to the immediate north of Bägémdir. This increasingly impelled the Fälasha to retire into the inaccessible mountain districts of Şägädé, Şälämít, Simén, and northern Wägära.² An active process of religious expansion followed the conquests of the Christian king. In the early part of Yafqirán-nâ-İgzî’s career in the Lake Ṭana region, the areas immediately to the north and east of the lake were already Christianized.³ Towards the end of the reign of Amdã-Şiyon, the Christian pressure on Fälasha country was increased. Unlike the extensive areas south of Amhara, where all the mission work is attributed to the descendants of Iyãsus-Mo’a, both Tigré and Amhara clerics worked side by side in the Christian mission among the Fälasha. In the reign of Säyfã-Âräd we have references to the intensive activities of Tigré clerics in the area, particularly at the monastic centres of Zazo and Wäyna.⁴ But it was the advent of Abba Gäbrä-İyäsus in Infraz that represented a new factor in the history of monastic development in Ethiopia.

Gäbrä-İyäsus was one of the early disciples of Ėwoštatéwos, and he is said to have accompanied his master to Egypt, the Holy Land, and Cyprus.⁵ Ėwoštatéwos had left Ethiopia soon after the arrival

¹ Gâdlä Aron, pp. 133–6. The traditions about early Aksumite missions to Bägémdir and Amhara point to the same route. In the Zagwé period when Lalibälä was the seat of government this was probably the main route.

² It is interesting that Almeida was told that Dämbya was originally part of Fälasha country, Some Records of Ethiopia, p. 54. It may also be that Wägära in the 14th century extended much more to the south than the present district of that name.

³ Gâdlä Yafqirán-nâ-İgzî, pp. 28, 30. The Kãntiba of Dämbya and his people helped the saint during his self-exile in the island of Gàllila; pp. 66, 80, 94, 108, Fogära, Gämbya, Wido, and Infraz, all on the eastern side of the lake, are mentioned as having Christian communities.


of Abunà Ya’iqob about 1337, and many of his surviving followers returned home on his death in Armenia about 1352. The story of his eventful life belongs to another chapter and will be fully considered later. But the ‘house of Éwoṣṭatéwos’, which he founded in Sära’ê and Hamasén, also played a significant part in the expansion of the church. In Fälasha country the role of his disciple Gäbrä-Iyäsus was very considerable.

Gäbrä-Iyäsus was apparently born in Wag, a frontier district between Tigré and Lasta. He travelled to Tigré, and eventually joined the growing community of Éwasṭatéwos, whom he accompanied on his self-exile abroad. On his departure, Éwasṭatéwos entrusted his community to the leadership of one of his senior disciples, Absadi, who made his centre at Däbrä Maryam of Qohayin. It was probably here that Gäbrä-Iyäsus and his colleagues first stopped on their return from Armenia. They have a tradition that, some time before his death, Éwoṣṭatéwos had assigned them to teach in different places in Ethiopia.

According to this arrangement, Gäbrä-Iyäsus is said to have been allocated the district of Infraz, east of Lake Ṭana. After some unsuccessful attempts to establish himself in Tigré, he moved southwards probably via his district of Wag and Lasta to Infraz. From the story of his activities in this district it is quite clear that Infraz was inhabited by the Fälasha. He established his centre at Däbrä San among them. Of all the traditions we have about the fourteenth-century effort to spread the Christian teaching in this region, the story of Gäbrä-Iyäsus is unique in its explicit mention of direct mission work among ‘the Jews of Ethiopia’: ‘Even the sons of the Jews believed, received baptism and entered into his teaching.’

---

1 See pp. 206–9.
2 His conscientious hagiographer discloses that the original Gädl of Gäbrä-Iyäsus was lost during the Gragn wars. His origin, as well as the rest of the story of his life are based on traditions collected from memory, Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 441.
4 Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 443. The assignments are said to have been given to his ‘twelve disciples’. This arrangement is not mentioned in Gädlä Éwoṣṭatéwos. According to this Gädl many followed him on his travels abroad, but only two are mentioned by name, Tëwodros, p. 97; and Bâkimos, p. 113. Bâkimos later settled at Sārabšt where he ordained Filípos, the famous founder of Däbrä Bizān, Gädlä Filípos, pp. 78–9. Mârqrôwos, who is also believed to have travelled with the master, later established himself at Däbrä Dimah, Gädlä Mârqrôwos, pp. 32–3.
5 Conti Rossini, op. cit., p. 446.
cultural impact of the Christian church on the Fälasha went even deeper, and we have a report in precisely this period that a renegade Christian monk called Qozimos left his monastery in Wäyna, joined the Fälasha community in Simén, and copied the Old Testament for them.¹ Quite apart from the conversion of some of the Fälasha to Christianity, their close contact with the Ethiopian Church began to enrich their own religious legacy, and much of their literary and organizational borrowings may date from the mid-fourteenth century.²

Apart from some territorial gains in Dämbya and in the districts north of Bägémdir, Amdā-Şiyon’s repressive measures against the Fälasha in 1332 did not result in a complete annexation of the Fälasha country. The only important result appears to have been the withdrawal of the centre of Fälasha resistance further north. It was in the district of Simén that a serious rising took place some time in the reign of King Dawit (1380–1412).³ The revolt appears to have been a general reaction to the growing Christian domination, and both secular and monastic leaders were conspicuous among its victims. Qozimos, the renegade monk mentioned above, is particularly mentioned as having led the movement:

[The Fälasha rebels] left, and held their positions against the Kántiba of Dämbya: they fought and they defeated him. [The Kántiba]⁴ made an agreement with them. Then [Qozimos] went out [of Simén], he burned numerous churches, came to the road of Infraz, and killed Abba Qérlos, Abba Yohannis Kärna, and Abba Täns’ä-Mädhin with thirty-six of their disciples. The others who died then were innumerable throughout Infraz, monks and nuns . . . He further killed many chiefs and officials. . . .⁵

The new Fälasha resurgence overpowered the local Christian troops,

¹ Gädldä Yafqirännä-Igzt, p. 56.
² Conti Rossini, ‘Appunti di storia e letteratura Falascia’, pp. 577–8, 584–93. For the striking similarities which the organization of the Fälasha religious institutions displays with that of the Ethiopian Church see Leslau, W., Falasha Anthology (1951), pp. xxi–xxvi, and xxxvi–xliii.
³ The story of this revolt is told in Gädldä Yafqirännä-Igzt (text) pp. 50–8. Conti Rossini also published the extract in his ‘Appunti di storia e letteratura Falascia’, pp. 567–77. From the text, the revolt seems to have taken place not very long after Yafqirännä-Igz’s death in 1376. It is most likely that it belongs to the last years of the 14th century.
⁴ Here Conti Rossini substitutes the pronoun in the Ge’ez text with ‘Kántiba’, and thus suggests that the ruler of Dämbya had co-operated with the Fälasha after his first defeat. This seems to me very arbitrary, and the pronoun clearly refers to Qozimos who is the chief concern of the whole extract.
and King Dawit had to send fresh auxiliaries to them under the command of Akhadom, governor of Tigré. The expedition was successful, and the Fälasha revolt was once again suppressed. There are very clear indications that, after this incident, serious attempts were made to integrate the Fälasha country into the characteristic feudal system of the Christian kingdom. This was particularly the case in the reign of King Yishaq (1413–30). We have seen earlier in the last chapter how a policy of ‘divide and rule’ was successfully directed towards the Wälasma family of Šłat by Amdä-Šiyon and Säyfä-Ara’d. Yishaq pursued an exactly similar policy towards the local chiefs of the Fälasha country.¹

The king appointed a strong Fälasha chief, Bét-Ajir, as governor of Simën and part of Dämbya. Under Bét-Ajir he appointed the chief’s own nephew Bädagosh to serve as a liaison officer with the royal Court. Bädagosh regularly visited the Court and his special responsibility was to ensure that his uncle duly paid the tributes of the king. On one occasion he went to his uncle to remind him to send his tributes. Bét-Ajir refused to obey, and mistreated his unpatriotic nephew. When he was summoned to appear at the king’s Court he declined to come. On this open act of revolt King Yishaq personally led an expedition against him: ‘And the king came and struck his tent in Wädara. Bét-Ajir did not notice his arrival. They made war from morning to evening. After that Bét-Ajir escaped; but the soldiers of the king surrounded him, and cut off his head.’²

After this victory the king generously compensated Bädagosh for his loyalty, and granted him a large fief in Wädära. Friendly groups among the Fälasha chiefs were similarly rewarded for their cooperation during the hostilities.³ Nevertheless, Yishaq fully realized the essentially religious nature of the Fälasha question. For him the only solution to this chronic problem lay in bringing an end to the

³ The 24 elders of Bälław Amba are particularly referred to as having been rewarded by the king for their co-operation against the Fälasha, ‘Tarikä-Nägäst’, loc. cit. ‘The Book of the History of the kings’, MS. Däbrä Şigé, p. 45. Also Basset’s short text reports the same, although he altered it in the translation by changing the word Šar’o to Sä’aro. The spearhead of the ancient Christian [originally Béja] people, the Bälław, had apparently been established in the region of Wääqayit, Conti Rossini, ‘Schizzo etnico e storico delle popolazioni Eritrei’, pp. 76, 84. They probably had old rivalries with the local Fälasha, and may have been willing to help Yishaq against them.
religious difference and in imposing Christianity on the ‘rebelling infidels’. With this in mind he passed a decree from which the Fālasha are sometimes said to have derived their name: ‘“He who is baptized in the Christian religion, may inherit the land of his father; otherwise let him be a Fālast.”’ Since then, the House of Isra’el have been called Fālashoḥh [= exiles]. And the king built many churches in Dāmbya and Wāgāra.’ This seems to have served as another stage for further Christian expansion in Fālasha country. But the ‘Jews of Ethiopia’ continued their hostilities to the Church, and the Fālasha question was still alive to the end of our period.\(^2\)

The establishment of the Church in Gojjam

The reigns of Dawīt and Yishaq were also marked by a similar expansion of the Ethiopian Church in eastern Gojjam. We have seen above the pioneer work of Yafṣirānnā-Igzi and Zāyohannis in the districts immediately south of Lake Ṭana. These activities were conducted among the Agāw population who appear to have constituted the medieval kingdom of Gojjam.\(^3\) It is impossible here to estimate how far inland the power of this kingdom extended; but it seems clear that its centre lay between the lake and the central highlands of Agāw-midir. The earliest attempts at Christian expansion in Gojjam\(^4\) came from the direction of the lake. This is fairly well confirmed by the lack of any acceptable clerical traditions in eastern Gojjam which refer to a period earlier than the reign of Dawīt. The Christian troops of Amdā-Ṣiyon in his early expeditions against Gojjam seem to have never crossed the middle Abbey from the direction of Amhara in the west. They were probably conducted through the Christian district of Bāgēmār to the south-eastern corner of the lake, north of the Nile, which was also probably controlled by ‘the king of Gozim’ at first.\(^5\) It was probably in this region

---


3 ‘Jan-Chuhay, the ruler of Agāw’ is elsewhere mentioned as ‘Zīnkimir, King of Gozam’ in the traditions of Kibran, cf. ‘Gādlā Zāyohannis’, ff. 19-21. Cf. ‘Gādlā Tākli-Haymanot’, MS. Kibran, end of the MS. Elsewhere, the same area south of the lake, and the islands in the southern half of the lake, are said to have been under ‘the king of Gozim’, Gādlā Yafṣirānnā-Igzi, pp. 16, 24, 26.

4 See Ch. IV.

5 See p. 191 n. 2.
on both sides of the Nile that the first hostilities were conducted, leading to the eventual recognition of Amdä-Šiyon as the overlord of the kingdom of Gojjam. The attempts of the Church to extend its influence on the eastern shores of the lake, on the islands of the lake, and further inland to the south of it, followed precisely the same direction. But the Agäw population south of the lake continuously resisted these religious activities. The mountain of Amädamit is the furthest point inland where even hagiographical traditions take Zäyohannis as a prisoner of ‘the ruler of Agäw’. The ‘kings of Gozim’ were probably still pagan at least until the end of the reign of King Dawít. Until the advent of Christian clerics in eastern Gojjam across the Abbey, the missionary activities of the island monasteries could not penetrate the Agäw barrier. This is clear from a close study of the traditions of Däbrä Dîma, Däbrä Šîmmona, and Däbrä Wärq, which have been the principal monastic centres in the area.

1 See p. 196 n. 2. Amädamit is still the name of the high mountain range about 32 miles south of the lake.

2 In a land grant of King Dawít dated July, A.D. 1412, we have ‘M'ata-Gone’ as king of Gozam, 'The Four Gospels', MS. Kibran, f. 3ª. Before him, in the reign of Amdä-Šiyon we have Žankimir and Widim as successive kings of Gojjam. The names of these officials gradually become clearly Semitic, and Christian: Anbäsa Dawít, Chronique de Ba'ede Maryam, ed. and tr. Perruchon, pp. 160–1; Särşä-Maryam and Täklä-Šiyon, both in the reign of Libnä-Dingil, ‘The Four Gospels,’ MS. Kibran, ff. 5ª, 236ª–238. From a comparative study of Agäw dialects Conti Rossini has also concluded that the ‘Semitic’ influence on the Awlya and Damot dialects is most recent, Etiopía e genti d'Etiopia (1937), p. 136.

3 The traditions about Täkästä-Birhan, founder of Dîma, derive his origin from Däbrä Asbo, 'Täkästä-Birhan', paper MS. Dîma, f. 2ª. He is said to have followed Filipos in exile to Tigré whence his master sent him to Gojjam, ‘Gädäa Filipos’, MS. Däbrä Dîma, ff. 35ª, 37ª. Here, his original name is given as Bäkmös which also appears in the text edited by Turaièv, but in quite a different context, Gädäl Filipos, ed. Turaièv, pp. 222–3. Basset's short text mentions one Täkästä-Birhan of Däbrä Šot as Filipos’s fellow exile, Études, p. 10. A place called Šot is also included in the very uncertain itineraries of the founder of Dîma, 'Täkästä-Birhan', ff. 3ª–4ª. He is said to have been still active in the reign of Dawít, and his successor Tomas ruled for 20 years, apparently in both Dawít and Yishaq’s reigns, ibid., ff. 7ª, 16ª–17, ‘Zëna-Mähhiran’, in Qälëmëntos, MS. Dîma, f. 2ª.

4 Abba Sînoda, its founder, was born in Wäj, in Shëwa and studied at the island monastery of Lake Zway. He had already been in Gojjam, and he established Däbrä Šîmmona in the reign of Yishaq, and died on an island of Lake Tâna, where he was exiled for political reasons in the reign of Hibzä-Nagn (1430–33), ‘Gädäa Sînoda’, MS. Dîma, ff. 2–3, 5ª, 17ª, 20–25.

5 The traditions of Däbrä Wärq have been affected most by relatively recent monastic rivalries in the region: and Aksumite foundation is claimed, Cohen, ‘Dabra Wärq’, in Mélanges René Basset, i (1923), p. 150. ‘Gädäa Särşä-Pëters’, f. 13. Its later building in Dawít’s reign by Särşä-Pëters is said to have only been a restoration, ibid., ff. 13–16. Särşä-Pëters is then said to have lived until the reign
The most interesting element in these traditions is that they represent two new directions of Christian activities in Gojjam, from Bägémdir in the north (across the Nile), and from Amhara in the west. Since the reign of King Dawit these communities on the eastern edge of the Gojjam plateau definitely represented the advance points of Christian expansion into the interior. This movement seems to have been considerably promoted by some Christian families beginning to settle in eastern Gojjam. Apart from the monastic leaders themselves,1 we also have some indications that secular Christian families were beginning to settle in the area.2 These early settlements ushered in a vital process which would soon result in the almost complete transformation of eastern Gojjam into a distinctively Christian and Amhara country.3

The settlement of Ýyäsus-Mo‘a at the island church of Däbrä Hayq in 1248 took place at an opportune moment. Immediately followed by the rise of the new dynasty, which had its centres in Amhara and Shâwa, the establishment of the earliest monastic school at Däbrä Hayq provided an essential factor in the process of Christian expansion in the Ethiopian region. Compared with the previous nine centuries of Ethiopian Christendom, the achievements of the new dynasty were particularly remarkable in this respect. At the beginning of our period, during the last quarter of the thirteenth century, the Christians south of Lasta had only occupied a long and very narrow crescent running from the south-eastern corner of Bägémdir to the

of Bä‘ídä-Maryam (1468–78), f. 28b. In his monastic genealogy he is also made at least three generations younger than Abba Sinoda of Däbrä Šimmona, ‘Tarikä-Mänäkosat’, in Compendium of Homilies, MS. Däbrä Wärq, ff. 1b–2a.

1 For Täkästäd-Birhan and Sinoda we have traditions of their Šāwan origin. Säršä-Pëtrös is said to have been born from a Christian family in Inâbés a district in Gojjam north of Däbrä Wärq and his monastic ancestry is traced back to Gädlä-Ýyäsus of Infraz in Bägémdir, ‘Gädlä Säršä-Pëtrös’, ff. 5b, 18b, ‘Tärika-Mänäkosat’, loc. cit. He is also associated, however, with a certain community of Miskabä-Qidusan in Amhara, ‘Gädlä Säršä-Pëtrös’, f. 32b. He died in Shâwa, ibid., ff. 61–3; cf. also ‘Mäzgäbä-Gädam’, MS. Däbrä Wärq, see under his name. The Abbot I met insisted that Säršä-Pëtrös’s parents were Christian settlers from Mänz in Shâwa.

2 Gädldä Täklä-Alfa, ed. and tr. E. Cerulli (1943), p. 10 (text). The saint’s father was originally from Shâwa, and the family settled at Wässän Amba in Gojjam in Yishaq’s reign.

3 The process was already complete in the beginning of the 16th century. It probably started with the conversion of the ‘Nägäsé-Gozim’, and the close association of his family with the central Christian Court afterwards. This is fairly clear from the reign of Zära-Ya‘iqob onwards; cf. Alvarez, op. cit., pp. 425–8, 458–9. See also p. 202 n. 2.
upper waters of the Mugär, along the high ridge forming the watershed of the Nile and Awash basins. At its Shäwan end, the crescent was much broken by intervening pagan communities. Täklä-
Haymanot's career helped fill up these gaps in the central Shäwan plateau, and with the reign of Amdä-Şiyon this crescent became elongated at its two ends, spreading into yet wider areas which had previously been non-Christian. The achievements of his immediate successors lay in widening this narrow Christian corridor, extending to the heart of Fälasha country in the north-west, and medieval Damot in the south-west. The Church played a significant role in the development, and by the beginning of the fifteenth century the central part of the kingdom, south of the provinces of Tigré and Lasta, had grown into a circle consisting of Shäwa, Amhara, and eastern Gojjam. The political boundaries of the kingdom extended much further than the frontiers of the Church. This reflected both the relative strength of the non-Christian communities, and the internal weakness of the Ethiopian Church. Zära-Ya’iqob's religious and administrative reforms were particularly aimed at solving these important problems.
CHAPTER VI

Zär’a-Ya’iqob, and the Growth of Religious Nationalism

(1380–1477)

The descendants of Yikunno-Amlak, until the last quarter of the fourteenth century, were primarily engaged in the establishment of the dynasty, and the expansion of the kingdom. This afforded them little time for serious thought on the internal organization of the church and its relations with the monarchy. In the meantime, monasticism underwent a rapid development which found ample expression in the evangelization of the Ethiopian region, which has been considered in the last chapter, and in the reform movements which were particularly active in the reigns of Amdä-Şiyon (1314–44) and Säyfä-Ar’ad (1344–71).1 Another outcome of this development was the emergence of strong monastic groups of which the ‘house’ of Éwoṣṭatéwos is of great significance to the theme of this chapter.

Éwoṣṭatéwos

The traditions about the origins of Éwoṣṭatéwos (c. 1273–1352)2 are not very clear, but he is said to have been a nephew of Abba Daniél of Gär’alta, under whom he later studied.3 He was professed monk by his uncle, probably in the community of Gär’alta. He apparently left this community soon afterwards and established his own in Sära’é.4 There, he was joined by many students5 and taught vigorously

1 See pp. 114–18.
2 Gādlā Éwoṣṭatéwos has been edited by B. Turaiev in his collection Monumenta Aethiopiae Hagiology, fasc. iii (Petropoli, 1905). A Latin translation is available in CSCO, Script. Aeth., series altera, vol. 21 (1906), pp. 1–97. My references below are to the edited text.
3 Ibid., p. 7. Here Daniél is only mentioned by name and the place where he had his community is not stated. In the spiritual genealogies, however, he is consistently referred to as Daniél of the community of St. Mary in Gär’alta, cf. Gādlā Filipos (Bizân), ed. and tr. Conti Rossini, p. 156 (table).
4 ‘And then he went out to preach . . .’, Gādlā Éwoṣṭatéwos, p. 26. Following this, the centre of his monastic activities is said to have been in Sära’é, pp. 35, 38–41, 58, 62–3. Gādlā Filipos (Bizân), pp. 71–3.
5 Of these the principals were Absadi, Bākimos, and Mārqréwos, ibid., pp. 78,
until the arrival of Abunā Ya‘iqob whom he met on his way to the
king’s Court in about 1337. Soon after this, Ėwosṭatéwos left the
country, visited Cairo where he met Patriarch Benjamin (1327–39),
and passed via the Holy Land to Cyprus and Armenia, where he died
fourteen years later.¹

It is quite clear from the traditions about his career that Ėwos-
ṭatéwos left the country as a result of religious controversies in
Ethiopia. Immediately before his departure, there was an attempt on
his life, organized by a rival group of the clergy in Sāra‘é.² At the
court of the patriarch in Cairo, he was accused by his fellow Ethiopian
pilgrims of refusing to communicate with them and of observing
the Sabbath as well as Sunday.³ The question of the Sabbath was
central in the conflict, and Ėwosṭatéwos admitted the charge. He
defended his position by resorting to the Ten Commandments and
to the Apostolic Canons.⁴ He bitterly complained to the patriarch,
who apparently asked him to be reconciled with his countrymen:

I came to your country . . . so that I may die for the word of God, for I
have found no rest in this World. In Ethiopia they said to me, ‘Break the
Sabbath and the [other] rest Days like us’ and I refused. And here you say
to me ‘Be one with us in prayer’ while you do not observe the rest Days.⁵

His reception in Egypt was clearly unfavourable, and he passed on
to Jerusalem, apparently suffering some acts of persecution on the
way.⁶

When he left Ethiopia, Ėwosṭatéwos was accompanied by some of
his followers, but he entrusted the leadership of the rest of the com-
community to his senior disciple, Abba Absadî.⁷ However, his absence

84, 88; Gādlī Ėwosṭatéwos, pp. 31, 68, 113. Gābrā-Īyāsus came from Lasta or
Tigré, and joined him, Conti Rossini, ‘Note di agiografia etiopica’, in RSO, xvi
(1938), pp. 441–2.
¹ Gādlī Ėwosṭatéwos, pp. 79–129. The duration of his stay abroad is given in
He must have visited Cairo between 1337, the date of Ya‘iqob’s arrival in Ethiopia,
and 1339, the date of the end of Patriarch Benjamin’s reign. He was still alive
when Amdā-Šiyon died in 1344, Gādlī Ėwosṭatéwos, p. 108. It seems, therefore,
that he died in about 1352. Another tradition states that he died at 79.
² Ibid., p. 57. ³ Ibid., p. 89.
vol. iii (1942), pp. 44–7.
⁵ Gādlī Ėwosṭatéwos, p. 91.
⁶ At the monastery of Scete, where Ėwosṭatéwos and his followers had called
on their way to Jerusalem, he is said to have been put in fetters and ‘(these) cruel
people opposed him for the Law and Commandments (of God)’, ibid., p. 96.
⁷ Ibid., pp. 73–6. Conti Rossini, ‘Un santo eretico: Buruk-‘Amlak’, in RRAL
greatly weakened the community and, on the eve of his departure, some of his followers are said to have already defected from him.\(^1\) Absadī had a difficult task in keeping the small group intact, and only on the return of some of Ėwostatéwos's companions from abroad did his efforts begin to bear fruit. Bákīmos,\(^2\) Märqoréwos,\(^3\) and Gābrā-Īyāsus\(^4\) went with their master to Armenia and returned home only after his death. An Armenian monk who had joined Ėwostatéwos abroad came with them and became an active member of the community in Ethiopia.\(^5\) According to their hagiographical traditions, all the followers of Ėwostatéwos soon gathered around Absadī and established the community of Dābrā Maryam in Qohayīn.\(^6\) Other communities were also founded by the leading members, and a chain of monastic groups, collectively known as the ‘Seven Disciples of Ėwostatéwos’, was soon established.\(^7\) Apart from that of Gābrā-Īyāsus, who proceeded to Infraz in Bāġemdir,\(^8\) two of the communities were in northern Tigré and the remaining four in Sāra'ē and Hamasēn. Thus, the geographical distribution of the ‘house’ of Ėwostatéwos was more heavily represented in the northern provinces of the kingdom. This regional character of the movement gave a greater homogeneity to the ‘house’ of Ėwostatéwos, and for the same reason too, the kings were more deeply concerned about it. A recent tradition about Ėwostatéwos and Anoréwos of Shāwa puts this in good relief. The two monastic leaders, we are told, once met at the royal Court of King Amdā-Şiyon. They began to discuss the question of the Sabbath in violent terms, and this was reported to Amdā-Şiyon. The king summoned his fellow Shāwan, Anoréwos, to his presence and had him flogged because ‘you will divide my kingdom by your religious disputes’.\(^9\)


\(^1\) Gādlā Ėwostatéwos, p. 69.
\(^2\) Ibid., p. 113, Gādlā Filipos (Bīzān), p. 70.
\(^3\) Gādlā Ėwostatéwos, p. 68, Gādlā Märqoréwos, ed. and tr. Conti Rossini, pp. 32–3 (text).
\(^5\) Gādlā Ėwostatéwos, pp. 112–13. Another member of the community, Buruk-Amlak, is also given a foreign origin, Conti Rossini, ‘Un santo eritreo’, pp. 10, 22.
\(^6\) Gādlā Ėwostatéwos, pp. 116–17, 136.
\(^7\) Conti Rossini, op. cit., pp. 1–3.
\(^8\) See pp. 198–9.
\(^9\) Māll'akā-Birhan Ṣigē, ‘Māshafā-Ṭarīk’, MS. B. 30, National Library, Addis
It is probable that the controversy over the Sabbath in the Ethiopian Church was much older than the fourteenth century. In a report on Ethiopia, Bishop Sawiros once requested Patriarch Cyril II (1077–92) to write to the Ethiopians ‘forbidding them to observe the customs of the Old Testament’. Apart from the customs of circumcision and marriage, the bishop may also have had the Sabbath in mind. However, the early position of the Alexandrian Church itself is not very clear on this point, and the Sabbath seems to be held with a great deal of honour in its Coptic and Arabic literature. But in Ibn al-Assal’s Collection of Canons compiled in 1238 the observance of the Sabbath is clearly rejected as a Jewish custom. At least from this period onwards, it is quite evident that the Egyptian bishops were determined to impose the official Alexandrian line on the Ethiopians.

The ‘house’ of Ēwostatēwos.

Ēwostatēwos taught against the Alexandrian position on the Sabbath. It seems that at first he could gather little active support for his cause, and he left the country in self-exile. When his disciples returned fourteen years later, they apparently came with even stronger views on the subject, and with new ideas of better organization. During Ababa, pp. 45–6. Cf. also ‘Tarikā-Nāgāst’, MS. Dābrā Marqos, ff. 29b–31a. Another tradition, which also appears to be of recent formulation, reports that Ēwostatēwos had visited Shāwa and met Amdā-Ṣiyon there, G. Ellero, ‘Note sull’Enderta’, p. 166. Another only takes him to Lasta, Gāddā Mārgorēwos, p. 21. Cf. Conti Rossini, ‘Il libro delle leggende’, p. 709.


2 As early as the reign of Patriarch Yosēf (830–49) there is a reference to Ethiopian insistence on circumcision. In an attempt to explain St. Paul’s point on circumcision (1 Corinth. 7: 8) Bishop Yohannis apparently created local suspicions about himself and was forced to leave the country for some time. On his return to Ethiopia after some negotiations, trouble started once again and a petition was submitted to the king that the bishop should be circumcised: ‘for all the inhabitants of the country are circumcised except him’. When he was examined later, it was found that he was properly circumcised, Sawirus, History of the Patriarchs, in PO x (1915), pp. 508–11. At a Council held in 1238, Patriarch Cyril III ruled that ‘customs established in Coptic churches shall not be changed, such as circumcision before baptism’, Khs-Burmester, O. H. E., ‘The Canons of Cyril III ibn Laklak’, pp. 106–7. Maqrizi also reports that ‘Les Coptes, contrairement aux autres Chrétiens, ont l’usage de la circoncision’, Les Fêtes des Coptes, ed. and tr. Griveau, R., in PO x (1915), p. 325. Wansleb, L’Histoire de l’Église d’Alexandrie (Paris 1677), pp. 78–9.


their sojourn in the Levant they probably had much access to the literature of the early Christian Church, and they may have brought their own copies of religious books back with them. The traditions of great love for books which we have about the leading members of the movement probably arise from this.\(^1\) An active literary development appears to have taken place among them during this period,\(^2\) and not only did it strengthen their own position but also seems to have served in time as a decisive landmark in the cultural renaissance of the whole of the Ethiopian Church. The memory of the exile and death of Éwostatéwos gave his followers a strong sense of unity, and they continued to defend his position on the Sabbath, for which they were excommunicated:

The disciples of Ma’iqäba-Igzi observed the Sabbaths, Saturday and Sunday; but they did not enter in the residence of the king nor in the house of the metropolitans. And they did not receive the Holy Orders because the observance of the Sabbath was not in force in the kingdom, and the Sabbath was abolished in the realms of the patriarchs [of Alexandria]. They considered it just like the other five working days [of the week]. They also considered all those who observed the Sabbath as Jews, they excommunicated them, and did not give them permission to enter the churches.\(^3\)

The opponents of Éwostatéwos among the local clergy actively persecuted his followers and expelled them from the settled areas. The difficulties which they had to face in this early period are evident from their traditions. On one occasion, the first companions of Éwostatéwos, Absadî and Mærqoréwos. are said to have met at a place called Midrâ-Goda’i and to have prayed together for God’s guidance about whither to proceed. On another occasion Filipos (c. 1323–1406), who later founded Dâbrâ Bizân, accompanied Absadi on a visit to his aged father, Tomas, who advised them to go

---

\(^1\) Conti Rossini, ‘Un santo eritreo: Buruk-Amlak’, p. 7 n. 1.

\(^2\) Gâdli Filipos (Bizân), p. 104. They co-operated among themselves to bring this about, and there seems to have developed a healthy sense of competition among the leaders. According to a tradition preserved here, Absadi once copied Anqâsä-Birhan for Filipos. The latter wanted more and requested Absadi to copy for him another work, Bihérä-Yohannis. When Absadi hesitated to do it some hard feeling was created between the two. Another tradition has it that Filipos had all the Books of the Old Testament copied in 1378/9, Kolmodin, Traditions, A23.

\(^3\) Zâr’a-Ya’iqob, Mashaflâ Birhan, ii (tr.), cd. and tr. Conti Rossini and L. Ricci, in CSCO, vol. 262, Script. Aeth., t. 52, p. 82. Ma’iqäba-Igzi was the name of Éwostatéwos before his ordination as monk by his uncle Daniêl, Gâdli Éwostatéwos, pp. 6, 23.
The monastery of Däbrä-Bizän on the edge of the Hamasén plateau overlooking the Sea of Értra. It was founded by Abba Filipos in A.D. 1399/1, and continued to be a strong centre of the House of Éregmatiwm.
in different directions and to start, each of them, a community of his own. It is apparent that, in their search for suitable places, they were forced to withdraw into frontier areas. This seems to have determined the location of the first major communities established by the followers of Ŭwostatăwos: Däbrä Maryam and Däbrä Dimah in Qohayîn and Dämbālas respectively, Däbrä Bizâñ on the eastern edge of the Hamasên plateau, and Gâbrä-İyäus's community of Däbrä San among the Fàlasha in Infraz. Once established in these peripheral areas, however, they soon evolved a meticulous organization of their own in complete defiance of the rest of the Ethiopian Church.

Zâr'a-Yâiqob provides us with an excellent contemporary description of their curious organization. They consisted of three major communities, namely Däbrä Maryam, Däbrä Bizân and Dâqî Yîta. Each of these had a number of smaller monasteries and convents under its supervision and the total number of their inmates was considerable. Since all the members of the ‘house’ of Ŭwostâtäwos were debarred from receiving Holy Orders at the hands of the Egyptian bishop, these communities lived in an almost complete

---

1 Gûdlâ Filîpos, p. 88.
2 In about the same period as the establishment of these two communities, these frontier areas were being gradually occupied by the Christian tribes of Adkämä-Mâlga, Conti Rossini ‘Studi su Popolazioni dell’Etiopia’, pp. 89, 92 (extract). The itineraries of Filipos before his settlement at Bizân also indicate that the disciples of Ŭwostâtäwos were forced to wander in distant forests and uninhabited areas. Only a little to the west of the present site of Däbrä Maryam, which Conti Rossini calls ‘il selvaggio distretto di Cohain’, Filipos is said to have once visited Dubânè, described as ‘... a barren land ... where there are no people, no food or water, and no cattle are seen in it’, Gûdlâ Filîpos, p. 81. Filipos was met by a hostile group of people there, and Conti Rossini identifies these with the Kunama, ibîd., p. 154, note on f. 14r. Cf. also p. 157, note on f. 17.
3 In the traditions about him he is said to have first established himself at a site in Tigré, where he had a revelation that the site was not assigned for him and that he should travel to Infraz, Conti Rossini, ‘Note di agiografi aetiopica Gabra-Ijesus’, p. 444. This is probably an allusion to a hostile reception in Tigré.
5 Cf. Liber Aksumae, p. 26 (text).
6 Zâr'a-Yâiqob gives a short statistical survey: Däbrä Maryam consisted of 81 monasteries and 23 convents; Däbrä Bizân had 8 monasteries and 3 convents with a total number of 1,116 nuns; and Dâqî Yîta, 6 monasteries, op. cit., p. 84.
7 No traditions of such ordinations are given about Filipos and his successor.
independence from the rest of the Church. However, they apparently needed a small number of ordained priests, whom they admitted into their group only after giving them penance for having been members of other communities. These priests were mainly required to celebrate mass for the group. In all other matters, however, each of the communities was headed by a lay brother with absolute powers over the religious conduct of the members. Despite his lack of sacerdotal powers, he fully exercised the authority of an ordinary abbot. He conferred the monastic habit on the novices in the community; confessions had to be individually reported to him and he fixed all penances given by the priests. Dābrā Bīzān also had additional rules of its own for its dependent convents. The head of this community appointed a Mother Superior for each convent and invested her with full powers, almost similar to his own. She had powers of conferring the monastic habit on the novices in her convent and confessions were made through her. She was in regular communication with the head of Dābrā Bīzān through a lay brother, who reported all the confessions back to his master, and penances were fixed by the latter as in the case of the dependent monasteries.

This structure of the organization of the followers of Ŭwoštätéwos had already taken shape towards the end of the fourteenth century. Despite the official excommunication pronounced against them, they had already obtained a firm footing in the outlying districts, where the direct influence of the Church was minimal. Just like any other monastic group, they had gradually acquired much prestige among the local people. They probably got an increasing number of recruits from among the Christians in the area, especially from the poor and orphaned. They may also have gained some converts from among their pagan neighbours. It seems quite clear, however, that in the last decade of the fourteenth century their influence in what is today the heart of the Eritrean plateau had already been securely established along a widening crescent between Dābrā Maryam of Qohayn, Dābrā Mārqoréwos of Dāmbālas, Imba Dārḥo, and Bīzān.

Yohannis, whose Gādlī have been published by Conti Rossini. Zār'a-Ya’iqob simply refers to the head of Dābrā Bīzān as a lay brother, ibid., p. 84.

1 Gādlī Filipos, pp. 91–3.
2 Such conversions are claimed among the pagan Barya pastoralists, ibid., pp. 107–8, 162, note on f. 43f.
3 One of the earliest sites, remembered as having been the place where Filipos was welcomed by the local Christians on his way to Bīzān, is Gārami in Hamasēn, which Conti Rossini identifies as being a little north of Imba Dārḥo, ibid., pp. 91, 158. Another community established by a contemporary of Filipos,
The anti-Sabbath party within the Ethiopian Church was greatly alarmed by this rapid success. As the champion of the official Alexandrian doctrine on the Sabbath, this party had the full support of the kings, and the great majority of the monasteries belonged to it. Moreover the Egyptian bishop himself personally led the onslaught against the followers of Œwostatéwos. Indeed, it was not a mere accident that the greatest advance of the fortunes of the ‘house’ of Œwostatéwos coincided with the vacancy of the episcopal seat for a period of ten years.

Abuná Sálama (1348–88)1 was not succeeded until 1398/9, when Bärtáloméwos came as the new metropolitan of Ethiopia.2 The defiance of the followers of Œwostatéwos was clearly the most important issue in the Ethiopian Church at the time, and the first public act attributed to the new bishop is his energetic attempt to bring an end to the problem. The anti-Sabbath faction had no doubt awaited his arrival with much eagerness, and they provided him with up-to-date reports on the growing influence of the followers of Œwostatéwos in northern Ethiopia. The dangerous implications of this for the unity of his diocese were clearly understood by Bishop Bärtáloméwos, and he asked for the help of King Dawít (1380–1412) in bringing the recalcitrant ‘house’ of Œwostatéwos back to strict Alexandrian discipline. In 1400,3 not long after the arrival of the bishop, Dawít sent messengers to fetch the leading figures of the Œwostatéwos party:

There came to us men with handsome looks, and they are finel dressed. We have never seen their like since we ascended this Mountain . . . They said to us: ‘We have a message from the king and the bishop.’ They look strange and their language is incomprehensible.4

These were the king’s messengers to Däbrä Bizän, and some of them were probably Amhara courtiers whose language the Hamasén monks

Abba Matyas, was at Shimagillé, also identified by Conti Rossini as being a small village a little north of Asmara, ibid., pp. 120, 163.


2 He seems to have ruled as bishop of Ethiopia until after 1431. For these dates see ibid., notes 88, 92, and 101.

3 The year given is 52 Year of Grace = A.D. 1399/1400, but Filipos is said to have gone to Amhara in April, so that 1400 is preferable, Gâdlâ Filipos, p. 117. Kolmodin, op. cit., A23.

4 Gâdlâ Filipos, p. 111.
could not easily understand. Their orders were to summon Abba Filipos for a theological discussion on the Sabbath. Similar envoys were apparently sent to all the Ṣewoštäthian communities as well, and a number of other ‘Disciples of Abunä Ma’iqaba-Igzi accompanied Filipos to the metropolitan’s court in Amhara’.¹

King Dawit was evidently anxious to avoid a direct personal involvement in the matter, and fully authorized the metropolitan to deal with Filipos and his fellow Ṣewoštäthians: ‘Punish him [i.e. Filipos] as you see fit, until he accepts [your instructions].’² The bishop as well as his principal courtiers were the declared opponents of the ‘house’ of Ṣewoštätëwos, and the outcome of the religious discussion was a foregone conclusion. Nevertheless, Filipos and his colleagues courageously defended the Sabbath at the Assembly in much the same way as Ṣewoštätëwos had done at the court of Patriarch Benjamin over half a century earlier.³ They persistently refused to accept the bishop’s ruling that they should cease the observance of the Sabbath, and they were all put in fetters at his orders. They were told that they would be freed only when they changed their views. The anti-Ṣewoštäthian party among the clergy in Amhara was led by the powerful Aqabë-Sä’at Säräqä-Birhan of the island monastery of Hayq.⁴ He was a great friend of King Dawit and he used all his influence at the Court to support Bishop Bärtälomëwos against Filipos and his followers. When the Ṣewoštäthians finally refused to accept the orders of the bishop, Säräqä-Birhan detained their

¹ Kolmodin, loc. cit. Their number is here given as having been 12, besides Filipos. His own hagiographer raises the number to 120, Gädällä Filipos, p. 112. The names in Kolmodin’s list are exactly similar to those of ‘the twelve teachers of Ethiopia’ who are elsewhere said to have called at Bizän in search of Filipos’s instructions and spiritual guidance, ibid., p. 107. It is clearly a case of internal rivalry among the Ṣewoštäthians for primacy. It is apparent that the early companions of Ṣewoštätëwos had died by this time, and only the second generation of Ṣewoštäthian leaders are represented in this tradition. Conti Rossini has, however, estimated that Absādī died in c. A.D. 1405, and Mäqrōwëwos in c. 1419, ibid., p. 157, note on f. 17. Both dates seem to be too late. This is certainly so in the case of Mäqrōwëwos who, according to his very late (17th-century) hagiographer(s), was already 33 years old in 1318, and died in the seventh year of the reign of Yishaq, in 1419, Gädällä Mäqrōwëwos, pp. 20–1, 27, 34–5, 37, 39, 47 (text). Absādī was also represented at the Council of 1399/1400 by Tawāldä-Mädhin of Däbrä Maryam, most probably a reference to the same monk remembered elsewhere as his disciple, Conti Rossini, ‘Un santo eretico: Buruk Amlak’, pp. 37–8.

² See Gädällä Filipos, pp. 112–14.

³ The whole story of this Assembly is related in Gädällä Filipos, pp. 111–20.

leader, Filipos of Bizän, and kept him under close guard on the island of Hayq.¹

Filipos was detained in Amhara for a period of four years. His hagiographer complains of some defection among the followers of Éwostatéwos in the period, and Matyas of Shimagillé and Zákaryas of Bârbârê are specially mentioned in this connection.² It is apparent that, from the start, there had been no complete unanimity among the Éwostâthian leaders. And, faced with the hardships of detention in Amhara, some of them probably took the earliest opportunity to have their excommunication lifted, and to live on peaceful terms with the metropolitan. This apparently created a lasting feud particularly among the descendants of Filipos of Dâbrâ Bizän and Matyas of Shimagillé.³ But on the whole, the number of defections was very insignificant, and the great majority of his colleagues followed Filipos in holding fast to the teachings of Éwostatéwos. In fact, Filipos’s sojourn in Amhara opened a new period for the ‘house’ of Éwostatéwos, and it seems to have won many more allies among the powerful attendants and influential clergy of the royal Court. The Egyptian bishop, on the other hand, lost his most loyal supporter among the Ethiopian hierarchy at the death of Aqabé-Sâ’at Sârâqâ-Birhan of Dâbrâ Hayq in about 1403. Immediately afterwards, orders came to the island monastery of Dâbrâ Hayq for the release of Filipos.⁴ The orders presumably came from the royal Court, and it is unlikely that they had the approval of the bishop. It is apparent that Filipos’s old age⁵ and his unswerving leadership had won much respect and admiration in Amhara, and the pro-Éwostatéwos lobby at the royal Court had been growing fast. In 1403, the Christian army had been victorious in Adal, killed the Muslim king Sâ‘adâdîn and sacked the distant port of Zeila.⁶ The public rejoicings that followed

¹ Gâdlâ Filipos (Bizän), ed. and tr. Conti Rossini, p. 118.
² Ibid., pp. 112, 117. Éwostatéwos is said to have had a disciple called Matéwos of Dâbrâ Bârbârê, and Zákaryas was probably his pupil. The master himself is said to have stayed at Bârbârê for seven years.
³ On his way back from Amhara Filipos met Matyas at Sâhârt. It was an unhappy encounter, and Filipos is said to have solemnly pronounced a curse on Matyas, whose descendants continued to be under its spell ever after, ibid., p. 120.
⁴ Filipos was released in 56 Year of Grace = 1403/4, Kolmodin, op. cit., A23. This happened shortly after Sârâqâ-Birhan’s death, Gâdlâ Filipos, pp. 118–19.
⁵ He died in 1406, at 83. Kolmodin, op. cit., A24, A31 n. 2. This takes his birth to about 1323 which tallies with the tradition that he was born in the reign of ‘our king Amdâ-Şîyon, son of Widim-Râ’ad’, and before Éwostatéwos’s self-exile, Gâdlâ Filipos, pp. 69, 73.
⁶ See p. 153.
no doubt assumed a highly nationalistic and anti-Muslim character, and very little attention was probably given to the wishes of the Egyptian bishop. The presence at Court of military leaders from Sāra'é and Hamasén may have also increased the pressure for the release of Filipos.1 His hagiographer reports that, at the time of his release, both King Dawít and one of the queens, Igzí-Kibra, sent messages of good will to Filipos, entrusting themselves to his prayers.2 Zāra'-Ya’iqob substantially confirms this sudden change of heart in favour of the ‘house’ of Éwoštátéwos:

My father Dawít king of Ethiopia ... sent messengers so that they might bring back the disciples of Ma’iqābā-Igzi from the areas where they had dispersed, and so that they enable them to re-enter their churches. The king’s messengers did this, and the disciples of Ma’iqābā-Igzi returned to their [former] places. The king further commanded the disciples of Ma’iqābā-Igzi to observe both Sabbaths as the Apostles had prescribed in the Sinodos.3

It is only natural that Zār’a-Ya’iqob should attribute his father’s action to a mere feeling of sympathy towards the followers of Éwoštátéwos—dispersed everywhere in exile, dying of hunger and thirst, and unjustly persecuted solely for the cause of observing the Sabbath. It is, however, quite clear that Dawít’s change of policy towards the ‘house’ of Éwoštátéwos was only a result of their strengthening political and religious influence in the kingdom. The political moves of Dawít at the time were in fact highly characteristic of the relations between the monarch in Ethiopia and the Egyptian bishops on all similar occasions. At the beginning of the controversy, the king did everything to facilitate the public trial and discipline of Filipos and his colleagues at the court of the metropolitan. At the same time, however, he systematically avoided a direct involvement in the proceedings, which he left entirely in the hands of the Egyptian prelate. When the Éwoštathians continued to be unrepentant and local pressures for their freedom became considerable, the king made political capital out of the situation and personally intervened with an act of clemency towards Filipos and his followers. He permitted them to observe the Sabbath as they insisted, and decreed that they should not be persecuted for this any longer. They were now free to reoccupy their previous churches and monasteries, and to establish other communities wherever they could. But he maintained, at

---

1 Gādli Filipos, p. 65.  
2 Ibid. p. 118.  
3 Māšaḥafā Birhan, ii, p. 82.
the same time, that both at the royal Court and in all the non-
Éwostathian churches the official Alexandrian position on the
Sabbath should be observed, and that the leadership of the Egyptian
bishop should be strictly followed. In doing this, King Dawît was
clearly anxious to please both parties; but his timid approach to the
problem did not bring about a permanent solution. Neither the
diehards among the Éwostathians, nor the anti-Sabbath party, were
fully satisfied by his half-measure. The most drastic effect of his
intervention was only to undermine the prestige of Bishop Bârtâ-
loméwos, and to weaken the position of his ardent supporters who
were completely loyal to Alexandria.

The ‘house’ of Éwostatéwos emerged from the struggle with
tremendous success. Its status was suddenly transformed from one of
an actively persecuted minority sect into that of a respectable school.
It was not only tolerated, but also fully protected by a special royal
decree. Towards the end of the reign of King Dawît, the Éwos-
tathians seem to have acquired complete freedom of movement
throughout the kingdom. This provided them with much scope for
expansion, and their traditions attribute much of their internal
development to this period. Even the distant community of Dâbrâ
San among the Fâlasha, which had been virtually isolated from
northern Ethiopia during the conflict, seems to have felt the impact of
Dawît’s decree in 1404. Gâbrâ-Îyäsus, its founder, is said to have
sent out some of his followers to establish themselves further south.¹

A similar expansion of Éwostathian communities is also apparent in
northern Ethiopia. On his way back from his detention in Amhara,
Filipos is said to have been welcomed and to have been invited to

¹ From among his disciples, three are remembered in this tradition: Matéwos
succeeded him as abbot of Dâbrâ San; Fiqitor went out to Gâdamâ Baras to live
in solitude; and Indryas was ordered by his dying master to move out to a new
area and start his own community, Conti Rossini, ‘Note di agiografia etiopica:
Gabra Iyesus’, p. 450. The MS. used by Conti Rossini probably did not mention
the name of the area where Indryas was recommended to go and his translation
only has ‘Vai verso un paese nuovo’. In more recent MSS. the place is given as
the land of Niway (= Ziway?), Or. 705, B.M., f. 154; and Ziway, ‘Sinkasar’, MS.
Dâbrâ Wârìq, Hamlé 20. This specific identification with Ziway appears to be
suspect, and seems to derive from the attempts in Dâbrâ Wârìq traditions to
associate the famous Abba Sinoda of Dâbrâ Simmona (E. Gojam) with Indryas,
disciple of Gâbrâ-Îyäsus. In his own Gâdlì, Sinoda is said to have been a disciple of
Indryas of Ziway, but there is no mention of any connections with Dâbrâ San at
all, ‘Gâdlì Sinoda’, MS. Dîma, f. 3a. Dâbrâ Wârìq itself derives its origin from a
fourth-generation descendant of Gâbrâ-Îyäsus of Dâbrâ San, ‘Gâdlì Sârslâ-
establish new churches. As a result, a chain of small communities seems to have started in the Eritrean region, along the main road from Amhara to Bizân.\(^1\) It has been said above that even before the advent of Abunä Bártáloméwos, the Êwoštathians had been firmly established along the western edge of the Eritrean plateau. Soon after 1404, a number of communities were newly established on the eastern side as well, and the whole Eritrean region became, once and for all, an exclusive domain of the ‘house’ of Êwoštátéwos.

The final success of the Êwoštathians in their conflict with the Egyptian bishop had far-reaching consequences in the whole of the Ethiopian Church. For themselves, their most important achievement lay in their acquisition of complete freedom to observe the Sabbath. But there was no way to ensure that the royal decree protecting the custom only applied to them. Thus, although Dawit clearly intended the decree to cover only the ‘house’ of Êwoštátéwos, his official toleration of the Sabbath was highly subversive of the basic Alexandrian objection to the ‘customs of the Old Testament’, which the Egyptian bishops claimed were preserved in the Ethiopian Church. As an essential part of the Christian tradition, the Old Testament had always had a great influence on the thinking and religious practice of the Ethiopians. In the absence of much literary development among them in the early Christian centuries, only the Bible provided the Ethiopians with the necessary guide-lines, and from it they drew not only their religion, but also much of their cultural and political inspiration. As regards the Sabbath in particular, both the Apostolic canons,\(^2\) and the monastic rules of St. Paconius\(^3\) concurred in giving it a special place beside the Lord’s Day.\(^4\) This had apparently led to the observance of the Sabbath being an important monastic practice

---

\(^1\) Mätara and Êgäla are specially mentioned as the sites where new communities were established. Both places are in what is today the district of Akâla-Guzay, Gâdlâ Filipos, p. 120.


\(^3\) Ibid., pp. 92, 95–111. For the early introduction of these rules into Ethiopian literature see Cerulli, E., Storia della letterature etiopica, pp. 25–6.

in Ethiopia. The Egyptian bishops always campaigned against it, and already at the beginning of the fourteenth century they had succeeded in obtaining the support of the kings, and the obedience of most of their congregations. It is quite clear that, when he started his career, Œwostatéwos was only leading a minority group in active protest against what he considered to be the Alexandrian ‘innovation’. By then, the great majority of the Ethiopian monasteries—particularly the royal churches and the newly established communities in Amhara and Sháwa—had been brought into line with the Alexandrian ban on the Sabbath. It is apparent, however, that much of this religious conformity was only due to fears of excommunication and to the kings’ full support of the Egyptian bishop. But when, in 1404, King Dawít finally decided to give protection to the Œwostathians he dealt a serious blow to the power of the Egyptian bishop in Ethiopia.

Abuná Bártalóméwos and his successors never recovered from this momentous setback in their position as heads of the Ethiopian Church. Dawít’s decree in favour of the ‘house’ of Œwostatéwos was, in effect, also an official encouragement of other dissenters. It is further apparent that the controversy over the Sabbath was only symptomatic of the divergencies in religious practice that had developed between the Alexandrian and the Ethiopian Churches over the centuries. The literary development which the Ethiopian Church had been undergoing since the thirteenth century² had provided the Ethiopians with fresh means of self-expression through which they were beginning to assert themselves. The organized protest and final success of the Œwostathians sparked off a restless period of religious controversies, which lasted throughout the reigns of Dawít and his sons. Nevertheless, only Zár’a-Ya’iqob (1434–68) understood the conflict in its true nature as a national movement, and he readily adopted it in the fabric of the religious nationalism of medieval Ethiopia of which he was the principal author.


Zär'a-Ya'iqob, and the Council of Däbrä Miṭmqaq

By a strange coincidence, Zär'a-Ya’iqob was born in 1399, within a few months of the first council called by Abunä Bärtäloméwos to discuss the Sabbath.¹ He was the youngest son of Dawít and Queen Igzi-Kibra.² An interesting tradition about his youth³ seems to offer a useful background to his extraordinary career of intensive literary and religious activities. However, despite the fresh light it throws on the struggle for succession among Dawít’s sons, the tradition tends to be hagiographical in character. While Zär’a-Ya’iqob was still a little boy, we are told, many saintly monks foretold his future greatness. This aroused against him the jealousy and hatred of his brothers, particularly Téwodros.⁴ Greatly alarmed by this and concerned for her son’s safety, Igzi-Kibra entrusted him to one of her relatives, a monk of apparently Tigré origin. The monk took the boy away from court incognito, settled in Aksum and began to give

¹ Zär’a-Ya’iqob himself says that he was 50 years old in the 15th year of his reign, ‘Mäshafä-Tṣefut’, MS. Inst. Archacol., Addis Ababa. It is also said elsewhere that he ‘aceded to the throne when he was 35 years old; others say he was 40’, ‘Tarîkä-Nägäșt’, MS. Däbrä Siğé, p. 54. Other traditions record his birth in 60 Year of Grace (= A.D. 1407/8). *Liber Aksumae*, p. 67 (text), Kolmodin, op. cit., A24. This is probably affected by the alleged prophecy of Filipos (Bižän) about him, *Gäddä Filipos*, p. 118.

² It is not known exactly how many queens Dawít had, but his children were born of different women, ‘Yägalla Tarîk’, MS. Däbrä Siğé, f. 28. The names of three of his queens are available: Dingil-Šäwänä, *Gäddä Mäqrqëvös*, p. 39; Śiyon-Mogäṣa, mother of Téwodros, *Sinkasar*, ed. and tr. Guidi, in PO, i (1904), pp. 695–6. Yishaq may have also been her son. Hizbä-Nagäw was probably of Lasta origin on his mother’s side, ‘The Four Gospels’, MS. Lalibäla Bëṭtä-Gäbrëli, f. 9r. Igzi-Kibra was possibly Dawít’s youngest queen, and lived as late as 1455, Wright, *Catalogue*, Or. 481, ff. 154a, 208a.


him a religious training. Soon afterwards the monk died, and Zār‘a-Ya‘iqb left Aksum on his own, and joined the monastery of Dābrā Abbay in Shiré, still incognito. He remained there until all his brothers had reigned in succession and died. Troops were then sent in search of the hidden prince, who was only discovered with much difficulty. He was then brought back to Court and crowned by force.\(^1\)

This is invaluable as providing a religious background for Zār‘a-Ya‘iqb's career, but the story is very improbable in its details. The considerable learning which Zār‘a-Ya‘iqb later displayed, and the depth of his personal involvement in the reorganization of the Church during his reign, are indeed highly indicative that he had very close contacts with the Church before his accession to the throne. He may have even spent much of his early life in monastic surroundings. It is unlikely, however, that he went into hiding. In fact it is apparent that he was very much in the running for the crown particularly after the death of King Yishaq (1413–30). His struggle for the succession with Hizbā-Nagān (1430–33) and his two sons is well remembered in some traditions and will be discussed elsewhere in greater detail.\(^2\) It suffices here to point out that Zār‘a-Ya‘iqb himself relates that he was brought down from the royal prison of Mount Gishān only on the eve of his accession to the throne.\(^3\)

In many ways the advent to power of Zār‘a-Ya‘iqb in 1434 was a godsend to the Ethiopian Church. It was almost exactly a century earlier, in about 1337, that Ėwosṭatéwos had left his country in self-exile. Since then, his small following in Ethiopia had rapidly grown in strength and had taken full control of the northern part of the kingdom. The issues raised by him and his followers had revealed, much more clearly than ever before, that the Alexandrian and Ethiopian Churches were at variance on many points of religious practice. In an attempt to remove these differences, the Egyptian bishops had insisted on strict disciplinary measures, and their stern action had almost broken the Ethiopian Church into two contending parties. Apart from these internal conflicts, the by-products of its rapid expansion in the Ethiopian region since the thirteenth century had also presented the Church with even more serious problems. As the area under the political control of the kingdom steadily increased, so did the number of churches and monastic communities among the

---

\(^1\) Cf. also, 'Gādālā Zéna-Marqos', MS. Dābrā Šigé, ff. 109\(^b\)–110\(^a\).

\(^2\) See pp. 278–83.

\(^3\) Māṭṣḥafā Birhan, ii (text), p. 157.
newly conquered peoples. An increasing number of people with varied cultural and religious backgrounds began to adopt the Christian religion, and by the middle of the fifteenth century the additional responsibilities of the Church were clearly beyond its educational and organizational facilities. A drastic reorganization of the institutions of the church, and a complete rethinking of its role in the kingdom, had to be made. Zär’a-Ya’iqob came to power at this crucial period, and set himself the vital task of reforming the Ethiopian Church.

His attention was first drawn to the problem of settling the internal conflicts among the Ethiopian clergy and to the creation of a perfect union within the Church. The hottest issue that had divided the clergy for as long as he could remember was that of the Sabbath. Complete freedom to observe it had been granted by his father to the ‘house’ of Éwostatéwos in 1404. Since then the custom had apparently spread among other communities, despite the protests of the Egyptian bishop. It was gaining ground even at the royal Court. This seems to be quite clear from the story of the life of Abba Giyorgis of Gascha.¹

Giyorgis was born at Sägla, probably some time before the beginning of the reign of Dawit.² His father, Hizbä-Šiyon, was one of the chaplains at the royal Court where young Giyorgis apparently spent most of his early days.³ Hizbä-Šiyon sent his son to school in the

¹ ‘Gädlä Abba Giyorgis of Gascha’ MS. Hayq. This is a 19th-century copy of which the original is said to be at Gascha, where a community has been established at the site of his tomb. For the location and short description of the site see Wright, S., ‘Notes on some cave churches in the province of Wallo’, in AE, ii (1957), pp. 12–13. Stephen Wright adopts a 14th-century date for the saint, erroneously said to have been Amdä-Šiyon’s contemporary in an 18th-century compilation of the royal chronicles, Basset, R., Études sur l’histoire d’Éthiopie (1882), pp. 5–6, 10, 99. Giyorgis died in the 12th year of the reign of Yishaq, which was also the first year of the 14th Grand Cycle, equivalent to A.D. 1425, ‘Gädlä Abba Giyorgis’ ff. 46b–47a. Cf. also Zotenberg, Catalogue des manuscrits éthiopiens, N. 113. It is apparent that the Gädl was composed soon after Giyorgis’s death, not later than the reign of Zär’a-Ya’iqob, the last king mentioned, ‘Gädlä Abba Giyorgis’, ff. 31b, 37b–38a.

² According to a late and dubious tradition it is said that ‘Abba Giyorgis was born in the reign of King Dawit, . . . son of Säylä-Ár’ad’, ‘Mar Yishaq’, MS. Dima, f. 171b. His mother is said to have been from Wäläqa in Amhara where Sägla was located, ‘Gädlä Abba Giyorgis’, f. 4a. The place-name is also given as ‘Shägla’ which is said to be the same site as Gascha, ibid., f. 15a. Thus the names of Giyorgis of Sägla and Giyorgis of Gascha are a reference to the same person, cf. Conti Rossini, ‘Due capitoli del libro del mistero di Giyorgis da Sagla’, in RSE, vii (1948), pp. 13–16.

³ ‘Gädlä Abba Giyorgis’, f. 4b.
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island monastery of Hayq where the priests were reputed to be 'very wise, and proficient in (the art of) reading and exposition of books'.

The abbot of the monastery was then Sărâqâ-Birhan, the great anti-Sabbath leader until his death in c. 1403. 2 Giyorgis apparently stayed at Däbrä Hayq for a long period, and when his father retired into a monastery he replaced him as a secular priest of the royal Court.

He was a very talented scholar, and a prolific writer. A number of doctrinal and service books are attributed to him. 4 Both Dawît and Yishaq admired and respected him. He once held the important post of Niburâ-Id (= Abbot) of Däbrä Damo in Dawit's reign, 5 and Yishaq later appointed him head of the community of Abba Bäšälotä-Mika'ěl in Amhara. 6

Abba Giyorgis was thus a prominent ecclesiastical courtier of

1 Ibid., ff. 4b–5a.


3 'Gäldä Abba Giyorgis', f. 15. Zär'a-Ya'iqob makes a reference to 'Giyorgis ... (one of the) priests of the Court', Mäshafä Birhan, ii (text), p. 131. It was Dillmann who first identified this priest with Giyorgis of Sägla, Über die Regierung, insbesondere die Kirchenordnung (Berlin, 1884), p. 8 and n. 1. Cf. also Cerulli, Il libro etiopico dei miracoli di Maria (1943), pp. 114–15.

4 Among these are Arganonä-Widasé written in the reign of Dawit; Widasé-Mäqsäql and Mäshafä-Sibhat (also called Mäshafä-Birhan), 'Gäldä Abba Giyorgis', ff. 18b–19a. Other prayers in the names of the Apostles are also attributed to him, ibid., f. 26b. Cf. also Wright, W., Catalogue of Ethiopian Manuscripts at the British Museum, no. 337, section 2. He is even said to have been authorized by the Egyptian bishop to compose anaphoras which the local clergy apparently refused to accept, ibid., f. 21b. His monumental work, however, is his great doctrinal compilation Mäshafä-Mïstër, completed in the 10th year of the reign of Yishaq, ibid., f. 33a. 'Mar Yishaq', MS. Dišma, f. 172b. Zotenberg, op. cit., no. 113. Conti Rossini, 'Due capitoli del libro del mistero di Giyorgis da Sagla', pp. 13–16. Another book he is reputed to have composed is Mäshafä-Sî'atat (= The Book of Hours), Gäldä Iyasûs-Mo'â, p. 35 (text). Basset, Études, pp. 10, 99. This is different from the Horologium apparently translated from the Coptic and Arabic into Ge'ez at a very early date, Cerulli, E., Storia della letteratura etiopica (1956), pp. 32–3. The identity of Giyorgis's Mäshafä-Sî'atat is not very clear. I was able to examine an early (15th century) MS. believed to be the Mäshafä-Sî'atat, and specially brought from Gascha for Liqä-Liqäwt Haylâ-Mäqsäql, head of the (Menelik) Memorial Church in Addis Ababa. It is a mere collection of Sunday prayers and doctrinal treatises attributed to Giyorgis, but of which the connection with the Book of Hours currently in use is not, I think, apparent. I quote it below as Mäshafä-Šälot.


6 Ibid., ff. 38b–39a. It is here, at Gascha, that his community is still located. It was also here that he received his monastic habit from Abba Tewodros, a spiritual descendant of Bäsälotä-Mika'ël (d. before 1337). ibid., f. 28a. A monastic genealogy given elsewhere makes him a 7th generation descendant of Iyâsûs-Mo'â: Sâlama, Anoréwos, Bäsälotä-Mika'ël, Abél, Éfrém, Tewodros, Giyorgis, 'Mäshafä-Šälot', MS. Gascha, f. 59b.
Kings Dawit and Yishaq, and had obtained his education at Dabrâ Hayq, the citadel of the pro-Egyptian and anti-Sabbath party until 1404. Within the following two decades, however, he became the most vociferous protagonist of the Sabbath. There can be no better example of the decisive change of public opinion on the subject among the clergy at the time than Giyorgis's championship of the Sabbath despite his Hayq background. The observance of the Sabbath had long been deeply entrenched in the religious practice of the Ethiopians, and, when Dawit's protection of the 'house' of Ėwostatéwos removed their last fears of Alexandrian discipline, an increasing number of communities readopted the custom without any further inhibitions. Giyorgis taught the legitimacy of observing the Sabbath together with the Lord's Day, and devoted an important section of his Mâshafâ-Mistîr to it.¹ He rigorously practised what he was preaching, and apparently came into conflict with the community of Dabrâ Libanos of Shâwa on this issue. His hagiographer tells us that Giyorgis had made a vow to receive his monastic habit from Yohannis Kâma, abbot of the community of Täklä-Haymanot. On one occasion, Giyorgis visited Dabrâ Libanos to fulfil his vow. As soon as he reached there, however, he quarrelled violently with the community and returned without receiving his monastic habit because: 'They used to break the First Sabbath, and he found them building a church on it.'² The royal Court was effectively infiltrated by the pro-Sabbath faction even before Zär'a-Ya'iqob's accession, and Abba Giyorgis was its most influential agent in the reigns of Dawit and Yishaq. As a promising young scholar, one of his first assignments at Court was to give religious instruction to the royal princes:

They took [Giyorgis] and brought him to the inner enclosure of the royal Court, and made him one of the priests of the Court in place of his father who became a monk. And . . . for some time (after this) he taught the children of the king, Zär'a-Abraham and the others.³

One of his doctrinal treatises, Fikaré-Haymanot, was specially composed for a certain prince called Téwodros, probably Dawit's

¹ Zotenberg, op. cit., p. 129, Section z. 'Gâdlä Abba Giyorgis', ff. 39⁵b-40.
² Ibid., f. 27b. This reference to Dabrâ Libanos finds confirmation elsewhere. The abbot at the time was indeed Yohannis Kâma, and it was in his time that Yishaq authorized the building of the first big church at the present site of Dabrâ Libanos, 'Gâdlä Mârha-Kristos' MS. Dabrâ Libanos, pp. 51, 53-4. Cerulli, E., 'Gli abbatì di Dabra Libanos', in Orientalia, xiii (1944), pp. 141-3.
³ 'Gâdlä Abba Giyorgis', f. 15. The king referred to is Dawit. To my knowledge there is no reference anywhere else to a son of Dawit's called Zär'a-Abraham.
first son.\textsuperscript{1} Probably, too, Zār‘a-Ya‘iqob’s close association with the pro-Sabbath clergy started in the private school at the royal court.

The traditional records of the monasteries have been drastically affected by the need to conform with the later decision of Zār‘a-Ya‘iqob to enforce the observance of the Sabbath in the Ethiopian Church, and it is very difficult to determine which communities stood firm on the side of the losing party, during the actual controversy. Only few and equivocal indications have survived to show which monastic groups remained persistently loyal to Alexandria, until the Egyptian bishops themselves acquiesced to the entreaties of Zār‘a-Ya‘iqob. We have already seen above that Dābrā Hayq, particularly when Sārāqā-Birhan was the abbot, stood firmly behind Bishop Bārtālomėwos, and it may be that Abba Giyorgis did not carry the whole community with him in his defection to the opposite camp. It seems quite clear also that another important community in the pro-Egyptian party was Dābrā Lībanos of Shāwa. Together with the tradition about Anorōwos’s disputation with Ewostatēwos on this issue,\textsuperscript{2} the conflict of Abba Giyorgis with Yohannis Kāma\textsuperscript{3} constitutes an important piece of contemporary evidence for the anti-Sabbath persuasions of Dābrā Lībanos at the time. The relationship between Dābrā Lībanos and Bishop Ya‘iqob (c. 1337–44) was particularly close, and according to its own traditions the community owed much of its later influence to his reorganization of the monasteries in Shāwa.\textsuperscript{4} His immediate successor Abunā Sālama (1348–88) is also remembered with much gratitude for having liberated Filipos from the exile inflicted upon him by King Sāyfā-Ar’ad (1344–71). Filipos later died at the court of the bishop.\textsuperscript{5} It is apparent that, largely because of these early associations, Dābrā Lībanos always displayed much readiness to follow the leadership of the Egyptian bishops and, as we shall soon see, it remained a devoted ally of Alexandria, even when relations between the patriarchate and Ethiopia were highly strained. In its opposition to the Sabbath, Dābrā Lībanos probably carried with it all the other communities deriving their monastic origin from Tāklā-Haymanot, particularly

---

\textsuperscript{1} Ibid., f. 29b. Giyorgis was on very good terms with Tewodros. During one of his doctrinal disputations at Court Abba Giyorgis had apparently displeased King Dawit, who sent him to prison where he remained until the king’s death. As soon as he became king, Tewodros released him, ibid., f. 27.

\textsuperscript{2} See p. 208 n. 9.

\textsuperscript{3} Ibid., p. 224 n. 2.

\textsuperscript{4} See pp. 175–7.

\textsuperscript{5} Gādālā Filipos (Asbo), pp. 236, 244, 246.
in Shäwa. From some isolated references at our disposal, an interesting pattern seems to emerge about the identity of the conflicting parties in the controversy. Thus, we are told that, on one occasion, Samuíél of Waldibba refused to see Abba Giyorgis when the latter deliberately travelled all the way from Damo to Däbrä Abbay to meet the famous ascetic leader. The hagiographer gives an altogether different reason for the refusal of the saint; but it may very well be that Samuíél had some reservations about the religious views of the young scholar from the royal Court, who had just been appointed 

**Nibura-Id** of Däbrä Damo by King Dawít. That there was a religious content in the decision of Samuíél is suggested by the hagiographer himself in his description of the reaction of Abba Giyorgis. Unaffected by the rebuff he received from the old saint, Giyorgis hurried back ‘to preach the Faith to the people of Damo’.

Another monastic group in Shiré which opposed the Sabbath was probably the community of Samuíél of Qoyäsa. It was here that Is'tifanos, the founder of the so-called Stephanite ‘heresy’, first took his monastic habit. Is'tifanos was expelled from the community in A.D. 1428/9, and one of the accusations later advanced against him was that ‘he observes the Seventh Day and breaks Sundays, and he travels (on them)’.

Both Samuíél of Waldibba and Samuíél of Qoyäsa were students of Mädhämä-Igzi of Däbrä Bankol, and they seem to have presented a united front in Shiré against the Sabbath.

It is quite clear that Zär’a-Ya’iqob himself ascended the throne with definite pro-Sabbath convictions. Apart from his own religious convictions, however, his support of the Sabbath party was also a sensible political decision. The only mainstay of the opposite party was the Egyptian bishop, and he could pose no serious obstacles to the will of the king. The monasteries which supported the bishop were politically weak, both as individual units and as an organized group. Unevenly distributed throughout the kingdom, their sole unifying force was their loyalty to the Egyptian bishop, and they displayed no compact regional front. The ‘house’ of Éwoshtatéwos, on the other

---

1 The incident is related in ‘Gädlä Abba Giyorgis’, ff. 19b–20. According to the hagiographer, Samuíél refused to see him because of the large number of attendants that Giyorgis had taken with him on his visit to Däbrä Abbay.


4 Ibid., p. 110 with n. 2.
OF RELIGIOUS NATIONALISM

hand, presented an altogether different picture. They had successfully defied the power of the Egyptian bishops for a hundred years and, left to their own resources, they had evolved a superb organization independently of the rest of the Ethiopian Church. The official protection which Dawit granted them in 1404 had only made them more defiant towards Alexandria. Before this time, it had been the bishops who had taken the initiative to exclude the Ėwostḥatians from the Church, and to deny them the sacrament of ordination. This last act had been responsible for the creation of the communities of lay brothers by the followers of Ėwostatēwos.¹ In his decree of 1404, it is apparent that Dawit also made it possible for them to receive Holy Orders. By that time, however, their characteristic grouping into communities, completely run and dominated by lay brothers, had become an established tradition among them, and they declined to receive Holy Orders. They simply refused to accept that ordination by the Egyptian bishop was an essential institution for the structure of their monastic organization. Only for the celebration of the mass and for hearing confessions did they require ordained priests, and these they apparently recruited from other communities on their own terms:

. . . They established rules of their own creation which did not conform with the eighty one books of the law: they abstained from taking Holy Orders; they appointed a lay abbot, who did not have the ordination of a priest, to teach them and to administer them according to their own rules. Anyone from among themselves who received Holy Orders was expelled from their communities, and they would not let him enter into their churches. Any priests or deacons who came to them from other communities were interrogated as follows: 'Why did you come to us?' And if they replied: 'We came to you because we like your rules and community; we shall live or die with you', they gave them penance for having belonged to other communities . . . and they let them enter into their Community and into their churches. And all such ordained priests and deacons and monks were ruled by the lay brother who had been appointed abbot. [This lay brother] . . . fully exercised all sacerdotal powers . . . without being duly ordained a priest . . . thus contravening the law of God . . .²

Thus within the three decades between Dawit's decree in 1404, and Zār’a-Ya’iqob’s accession in 1434, the controversy had assumed a much more serious character than at the time of Ėwostatēwos, and was no longer a mere quarrel over the Sabbath. Excluded from the

¹ For Zār’a-Ya’iqob’s description of the organization of these communities, see pp. 211-12.
² Māḥashāṭ Birhan, ii (text), pp. 147–8.
Church for their observance of a 'custom of the Old Testament', the disciples of Éwoştatóewos had been forced to challenge the most cardinal basis of the organization of the Christian Church, and to dispense with the sacrament of ordination in their organization. It is interesting, however, that nowhere in his description does Zär’a-Ya’iqob accuse the ‘house’ of Éwoştatóewos of authorizing their lay brothers to celebrate mass, or to give penance without the intervention of an ordained priest. It is quite clear from this that, although they occupied a subordinate position in the hierarchy of the Éwoşta-thian monastic organization, duly ordained priests and deacons always constituted part of the community. Throughout the whole period of the controversy, only this slender channel of communication had remained between the ‘house’ of Éwoştatóewos and the rest of their fellow Christians in Ethiopia, and Zär’a-Ya’iqob effectively used it for his complete reunification of the Ethiopian Church.

Bärtáloméwos (1399–1436) had been too deeply involved in the conflict, and there was very little chance of a peaceful settlement to the controversy until the end of the bishop’s reign. In 1438, he was succeeded by two Egyptian prelates—Bishops Mīka’él and Gābri’él—whose names have become inseparably connected with that of Zär’a-Ya’iqob in the ecclesiastic traditions of Ethiopia.¹ The king sought their full co-operation in his plans to restore the unity of the Ethiopian Church. He assessed the situation with much clarity and suggested a simple way out of the problem. He believed that the only real opposition to the Sabbath in the country came from the episcopal court. Once this was removed, the traditional affinity of the Ethiopians with the Old Testament would suffice to restore complete unanimity in Ethiopia. This would also remove the only obstacle to the full rehabilitation of the ‘house’ of Éwoştatóewos, and would naturally lead to their acceptance of the more important Christian institution of Holy Orders. The alternative to this sensible course of action was the perpetuation of the schism, with all its adverse effects on the strength and unity of the Christian kingdom. Surely, these dark prospects appeared to Zär’a-Ya’iqob too dear a price to pay for the observance of the Sabbath, of which the legitimacy was well attested by the Old Testament and the Apostolic canons.

The two bishops were at first adamant against the king’s persuasions. His approach was clearly gentle and tactful, and he gave them ample time to consider the problem. In the meantime, however, he made no secret of his pro-Sabbath feelings, and probably started pacifying the Éwostáthian communities on his own. He went to the ancient city of Aksum in 1436 for his formal coronation and stayed there for three years. It was probably during his sojourn in Tigré that Bishops Mika’él and Gábrí’él came to Ethiopia, and they were probably welcomed by the king in the ancient city. It is apparent that, right from the beginning, Zár’a-Ya’iqob’s advent to power brought in much relief and expectation among the Éwostáthians, who received assurances that ‘there has come to the throne a king who favours your rules’. The Éwostáthian communities in northern Ethiopia have a tradition that they made a joint present to Zár’a-Ya’iqob, which probably refers to the time of his coronation and his three-year stay in Aksum. Abba Nob, probably Zár’a-Ya’iqob’s Niburá-Id of Dabrá Damo, advised them to hand in their present through the abbot of Dabrá Maryam, the most important Éwostáthian community at that time. This Éwostáthian leader referred to was called Abba Gábrí-Kristos, and the king is said to have had ‘much good will towards him’.

Zár’a-Ya’iqob had made his peace with the ‘house’ of Éwostatéwos long before his Egyptian bishops could make up their minds. Already in 1442, he sent a book to the Ethiopian community in Jerusalem with the following message: ‘I hereby send you this book of Sinodos so that you may get consolation from it on the days of the First Sabbath and on Sundays.’ In the meantime discussions were under

---

1 Tarikā-Nágást, MS. Dabrá Śigé, p. 55.
3 On this ecclesiastic see Les Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’iqob et de Ba’eda Maryam, pp. 11–12. Cerulli, E., Il libro etiopic dei miracoli di Maria, pp. 107–8, 111–12.
4 Gádlā Abba Yonas, p. 180 n. 1.
5 Ludolf, H., Commentarius ad Suam Historiam Aethiopicam (Frankfurt, 1691), p. 303. It is dated in the 8th year of the king’s reign, p. 301. Cf. also Tisserant and Grébaut, Codices Aethiopi, Vaticani et Borgia, Barberinianus Orientalis 2 Rossianus 865, Vatican Library (1935), MS. Borg. Etiop. 2, ff. 3–4v.
way at various levels, but it was not until eight years later, in 1450, that Zār’a-Ya’iqob convened a Council at his new church of Dābrā Miṭmaq in Shāwa. The two bishops, the followers of Ėwoṣṭätéwos, and the abbots of the leading monasteries attended the council. The debate had already been exhausted in the previous years, and it appears that the gathering was principally intended for the formal reconciliation of the Ėwoṣṭathians with the Egyptian bishops and their Ethiopian followers. The bishops formally authorized the observance of the Sabbath in the Ethiopian Church, and the Ėwoṣṭathians agreed to receive Holy Orders from the Egyptian prelates:

And God . . . revealed the honours of the two Sabbaths to our fathers, the reverend bishops Mika’él and Gābri’él. He had not made this revelation to the [Egyptian] bishops of Ethiopia who came before them . . . And our fathers Abba Mika’él and Abba Gābri’él . . . agreed with us on the observance of the two Sabbaths, and they declared this in their own handwriting . . . And the disciples of Ma’iqābā-Igzi received the Holy Orders, and many of them were appointed abbots of their monasteries . . .³

Unlike his father, Dawīt, who left entirely to the Egyptian bishop the conduct of the anti-Ěwoṣṭätéwos assembly fifty years earlier, Zār’a-Ya’iqob himself presided over the Council of Dābrā Miṭmaq, and played a decisive role in bringing about a definite settlement of the most important controversy that had divided the Ethiopian Church for over a century.⁴ This also enabled him to embark on a

¹ This was a church built by Zār’a-Ya’iqob in Tāgulat at the news of the demolition of an Egyptian monastery of the same name at the hands of the Muslims, Les Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’eqob, pp. 55–7. Cerulli, Il libro etiopico dei Miracoli, pp. 124–5, 202–3.

² Zār’a-Ya’iqob, Māṣḥafā Birhan, ii (tr.) pp. 86–7. Gādlā Abba Yonas, pp. 198–200. The place of the Council is not given here. Another tradition of an apparently late composition reports that it was held at Dābrā Birhan, ‘Gādlā Zēna-Marqos’, MS. Dābrā Šigē, f. 107. It is said here that ‘(all the great) teachers of the country of Ethiopia were assembled, and they entered into the presence of the bishops’. The hagiographer is very anxious to associate the community of Zēna-Marqos with the successful Sabbath party, and paints a very dramatic picture of the proceedings of the Council.

³ Zār’a-Ya’iqob, loc. cit.

⁴ There were also a number of other doctrinal issues discussed at the Council. Zār’a-Ya’iqob mentions the questions of ‘the Persons of the Holy Trinity . . . and the Unity of God’, ibid., p. 87. These had been hotly discussed, it appears, since the reign of Dawīt (1380–1412). A large part of Abba Giyorgis’s ‘Māṣḥafā-Miṣṭīr’ is concerned with these questions, Zotenberg, op. cit., pp. 127–8. ‘Gādlā Abba Giyorgis’, ff. 2b–3. Two notorious Ethiopian priests, Zāmika’él Aṣqā and Gāmalyal, as well as Bishop Bārtaloméwos, are accused of denying the Three Persons of the Trinity on different occasions, Zār’a-Ya’iqob, op. cit., pp. 71–6. ‘Gādlā Abba Giyorgis’, f. 38a. The Stephanites were also accused of some unortho-
series of religious reforms which characterize the period of his reign.

Religious reforms

Zär'a-Ya'iqob felt, more deeply than any Ethiopian monarch before him, the solitary position of his kingdom in a religious sense: 'Our country Ethiopia [is surrounded by] pagans and Muslims in the east as well as in the west.' This idea haunted his mind throughout his reign, and he attributed the religious imperfections of his own people to the bad influence of their non-Christian environment. It seems that after the Council of Däbrä Mıtmaq his major preoccupation was to reorganize the Ethiopian Church, and to make full use of its resources in an attempt to stamp out alien religious practices among his Christian subjects.

Zär'a-Ya'iqob's energetic activities in the field of religious reform are a serious reflection on how small the impact of the Church had been in the extensive provinces of the kingdom. This was certainly the outcome of the peculiar way in which the Christian teaching had spread in the Ethiopian region. During the great period of Christian expansion, from the last quarter of the thirteenth century onwards, the Church had been carried wherever military colonies were established. It is apparent that, with the exception of Islam, which was given a recognized status in Muslim-dominated areas, the Christian kingdom did not at all respect the religious institutions of its pagan subjects, who were automatically presumed to be a preserve of the Church, as soon as they were conquered militarily. Their land, with all its people and other resources, was divided and distributed as fiefs among the Christian political and military officials, thus constituting a number of administrative units. In each of these units, small secular churches were built for the Christian army of occupation. Particularly in the early years of large-scale conquests and military occupation, the Christian settlers formed a completely separate class of their own. The churches built for them were merely one of the distinguishing features of this dominant class, which alone

dox views on the Trinity, *Das Mashafa Millad*, i, pp. 13–14, 38 (text). But the most important issue for which they were persecuted by Zär'a-Ya'iqob was their refusal to revere St. Mary and the Cross, Taddesse Tamrat, 'Some Notes on the Fifteenth-Century Stephanite "Heresy"', pp. 110–12.


2 See pp. 98–103.
they were basically meant to serve. For the most part, the educational standards of the priests and deacons, who staffed these churches in the outlying provinces, enabled them only to officiate at the routine religious ceremonies, and to preserve the liturgical traditions of the church. Apart from this, they were quite incapable of transmitting the spirit of the Christian teaching, even within the Christian settler communities. For them, as well as for their congregations, the Christian Church seems to have had very little content other than the regular and formal services impressively performed on the prescribed days. Under these circumstances, it is apparent that they were unsuited to the task of spreading the Christian teaching among the subjected pagan peoples. We have seen above the attempts of Bishop Ya’iqob (1337–44) to organize the monastic descendants of Ýyásus-Mo’a in Amhara and Sháwa during the reign of Amdá-Šiyon.¹ These monasteries no doubt helped to produce many more recruits for the service of the Church, and, wherever the monasteries were established, Christian religious pressure on the conquered peoples was considerably intensified. Nevertheless, although the monastic schools certainly produced a relatively greater number of priests and deacons, their output was much less than could suffice for the needs of the extensive empire. Largely for linguistic reasons, almost all the recruits for the service of the Church, who could obtain religious instruction in Ethiopia, apparently had to be of Tigré or Amhara origin. This eligibility to the divine service also seems to have had some ethnic undertones.² These important considerations contributed greatly to limiting the number of candidates for Holy Orders. But, more important still, the training programmes of the monasteries organized by Bishop Ya’iqob do not seem to have brought about any appreciable improvement in the evangelical spirit and educational standards of the churchmen. Only the major monasteries themselves, and the royal churches, seem to have been well provided with clerics of considerable learning. The ordinary member of the clergy hardly made any more progress than reading the regular service books, and

¹ See pp. 175–7.
² For the special place given to priestly families even within the Christian communities, see p. 113 n. 4. D’Abbadie also collected an interesting tradition, in this respect, about the reign of Bā’idā-Maryam (1468–78), when ‘it was necessary to be a son of Levi to become a priest or a deacon’, Conti Rossini, ‘Il libro delle leggende’, p. 711. The reference to Levi is connected with the legend of the queen of Sheba according to which all the priestly families of Ethiopia are descendants of Zadok, King Solomon’s high priest, cf. Budge, The Queen of Sheba and Her Only Son Menyelek I, pp. 61–2, 165.
reciting the prescribed prayers; and it was these members of the predominantly secular clergy who represented the Church in the distant pagan provinces.

The pagan peoples looked at the impressive ceremonies of the Church as just another curious religious cult, pertaining to their conquerors. The clergy, as the living agents of this cult, seemed to them not very different functionally from the pagan priesthood who officiated in their own religious practices. In the early stages of Christian conquest and settlement, probably very few among the conquered peoples joined the Church. And whenever such 'conversions' did take place, they probably had little significance other than a simple adoption of an alien cult, without necessarily giving up all the manifestations of their native beliefs and practices. For an ambitious local chief of a pagan community, an association with his Christian conquerors, in their curious religious practices, was a clever political decision, which could only bring him political advancement. On a more personal level, his 'conversion' made few demands on him or on his household. Given little spiritual guidance by the local clergy, who were ultimately and essentially part of the same Kushitic background as himself, the new 'convert' continued in much the same way as before, and lost almost none of his traditional loyalties to his pagan gods and their priesthood. It is apparent that, throughout the early and late medieval period of Ethiopian history, the Christian Church underwent an essentially similar process of expansion; and, although it gradually dispossessed the pagan clergy of much of their political and economic power, the Church seems to have never had a complete claim over the souls and loyalties of the newly 'converted' pagan peoples. It is apparent, too, that the same problems confronted Zär'a-Ya’iqob in the middle of the fifteenth century. According to his own historical diagnoses, ‘... that this should be the case was because there are no priests who preach, and Satan reduces the people into slavery’.

There are numerous references to the pre-Christian religious practices of the Kushitic peoples of the Ethiopian plateau in the hagiographical traditions of the Ethiopian Church. It is, however, impossible to determine in precise terms the religious framework of the life of the people from these references. Enough linguistic evidence is still lacking to make possible the identification of the religious terms preserved in the available traditions. There is an interesting

reference to a pagan god in a story of the life of a fifteenth century local saint of Mugär (Shäwa): ‘And (the saint) said to the witch-doctor . . . : “Whom do you worship; who is your God?” The witch-doctor replied: “Our God is he whom they call Gor . . . , he is also called Diagoror . . . .’”1 The name of this object of pagan worship also seems to appear in various forms—far, dero, yaro—in the Kushitic languages of Bilén, Gonga, and Kafficho respectively.2 The areas which were gradually taken over by the Christian kingdom were originally the homeland of the Kushites, and there seems to have been a basic uniformity of religious beliefs and practices over the Ethiopian plateau. The general features of Kushitic pagan worship3 seem to be based on a sky god, with numerous good and bad spirits inhabiting the mountains, trees, rivers, and lakes. Prayers and sacrifices were offered to these spirits, through hereditary priestly families which seem to have shared much of the sanctity of the gods of which they were the intermediaries, and, as such, they seem to have wielded much power over their peoples. They could cause good and evil, in accordance with the wishes of the spirits manifested in various forms of natural phenomena, such as fire, storm, famine, life, and death. The smallest details of the daily life of the people were presumed to be under the control of these spirits, who had to be continuously pacified through the pagan clergy. They were believed to have power of life and death: ‘And [Mäqawzay] says to us: “If you fulfil my wishes you shall live, and I shall bless you; if you refuse to obey me you shall die with all your sons, your daughters, and your wives.”’4 This was a world where every family lived in a continuous state of fear and uncertainty, where an angry spirit could strike hard at the slightest divergence from traditional forms of ritual worship. It was largely5 on this Kushitic religious substratum that Christianity was superimposed in the Ethiopian highlands. According to the books of Zär’a-Ya’iqob in the fifteenth century, the establishment of the

4 Gädlii Täkläi-Hawaryat, p. 115.
5 The rulers of pre-Christian Aksum, and probably also some of their subjects, had adopted the worship of the south Arabian gods, Conti Rossini, Storia d’Etiopia, pp. 141–2.
Church does not seem to have removed much of the beliefs and superstitions of the old pagan times. The dichotomy between Good and Evil—God and Satan—in Ethiopian Christian cosmology did not at all rule out the existence, nor the strong arbitrary powers, of evil spirits in the world. All pagan worship, in Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s view, was a worship of these spirits, which were only the manifestations of the malign forces of the Fallen Angels. The pagans sought to placate these evil forces through the agency of their religious leaders—the däsk, fätänt, dino, gwidalé, whom Zär’a-Ya’iqob considered as mere sorcerers.\(^1\) It was also, apparently, a common practice among the Christians, particularly in the regions south of Angot, to consult these men whose powers to do considerable harm seem to have been widely accepted.\(^2\) More common still, apparently, was the use of magical prayers by members of the Christian community, including the clergy.\(^3\) Zär’a-Ya’iqob was determined to abolish all these practices, and energetically set out reorganizing the Ethiopian Church for the task.

He realized the size of the problem and had apparently drawn up his reform programmes even before his accession to the throne. For the first time since the establishment of the new dynasty in 1270, Zär’a-Ya’iqob asked the Alexandrian patriarchate for more than one Egyptian bishop, and he received Bishops Mika’él and Gäbri’él in 1438, together with Abba Yohannis who was an Epísqopos and of a

\(^1\) The precise meaning of these terms, frequently used by Zär’a-Ya’iqob in his writings, and in the hagiographies of his period, is still uncertain and must await future linguistic investigation. It seems, however, that they referred not to the deities or the spirits themselves, but to their human agents. Sometimes the king suggests that each term referred to different regions: ‘In Shäwa he who (divines) in this way they call däsk, in Angot dino, and in Gojjam Sigwi. And there are (other) sorcerers in all Ethiopia’, Das Mashafa Milad und Mashafa Sellase, ii (text), p. 49. Conti Rossini thinks that the form of the word Fätänt suggests that it has an Agäw origin, ‘Appunti sulla lingua awiya del Dangela’, pp. 112–13.

\(^2\) Some of the king’s wives and their children were once accused of doing this, Les Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’iqob et de Bä’eda Maryam, pp. 6, 98; Das Mashafa Milad, ii, pp. 95–6. Fears of the evil powers of these pagan priests were apparently widespread even among the Christian clergy, and Zär’a-Ya’iqob needed to reassure them, ibid., pp. 44–5; Mäshäftä Birhan, ii (text), pp. 23–4. References to the spirit Zar in the reminiscences of a notable scholar of the Ethiopian Church indicate that the current attitude to these matters is still basically the same, Aläqa Lämma, Mäshäftä Tizita, ed. Mängisu Lämma (Addis Ababa, 1967), pp. 32, 50–2, 72.

\(^3\) Zär’a-Ya’iqob refers to clerical members of the court who practised this in the reigns of his brothers, Das Mashafa Milad, i, p. 19; see also Mäshäftä Birhan, ii (text), p. 49.
lower rank. The most dire problem of the Church in the extensive Christian kingdom, as has been said above, was the lack of a sufficient number of priests and deacons, and the king assigned his bishops to the two most needy provinces, Mika’él to Amhara and Gábri’él to Sháwa. Stationed in their respective posts, they performed the most important task of the Egyptian bishop in Ethiopia, namely ordaining priests and deacons. In itself, the very presence of two bishops in the country created a tremendous sensation among the monasteries, and a strong sense of mission seems to have developed in the Ethiopian Church in general.

The basis of Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s policy lay in his success in involving the major monasteries of the country in his religious programme, by a strange combination of economic preferment and legal coercion. This was particularly the case after the Council of Dábrá Mitmaq, when he could pull together the educational resources of all the established communities throughout his kingdom. In an attempt to make the best use of these communities he apparently divided the kingdom into a number of religious spheres of influence, which he distributed among the principal monasteries. The Éwoštathian communities in northern Ethiopia, and the flourishing monastery founded by Táklā-Haymanot at Dábrá Asbo, which Zär’a-Ya’iqob renamed Dábrá Líbanos, seem to have been the most important units in this arrangement. Dábrá-Maryam in Sára’él, and Dábrá Bžán in Hamasén were at the head of the monastic groups of the ‘house’ of Éwoštatówos, and the king gave them large tracts of land ‘so that they may preach and teach the orthodox Faith’. It is also apparent that Zär’a-Ya’iqob recruited some Éwoštathians to teach and establish themselves in southern Ethiopia, and we have references

1 This was the first occasion on which a patriarch had sent two Egyptian bishops to Ethiopia at one time. Wansleb, who gathered much of his information from the MSS. of the Coptic Church, relates that there were four bishoprics in the kingdom of Niexamiteis, L’Histoire de l’Église d’Alexandrie (1677), pp. 29–30. Quatremère sought to identify Niexamiteis with Aksum, Mémoires, ii (1811), p. 36. But no other references of any bishoprics headed by Egyptians in Ethiopia are available and the identification is most uncertain. In the History of the Patriarchs we always have reports of one metropolitan sent to Ethiopia at a time, cf. Abu Salih, Churches and Monasteries, pp. 285–6.


3 Les Chroniques de Zär’a Ya’eqob, p. 91.

4 Wright, Catalogue, Or. 481, f. 208a.
to Ėwostḥāthian communities in Indāgābṭan, in south-western Shāwa, hitherto the exclusive domain of the 'house' of Tāklā-
Haymanot.¹

For the extensive provinces of Shāwa and Amhara, Zā’r’a-
Ya’iqob utilized the facilities of Dābrā Lībanos. He built new
churches in these areas and staffed them with recruits from Dābrā
Lībanos.² On one occasion the king received reports that the local
people of a small district in Mugār practised pagan worship, sacri-
ficing cows and sheep for the serpent god inhabiting a tree. He
immediately ordered that a church be built on the site, and Dābrā
Lībanos supplied him with priests and deacons, apparently led by
Abba Gābrā-Indiryas, a notable member of the Tāklā-Haymanot
community at the time.³ Many other members of the community
were also active in the area preaching and establishing churches.
It is interesting to note that the earliest tradition of some conversions
among the Gafat belongs to this period:

[Māb’a-Ṣiyon] had been wondering about the Gafat and he thought
'When will they believe, when will they be baptized? Will it be in my life-
time, or will it be after my death?' And while he was contemplating in this
way he met many Gafat men going to our king Zā’r’a-Ya’iqob to be com-
plimented for their [recent] conversion and their baptism in the name of the Trinity.⁴

¹ Gädlā Ėwostatěwos, pp. 151, 165–7. The traditions related here indicate the
hostility of Dābrā-Lībanos to the establishment of the Ėwostathians in this area.
It is most probable that they refer to the reign of Zar’a-Ya’iqob, whose sole
preoccupation was to spread the teaching of the Church, and cut across old
conventional spheres of influence whenever necessary.
² Les Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’eqob et de Ba’eda Maryam, pp. 53–4; 'Gädlā
³ Ibid., pp. 128–30.
⁴ Budge, E. A. W., The Lives of Maba-Siyon and Gabre Kristos (London, 1898),
pp. 25 (text), 79 (tr.). The Gafat were a Semitic-speaking group, apparently
inhabiting the inaccessible district overlooking the Nile gorge between the Jama
river and as far west as the Gudar, on the Shawan and Damot side. Zorzi’s
informants located the Gafat around the sources of the Awash river. Crowfoot,
Bermudez was detained there by Gälawdewos, La Croze Veysière, Histoire du
Christianisme d’Ethiopie, et d’Arménie (1739), p. 197, 223–4; Whiteway, R. S.,
The Portuguese Expedition to Abyssinia in 1541, pp. 217, 232–3. It seems that
largely because of the later expansion of the Galla the Gafat gradually moved to
southern Gojjam, Chronica de Susenos, ed. Esteves Pereira (Lisbon, 1892),
pp. 12, 23, 25–8, 30. Almeida clearly considered them as newcomers to Gojjam,
Some Records, p. 56. Professor Leslau’s valuable linguistic studies are based on
information gathered in their new habitat of Wāmbārma, in Gojjam. He has
recently published a document purporting to be the history of the Gafat, 'A Short
Chronicle on the Gafat', in RSO, xli (1966), pp. 189–98. The converts to the
The districts of Mugär and Indägäbtan had been within the kingdom since the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, but it was apparently in Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s reign that a strong offensive against local pagan worship was conducted in these areas. The king personally directed these activities, and apparently encouraged baptism by rewarding new converts, who automatically joined the privileged class of the Christian settlers in their country. The assimilation of his pagan subjects into the Christian community, and the creation of a religiously homogeneous society was Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s highest ideal, and he sought to realize it through his own personal direction of the Church. Every little detail of the work and administration of the Church was, for him, an affair of state, and he passed numerous decrees to regulate the religious conduct of his people.

The major part of his decrees underlined the responsibilities of the clergy in teaching and guiding the people. On Saturdays and Sundays, every Christian was expected to go to the nearest church, where the priests had to teach the people ‘the Worship of God, his commandments, and the observance of his Sabbaths’. When a church was too far from the settlement of a group of Christian families, a priest had to be sent to them every Friday and spend the weekend there, giving them religious instruction. On these occasions the priest was to be fed and looked after by the community. Every Christian had to have his own Father Confessor who looked after his spiritual well-being, and without whose recommendation participation at the Holy Communion was forbidden. A serious problem in many churches was the lack of a sufficient number of religious books, and the king specially encouraged the establishment of a library in every church. Zär’a-Ya’iqob expected the full co-operation of the clergy in his religious programmes, and enacted strict disciplinary measures against anyone amongst them who failed to follow his directions: ‘He ordered the local governors to pillage the houses and to confiscate the property of the priests who do not follow these rules, and who do not give religious instructions in their churches.’

Church in Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s time must have been very few, and in the 16th century both Alvarez and Bermudez described the Gafat as a pagan people in general.

1 Māshāfa Birhan (text), i, pp. 109–14, 149; ii, pp. 28–30, 48–53; Das Mashafa Milad und Mashafa Sellase (text), i, p. 65; ii, pp. 25–6.

2 Māshāfa Birhan, i, p. 149.

3 Ibid., pp. 48–53; Das Mashafa Milad, i, p. 65.

4 Māshāfa Birhan, ii, p. 33.

5 Les Chroniques de Zār’a-Ya’eqob et de Ba’eda Maryam, p. 82; cf. also Māshāfa Birhan, ii (text), p. 28 n. 10.
He declared the abolition of all forms of pagan worship in his kingdom. Consultation with witch-doctors and offering sacrifices to pagan gods were forbidden on pain of death. The use of magical prayers was also made punishable in the same way. Zār’a-Ya’iqob required from his people a clear and an unequivocal expression of their beliefs in the teachings of the Church, and their public rejection of non-Christian practices. Thus, he decreed that every Christian should bear the names of ‘the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost’ branded on his forehead. The sign of the cross also had to be affixed on all the belongings of the Christians—on their dress, their instruments of war, and even on their ploughs. To ensure a complete regimentation of the life of his subjects the king insisted on the strict observance of numerous fasting and holy days.

It is apparent that Zār’a-Ya’iqob entrusted the implementation of his religious programmes to the chief priests of the various districts, and required the abbots of the major monasteries to make round tours of their parishes. On these tours of inspection they were accompanied by the king’s troops. This emerges quite clearly from the excellent biography of Mārha-Kristos, abbot of Dābrā Lībanos (1462–96):

Zār’a-Ya’iqob said to [Mārha-Kristos]: ‘... go, and look after the administration of the Churches, and preach the Faith in all the land.’ ... [And at that time] the Faith of the people of Mugār was not strong as yet, and for this reason [Mārha-Kristos] went down to the country of Mugār with the messengers of the king.

1 Das Mashafa Milad, ii, p. 47 (text.) Cf. also i, p. 42 (text); see also Māshafā Birhan, i, pp. 26–7, 118 (text).
2 Ibid., ii, p. 49 (text).
3 Ibid., p. 29 (text); cf. also p. 17 (tr.), nn. 4 and 5. Here Zār’a-Ya’iqob reports that the Egyptian Christians bore the sign of the Cross tattooed on their hands; and he argues that his prescription is the more Orthodox, and quotes Revelation 14: 1 and 22: 3–4. His chronicler gives two additional requirements, that everyone was asked to have the words ‘I deny the devil’ branded on his right arm, and ‘I deny the cursed dīsīk; I am the slave of Mary’ on his left arm, Les Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’eqob, p. 6.
5 ‘Gādlī Mārha-Kristos’, MS. Dābrā Lībanos, p. 127. Mārha-Kristos (1407–96) was the son of a rich family in Wāj, Shāwa. Ordained deacon by Bishop Bātrā-lomēvos (1399–1436?), he joined Dābrā Lībanos, where the abbot Yohannis Kāma invested him with the monastic habit, while still a very young man. He was made a personal attendant of the abbot, who later died in his care. Bishop Gābrī’el (1438–58?) ordained him priest at the recommendation of the next abbot, Indiryas. When the latter was disgraced and exiled by Zār’a-Ya’iqob in 1462, Mārha-Kristos was appointed in his place, ibid., pp. 108–9. He was on very good
The presence of the king’s troops in his party enabled the abbot to exercise much authority during his visits. On the occasion of his trip to Mugär, Mërha-Kristos is said to have ‘burned their books of magic’, and when confronted with more serious opposition he sent the leaders to Zär’a-Ya’iqob himself. At the royal court, ‘the king . . . ordered his men to flog them’. It seems that this was a general pattern throughout the kingdom, and Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s personal involvement in the expansion of the Church tended to give considerable political influence, and arbitrary power, to his ecclesiastical appointees in the provinces. Abba Täklä-Hawaryat, who also preached in Mugär, is said to have flogged a witch-doctor in public, and to have expelled many others from the highland districts overlooking the Blue Nile gorge, by burning down their houses. These acts of violence had the king’s blessing, and were apparently committed to demonstrate the powerlessness of the pagan gods and their human agents.

Political unrest in the kingdom

Zär’a-Ya’iqob was a despot. In his enthusiasm to create a uniform religious practice among his subjects, he applied very harsh rules: ‘If you see anyone sacrificing to Satan, kill him with a spear, or with a staff, or with stones . . .’ This arbitrary disposition characterized the second half of his reign. In his chronicles, and in the hagiographical traditions of the period, many of the punishments inflicted by the king are frequently given religious motives. However, towards the beginning of the 1450s, it is possible to detect that serious political reactions were staged against the king’s high-handed rule.

His own Bihtwäddäd and son-in-law, Amdä-Mäsqäl, is accused of ‘rebellious schemes . . . unbecoming to relate’. Another secular terms with Bälidad-Maryam (1468–78), whom he accompanied on his campaigns against the Dob’a; ibid., 158–77; Les Chroniques de Zăr’a-Ya’eqob et de Be’eda Maryam, pp. 133, 147. He was still abbot when he died in the second year of the reign of Na’od (1494–1508), Gâldlä Mërha-Kristos’, p. 346; cf. also Cerulli, E., ‘Gli abbati di Dabra Libanos’, pp. 145–9.

1 ‘Gâldlä Mërha-Kristos’, pp. 135–7. It is apparent that the clergy of the provincial churches put up a very strong resistance against the strict control of their activities by the king’s direct appointees, and there are reports of violent clashes during these tours of inspection.


3 Das Mashafa Milad und Mashafa Sellasse, ii (text), p. 47. ‘Whoever is found in possession of magical prayers . . . shall be punished like an idolator, and his property shall be given to the Church’, Mâshafa Birhan, ii (text), p. 49.

4 Les Chroniques de Zăr’a Ya’eqob et de Be’eda Maryam, p. 10. The chronicler
official, Ṣasārgwé Amha-İyāsus, and Abba Nob, Niburā-Id of Däbrä Damo are mentioned as his accomplices. A former Bithwāddād, İsayias, was also committed to prison, and was physically tortured, probably on the same occasion. A more definite story of a high-powered revolt against Zär’a-Ya’iqob is told in one of his own books. Here the leaders of the revolt are naturally kept anonymous, but they are described as being ‘from among the chiefs of Ethiopia’. They sought to depose Zär’a-Ya’iqob and enthrone another in his place. It is apparent that the movement had assumed very serious proportions, and the king resorted to a very unusual political act to quell the rising. We are told that the news of the revolt reached Cairo, where the patriarch and his congregations were greatly distressed. Patriarch Yohannis (1428–53) immediately sent messengers to Ethiopia with a letter of excommunication against all the army, the chiefs and rulers, big and small, men and women . . . [who] desire to crown another while Zär’a-Ya’iqob . . . is still on his throne; these [men], and whoever wishes to take the crown [from him] . . . or to kill him, or to depose him in open revolt or by secret means and by magic; and whoever joins in an evil league against him.

The Patriarchal letter was read at a public gathering by Bishop Gābri’él, after which many of the rebels are said to have publicly confessed their sins and reconfirmed their future loyalties to the king. Nevertheless, it is quite clear that immediately after the incident Zär’a-Ya’iqob introduced a number of changes generally intended to centralize his administrative control of the empire. Apparently, also tries to avoid the real issue in another passage, ‘And they exiled [Amdā-Māsqal] for treason against the king. I do not know the secrets of the matter. Publicly, he was accused of taking another woman to wife, while he was married to a princess’, pp. 94–5.

1 Ibid., pp. 11–12, 95. The mention of Abba Nob seems to provide a useful chronological term of reference. A certain Niburā-Id Nob is mentioned elsewhere as being involved in Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s programme of rehabilitating the ‘house’ of Ėwoṣṭatéwos, and Conti Rossini has identified him with the abbot of Däbrä Damo, Gädlā Yonas, pp. 180 n. 1, 199. Yet another reference to a man of this name is provided in a story of the miracles of St. Mary where he is accused of a private scepticism towards the new cult of St. Mary, and Cerulli identifies him with the same person, Il libro etiopico dei miracoli di Maria, pp. 108, 110, 111–12.


4 Ibid., p. 19.

5 It is perhaps most expressive of the gravity of the political movement against him that these administrative changes consisted in the appointment of his own daughters to high offices of the court, and as heads of the provinces, Les Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’eqob, pp. 9–10, 13–14, 94–5. The princesses probably ran their offices from the court through their local agents who are accused of oppressing
this was accompanied by a great purge of many individuals who previously held high offices in the royal court and in the provinces. The hagiographical traditions about the life of a Shāwan saint, Abba Tāklā-Hawaryat, seem to provide an additional piece of evidence both for the nature and the chronology of these political unrests.¹ At one time Tāklā-Hawaryat asked for an audience with the king, and wished to speak to him about ‘the futile deaths of men, the arrests and the beating which takes place’. When the king was told of this he angrily summoned the monk and said to him: ‘Is it true that you insulted me? Whom did you see me kill without legal proceedings; and whom did you see me punish outside the law? And why do you thus slander me while I am the anointed one?’² Tāklā-Hawaryat was himself beaten and cast into prison where he died some months later. Only thirteen years afterwards, when Bā‘idā-Maryam (1468–78) succeeded his father, could his disciples remove the remains of Tāklā-Hawaryat to Mugār, where his monastery of Dābrā Śimmona is still an object of pious pilgrimage. This chronological reference is particularly interesting as additional confirmation that the abortive rising against Zār’ā-Ya’iqob took place about the beginning of 1453.³

Zār’ā-Ya’iqob: Lasting achievements

Zār’ā-Ya’iqob displayed a strong sense of mission throughout his reign, and he set himself very high objectives: ‘And God raised Us to the people, ibid., pp. 95–7. The king then took personal control of all the provinces through a new cadre of local appointees, directly responsible to himself, ibid., pp. 14–16.

¹ ‘Gādlā Tāklā-Hawaryat’, MS. Dābrā Libanos, ff. 49–50. Conti Rossini has published another version of this Gādi in CSCO, Script. Aeth., series altera, tome 24 (1910). In this published version the saint’s quarrel with Zār’a-Ya’iqob is completely suppressed.

² ‘Gādlā Tāklā-Hawaryat’, f. 50a. A passing remark in the story of the life of another contemporary local saint, from Indāgbātan, also indicates that unfavourable sentiments were freely expressed about the king at the time. The saint is shown a vision of the Fires of Hell by St. Michael, who tells him, ‘Look, those who are crying here are men who slandered King Zār’a-Ya’iqob’, The Life of Maba’ Seyon, ed. Budge, p. 27.

³ The incident must have taken place between 1450 and 1453. Abba Nob, who took part in the revolt, was still in favour in 1450. Patriarch Yohannis XI, who allegedly excommunicated the rebels, died on 4th May 1453, Chaine, M., La Chronologie des temps chrétiens de l’Égypte et de l’Éthiopie (Paris, 1925), Tables. Tāklā-Hawaryat’s arrest in 1454 takes the incident nearer to 1453 than 1450. Together with that of Indiryas, abbot of Dābrā Libanos (d. 1462), Tāklā-Hawaryat’s death as a ‘martyr’ is also referred to in ‘Gādlā Mārha-Kristos’, MS. Dābrā Libanos, p. 102.
this orthodox throne so that we may disperse all idol-worshippers.'
Judged against this high ideal, however, his attempts to bring about a
radical change in the religious life of his people did not bear substan-
tial results. Perhaps the most telling illustration of this drastic failure
is the basic contradiction of his own life. Despite his eloquent
commentaries on the Apostolic Canons, and on the constitutions of
the early Christian Church, Zār’a-Yaqūb remained polygamous to
the end of his days.2 His superstitious fears of black magic seem also
to have been considerable,3 and his ruthless judgements convey very
little of the sense of justice of a deeply religious man. The most
lastling achievement of Zār’a-Yaqūb certainly lay in his encour-
agement of Ethiopic literature, in the development of which he actively
participated,4 and in his reorganization of the Church.

Zār’a-Yaqūb’s close association with their religious activities
promoted the prestige of the monasteries, and greatly increased their
economic and political influence in the kingdom. It is even
apparent that the revolt of 1453 was as much against the growing
wealth of some of the monasteries as against the king. It is reported
by the chronicler that Zār’a-Yaqūb had granted to Dābrā Lībanos
all the revenues collected from the rich province of Shāwā. The

1 Das Mashafa Milad und Mashafa Sellase, ii (text), pp. 95–6.
2 He had three queens: Žan-Hayla (also called Firé-Maryam), his favourite
wife and mother of his eldest daughters, Les Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’qūb, pp. 55,
87; Žan-Zēla (= Žan-Zēyla?), also called Illēni and daughter of the Muslim
tributary king of Hadya, ibid., pp. 16, 59; another name of a queen, Bar-Zelay
(= bar-Zayla?) is mentioned elsewhere, Guidi, I., ‘Le canzoni Ge’ez-Amarigna
in onore di Re Abissiin’, in RRAL, ser. iv, vol. v (1889), p. 63. It is not clear whether
this represents a different person or Žan-Zēla. His other wife was Šlyon-Mogāsa,
mother of his successor Bā’īdā-Maryam, Les Chroniques, pp. 105–7. Zār’a-
Yaqūb refers to his ‘queens’ in Das Mashafa Milad und Mashafa Sellase, ii (text),
p. 95. Cf. also Dillmann, op. cit., p. 67.
3 According to his chronicler, ‘This king says in his own words, and it is
written in his book, that evil men have cast a spell on him wherever he resides and
wherever he travels’, Les Chroniques, p. 41. To ward off the malign effects of this,
prayers were held round the clock at the king’s residence by a select group of
monks, who sprinkled holy water on the premises at regular intervals, p. 40.
The patriarch’s letter of excommunication also covered those who would like to
get rid of the king ‘by secret means and through black magic’.
4 A number of religious compositions are personally attributed to him, among
which are ‘Ţomarā-Tisbi’n’, ‘Khidātā-Sāyţān’, ‘Māšḥafā-Bahrīy’, ‘Ezgi’/Abhēr-
Nāsā’, ‘Ṭa’aqībī-Miṣṭīr’, ‘Māšḥafā-Bīrhan’, and ‘Māšḥafā-Milad’, Les Chroni-
ques, pp. 76–8. Conti Rossini has published an extract from ‘Ṭa’aqībī-Miṣṭīr’
in his ‘Il libro di re Zār’a-Yaqūb sulla custodia del Mistero’, RSE, iii (1943),
p. 148–66. The last two works have recently been published in the excellent
series of the Corpus Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium, and we have already
made some use of them above.
revenues previously belonged to the Şahafé-Lam and a number of military contingents under him. The diversion of their former income to the monasteries was no doubt resented by the troops and their leaders, and probably contributed a great deal to the political unrest of the time. The tone of the patriarch’s alleged letter of excommunication against the rebels of 1453 also points to the same conclusion: ‘If there is any one who trespassed on the churches and monasteries built by ... Zär’a Ya’iqob ... and on their land holdings and all their property ... [he shall be excommunicated].’ Other land grants of considerable size in favour of the major monastic communities in northern Ethiopia are also attributed to Zär’a-Ya’iqob. It is further apparent that compulsory contributions in kind were collected from the Christian peasantry throughout the kingdom for the support of the local churches. Probably to ensure that his religious reforms reached every family, Zär’a-Ya’iqob had instituted the position of the Father Confessor, which still remains one of the most distinctive features of the Ethiopian Church. Despite the modest efficacy of this institution in bettering the spiritual life of the people, the close personal and economic relationships between the Father Confessor and the individual family remained intact: ‘Love [your Father Confessor] like the pupil of your eye ... and give him from the fruits of your labour ... and share with him whatever you have.’ The end result of these measures was the creation of a huge clerical aristocracy living almost entirely on the services of their congregation. Many of the monastic communities, which had started their religious pursuits by collecting wild fruits and cultivating their own little terraces, had become owners of large tracts of land as Gults by the middle of the fifteenth century. This development naturally gave the monasteries much secular power and administrative responsibility over their tenant farmers, and they began to exercise a considerable political patronage in the Christian kingdom.

4 Māshafā Birhan, ii (text), pp. 41–3.
5 Das Mashaфа Milad, ii (text), pp. 25–6.
7 For the nature of the land holding known as Gult see pp. 98–103.
8 Puritanical monastic reactions against this acquisition of an increasing amount of wealth and temporal power were not lacking. The most vehement protest came from the remarkable religious movement of the Dāqiqā İstıfanos,
Unlike the great monastic leaders of the fourteenth century who kept strictly to their isolated hermitages, many of the abbots of the principal monasteries became regular visitors at the court of Zär’a-Ya’iqob. It is apparent that the king required their presence around him for religious consultations, and probably also to involve them more actively in his programmes of reform and literary development. Especially towards the end of his reign, and after the abortive revolt of 1453, Zär’a-Ya’iqob was always surrounded by his ecclesiastical officials. Besides enhancing the age-old role of the monarchy as the focal point of the religious and political life of Christian Ethiopia, this concentration of the highest clerical and secular leadership around the person of Zär’a-Ya’iqob particularly made his court the centre for the increasingly articulate national self-expression of the Ethiopians, and for their growing sense of independence, even from the patriarchate of Alexandria. This national development found its highest expression, some years after the death of Zär’a-Ya’iqob, in the council of 1477, convened by his son and successor Bä’idå-Maryam (1468–78). At this council a radical proposal for the discontinuation of the spiritual dependence on Alexandria was put forward by a large section of the Ethiopian clergy, and was seriously considered.

Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s favourite bishops, Mika’él and Gäbri’él, apparently died before 1458, and it is not known if the king ever asked for their replacement during the remaining years of his reign. The two bishops had been most co-operative in his religious programmes, and, having their respective headquarters in Amhara and Shäwa, they were very active in ordaining priests and deacons. Probably, the number of ordinations in the twenty years of their ministry in Ethiopia was very large, and the effect of the absence of a bishop was not keenly felt in Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s last years. Towards the end, Taddesse Tamrat, ‘Some Notes on the Fifteenth Century Stephanite “Heresy” in the Ethiopian Church’, pp. 105–12.

1 *Les Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’egob*, pp. 27–8. Here the abbots of the major monasteries are mentioned as the king’s regular courtiers. The Aqabé-Sä’at of the island monastery of Hayq was specially required to stay at court with the king, ibid., pp. 7–8, 167. The abbot of Däbrä Bizän, Abba Petros, is elsewhere said to have stayed with the king for two years, Kolmodin, op. cit., A25. Märha-Kristos of Däbrä Libanos frequently visited Zär’a-Ya’iqob, and even accompanied Bä’idå-Maryam on his Dob’a campaigns, ‘Gädlä Märha-Kristos’, pp. 149, 158–77. *Les Chroniques*, pp. 133–47.


3 Alvarex was told that Zär’a-Ya’iqob had no bishop in his court for the last ten years of his reign, *The Prester John of the Indies*, ii, p. 356.
end of the reign of his son, however, the shortage of priests in the kingdom had assumed serious proportions. A distant echo of this is found in a tradition collected by D’Abbadie in Bâgémdir about the reign of Bâ’idâ Maryam: ‘... there were only two priests in the church or the chapel of the king.’

A strong representation was made to King Bâ’idâ-Maryam to break relations with Alexandria and appoint Ethiopian bishops: ‘And they said [to the King] we have heard that [the people of] Egypt have changed their Faith. They eat what is forbidden in the Law. And now let us appoint a bishop from our country as it is said “let him be appointed [bishop] who is chosen by the people”. . . ’ Characteristically, the initiators of this radical view are kept anonymous. It seems quite possible, however, to indicate their identity from the opposite stand adopted by Dâbrâ Libanos of Shâwa in whose tradition we have the story of the Council. Mârha-Kristos was the abbot of Dâbrâ Libanos at the time, and he vehemently opposed the new proposal. He based his arguments on the principle of Apostolic Succession. Mârha-Kristos submitted to the king and the assembly his own counter-proposal: ‘Let the king send wise men whose words are dependable, to investigate for us. If the Egyptians are still in the Orthodox Faith let them bring us a bishop according to the tradition of our forefathers. If they are not, we shall then pray to God and ask for his guidance of what to do.’

The mild tone of this suggestion was only intended to cover up the basic commitments of Dâbrâ Libanos to the continued dependence of the Ethiopian Church on Alexandria. We have already seen that the monastery adopted a similar position in the religious controversies before 1450, and Mârha-Kristos was only expressing the traditional

---

1 Conti Rossini, ‘Il libro delle leggende e tradizioni abissine dell’Ecclesiagie Filpos’, in RRL, ser. 5, vol. xxvi (1917), p. 711. The reason given here for the shortage is altogether different, viz. that no one could be ordained to the priesthood if he did not descend from the ‘house’ of Levi, a reference to the legend of the queen of Sheba. Most probably, this is a confusion of two different traditions.


3 ‘Gâdlâ Mârha-Kristos’, p. 239. In his contention Mârha-Kristos reminded the assembly of the wrath of God that befell Ethiopia in the reign of Patriarch Yoséf (830–49) when the Ethiopians expelled the Egyptian bishop and chose one of their own, ibid., pp. 245–8. Cf. also History of the Patriarchs of Alexandria, in PO, x (1913), pp. 508–9; Renaudot, op. cit., pp. 273, 283–8.

loyalty of the community to the Egyptian Church. It is also possible to see a similar consistency in the position of the anti-Egyptian party.

It is most likely that the major protagonists of the radical view belonged to the House of Éwostatéwos. The tradition of Dábbrá Libanos about the council only refers to them as 'the other party'. However, their long-standing opposition to the Egyptian bishops, and their remarkable sense of independence, seem to warrant the proposed identification. Just as in the Council of Dábbrá Mîtmaq of 1450, the 'Nationalist Party', as it were, consisted of the majority of the assembled clergy. This time, however, it did not have the royal support. The Dábbrá Libanos party was determined to win the day, and applied all the political patronage at its disposal, outside the assembly. Ras Amdä-Mika'él, the most powerful official in Sháwa at the time, sided with Mårha-Kristos, and arranged a private audience for him with the king. The fate of the Council was decided at this secret meeting, and the king announced his final ruling the next day: 'We have ordered that men who are dependable go down [to Egypt], and we shall send a thousand shekel weights of gold to be given to the Holy Sepulchre, the patriarch of Alexandria, and the sultan of Egypt.'

Despite the numerical superiority of the opposition, Bá'idä-Maryam thus decided in favour of the continued dependence of the Ethiopian Church on the patriarchate of Alexandria. It is impossible to tell what Zār'a-Ya'iqob's position in similar circumstances would have been. It must be said, however, that his stand in the Council of Dábbrá Mîtmaq had been decisively nationalistic. He was also apparently reluctant to ask for new Egyptian bishops, after the death of Mi'ka'el and Gâbri'él, in the last ten years of his reign. The radical issue of independence from Alexandria was no doubt the culmination of the religious nationalism so characteristic of the remarkable career of Zār'a-Ya'iqob.

1 Cf. pp. 225–6 above. It is in fact apparent that the traditions about Täklâ-Haymanot's 13th century decision that no Ethiopian should be appointed bishop, and that bishops should always come from Egypt, have their origin in this 15th-century stand of his monastery as a staunch supporter of Alexandria. For these traditions about Täklâ-Haymanot see specially Bruce, Travels to Discover the Source of the Nile, i (1790), p. 534.

2 This is attested by the traditions of Dábbrá Libanos itself, '[the supporters of Mårha-Kristos] numbered three hundred only . . . and the other party, four hundred', 'Gâdlâ Mårha-Kristos', p. 243.

3 Ras Amdä-Mika'él was already a strong provincial governor of Fätäggar in Zār'a-Ya'iqob's reign, Les Chroniques, p. 15. For further notes about him see pp. 286–90.

CHAPTER VII
Early Contacts with Christian Europe
(1380–1460)

The Council of Däbrä Miṭmaq in 1450 brought an end to the most important schism between northern Ethiopia, increasingly led by the influential ‘house of Ėwoṣtatéwos’, and the rest of the Christian kingdom which had persistently followed the leadership of the Egyptian bishops, particularly on the question of the Sabbath.  

The resolution of this religious controversy, which had considerable regional and political implications, tremendously increased the power of the central Court throughout the realms of Christian Ethiopia. Zăr’a-Ya’iqob further promoted this vital development by invoking the highest symbols of Ethiopian Christian traditions to enhance the position of the monarchy in Church and State, and to fortify the unity of his Christian subjects. Perhaps the most dramatic example of this deliberate policy was his revival of the old custom of formal coronation in the ancient city of Aksum. In 1436, two years after he took over as king of Ethiopia in Shāwa, he travelled to Tigré, and underwent the customary rites of coronation in the ancient cathedral city of Aksum. The same formalities of sacral enthronement were probably used every time a new king was proclaimed in the Christian kingdom. The special significance of Zăr’a-Ya’iqob’s decision was

2 *Les Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’eqob et de Ba’eda Maryam*, pp. 49–52, 83. It is significant that Mäšhafo-Aksum enters the reign of Zăr’a-Ya’iqob from this date—89 Year of Grace; *Liber Aksumae*, ed. Conti Rossini, pp. 4–5, 67. Hamasén traditions collected by Kolmodin simply say that the king was there in that year, op. cit., A24; ‘Tarikä-Nägäst’, MS. Däbrä Šigé, pp. 54–5.
3 The best description of the ceremony on such occasions is available in Or. 817, MS. B.M., ff. 11b–12. Cf. also *Chronica de Susenyo rei de Ethiopia*, ed. Pereira, pp. 123–4. According to some traditions similar formalities were undertaken in places other than Aksum. Lalibîla was enthroned in the same way in Bugna, Perruchon, *Vie de Lalibla, roi d’Ethiopie* (1892), pp. 107–8. A slightly different description is given about the coronation of one of his predecessors in Lasta, ‘Gädlä Yimriha-Kristos’, MS. Lalibîla, f. 28b. Bä’idät-Maryam, son and successor of Zăr’a-Ya’iqob, was crowned in the same way as his father, but in Amhara. Officials from Aksum were specially brought for the ceremony. Later the king planned to reconfirm the ceremony by going to Aksum. But hostilities
his particular insistence that the ceremony of his coronation be conducted at Aksum Śiyon.

Śiyon, a term figuratively applied to St. Mary, is also used in reference to the kingdom as a symbol of the special identity of Christian Ethiopia, surrounded by a hostile Muslim and pagan world in north-east Africa. It is used in much the same way as the biblical concept of Mount Zion, from which it is derived, and constitutes an integral part of the legend of the queen of Sheba.

The historical connection between the Christian kingdom and the queen of Sheba is still unknown. Cosmas, who visited the kingdom of Aksum on the eve of Kalébb’s expedition to south Arabia refers to the queen of Sheba ‘of the Homerite country’, but he does not at all connect her with the western side of the Red Sea except to say that she obtained many of her rare presents to King Solomon from the land of Ethiopia. About three centuries later, however, a chronicler of the Alexandrian Church makes a reference to ‘Abyssinia which is a vast country, namely, the kingdom of Saba from which the queen of the South came to Solomon, the Son of David the King’. Abu Salih who wrote in the beginning of the thirteenth century repeats the same point, but also provides an important addition:

The Abyssinians possess also the Ark of the Covenant, in which are the two tables of stone, inscribed by the finger of God with the commandments which he ordained for the children of Israel... And the Ark is attended and carried by a large number of Israelites descended from the family of the prophet David...

from Adal made it difficult, Les Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’aqob, pp. 124-6, 149-50. It is also apparent that a more localized, tribal ceremony was held in Amhara at the accession of the kings. Apart from the secrecy with which it was undertaken, and the sacral use of woollen blankets, nothing is as yet known about this tribal custom, ibid., pp. 167-8.

1 Amdā-Śiyon’s accusation against Sābrādin on the eve of their hostilities in 1332 was that he ‘coveted the throne of David and he says “I shall reign in Śiyon”, Perruchon, Histoire des guerres d’Amde Seyon, p. 281. Amano, who refused to pay tribute to Amdā-Śiyon, is referred to as ‘a rebel against the king of Śiyon’, ibid., p. 287. Referring to Amdā-Śiyon’s message of congratulation to his highland Christian subjects following his successes in Adal the chronicle writes, ‘he sent messengers to the country of his kingdom which is Śiyon’, ibid., p. 301.


4 This is the story of the life of Patriarch Cosmas (c. 920-32), The History of the Patriarchs, ii, pt. 2, p. 118.

Essentially, the Kibrä-Nägäst, which is the Ethiopic version of the legend of the queen of Sheba, also transmits the same tradition. Indeed it is interesting that according to its Ethiopian compilers the Arabic version of the tradition first appeared in Ethiopia in 1225, not much after Abu Salih wrote his book. It is apparent, however, that even before the first compilation of the Kibrä-Nägäst in the first years of the reign of Amdä-Šiyyon (1314–44), the tradition was widely diffused in Christian Ethiopia,¹ and had gradually been transformed, from a mere tradition of the Jewish origin of the Ethiopian state, into an account of the origin of the Christian tribes of the Ethiopian highlands.² As the repository of the Ark of the Covenant, also called Šiyyon, the ancient city of Aksum was the focal point of this tradition and its tribal ramifications. Zär’a-Ya’iqob was the first king of the new dynasty who is known to have been formally crowned there, and in doing this he sought to invest the monarchy with all the religious and political symbols of ancient Aksum.

Ethiopia and the Crusades
The pragmatic aspect of Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s policy in doing this was of course his intensive programme of strengthening his military and political control of northern Ethiopia. The major routes to Alexandria and the Holy Land ran through these northern provinces, and the rulers of the Christian kingdom had always been anxious to establish a secure and a more direct outlet in this direction. Ever since the new dynasty came to power the kings had been highly sensitive to any problems of security on these routes. Some time between 1270 and 1277 a local rebel in Tigré intercepted Yikunno-Amlak’s envoys to Cairo and confiscated the presents sent to Sultan Baybars.³ It is not clear what Yikunno-Amlak did on that occasion, but it is apparent that, until the advent of Amdä-Šiyyon (1314–44),

² Many of the different tribes, particularly of northern Ethiopia, begin their tribal history by connecting their ancestors with the queen of Sheba, her son, or his companions from Jerusalem, cf. Conti Rossini ‘Studi su Popolazioni dell’Etiopia, pp. 59–60 (extract). Kolmodin, op. cit., Tr.3–Tr.5.
his immediate successors had very little power in the northern provinces. Amdä-Şiyon personally led a successful expedition in the area, established a non-Tigré military colony at Amba-Sänayata, the native district of the rebel leader Ya’ībbīkā-Egzi, and conducted his troops as far as the coast of the Red Sea.¹ He appointed a new set of local chiefs in the highland districts and, to ensure that they maintained a direct contact with his Court, he placed first his Tigré Queen, Bilén-Saba, and some time later one of his own sons, Bahir-Sägad, at the head of the administration of the northern provinces. He inaugurated a new period for the complete reunification of the Christian kingdom and his successors embarked on a more aggressive policy on this front. Amdä-Şiyon is the first among the Ethiopian kings whose names are recorded as benefactors of the library collection of the Ethiopian community in Jerusalem,² and from the reign of his son and successor, Säyfa-Ar’ad (1344–71), we begin to have very clear reports of Ethiopians residing in Egyptian monasteries.³ But together with their need to strengthen their traditional contacts with the patriarchate and the Holy Land, the Ethiopians were now looking at a much wider horizon and had begun to entertain strong hopes of establishing relations with the Christian nations of Europe. Although they have left no traces on the traditions of the kingdom, the Wars of the Crusades could have hardly been unknown to the Christians of Ethiopia. Only the relative weakness of the kingdom before the thirteenth century, and the need to maintain friendly relations with the new and strong Ayyubid dynasty in Egypt, had precluded the Ethiopians taking a close interest in the religious struggle of the eastern Mediterranean. Thus, even the daring


³ A MS. of the New Testament donated by Säyfa-Ar’ad to the Ethiopian Community at the monastery of Qusquam is among the Ethiopic collections of the Bibliothèque Nationale, Zotenberg, op. cit., no. 32. During their flight to Egypt the Holy Family are said to have resided at the site, Abu Salih, op. cit., pp. 224–6; Wansleb, op. cit., p. 22. As such, it is the centre of many Ethiopian religious traditions, Cerulli, Il libro etiopico dei miracoli di Maria, pp. 206–12. It seems that in Egypt the Ethiopian monks resided mainly at Qusquam, Har Zuwaila (in Cairo), and the monastery of Scete (Asqetis). At each of these places they had an Ethiopian head, but it is apparent from a short note belonging to the reign of Patriarch Yohannis (1484–1524) that the Jerusalem group had supremacy over the rest, Zotenberg, op. cit., no. 35.
maritime expedition of Reynald of Chatillon in the Red Sea, and his sacking of Aydab on the African coast, has not obtained a place in the traditional recollections of the highland Christians of Ethiopia.\(^1\) However, with the revival of the Christian kingdom, and particularly after the brilliant career of Amdä-Šiyon, the position was drastically changed. News of the Christian expansion and conquest in the Ethiopian region no doubt percolated through to Europe. Not only did European geographic notions about the Christians, south of Egypt, considerably improve as a result, but the Christians of Ethiopia also began to be thought of as possible allies in the preparation for another Crusade, after the fall of 'Akkah in 1291.\(^2\) One of the proposals for such an alliance was made by a man who knew the conditions of the region from very close quarters. Guillaume Adam, a Dominican monk who was later archbishop of Sultaniiyyah, had been in the island of Socotra for many months, and had been making unsuccessful attempts to travel to Ethiopia on many occasions. His plans for 'exterminating the Saracens', first submitted in 1317, were very ambitious, and envisaged the blockade of the eastern trade at the Gulf of Aden, with the military co-operation of the Christians of Ethiopia. In another report presented to King Philip of France in 1332 he mentions a strong Christian people 'who are now living enclosed by mountains in the direction of Egypt', no doubt a reference to the Christian subjects of Amdä-Šiyon.\(^3\) The desire to establish contacts was not only a European concern, but Ethiopian pilgrims in Palestine also expressed their unreserved enthusiasm for breaking the long isolation of their country from the Christian world. Niccolo da Poggibonsi, who travelled in the east in the years 1345–7, met a number of Ethiopians in Jerusalem and has this to say about their readiness to communicate with Christian Europe: 'The Christians of Ethiopia . . . would have willingly communicated with us Latins, but the sultan of Babilonia [i.e. Egypt] never lets a single

---


\(^2\) Cerulli, op. cit., pp. 91–8.

\(^3\) Ibid., pp. 95, 97, 99–100. Crawford, O. G. S., *Ethiopian Itineraries*, pp. 4–5. A short biographical note on Adam is given in *Recueil des historiens des Croisades, documents arméniens*, ii (1906), p. 523, note a. A much fuller account is given in Kohler, C., 'Documents relatifs à Guillaume Adam, Archévèque de Sultanieh, puis d'Antivari et son entourage (1318–46)', in *Revue de l'Orient Latin*, x (1903–4), pp. 16–17. In 1329, the date given for his death in the *Recueil* and adopted also by Crawford, he was only transferred to Antivari where he was still ruling in 1346, ibid., pp. 47, 49–56.
Latin pass towards their country, so that they do not enter into a treaty to make war against him.'

The vigilance of the Mamlukes in keeping out European Christians from Ethiopia is a constant theme in the reports of travellers of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. There was real concern in Cairo about the possible damage Christian Europe could do to the commercial and territorial interests of Egypt, with the use of the growing power of the kingdom. These fears were fully confirmed by the increasingly aggressive attitude that Amdā-Šiyon's successors had begun to assume on their northern frontiers. Traditions of uneasy relations with Egypt are available for the reign of Säyfā-Ar'ad. The last forty-two years (1340–82) of the Bahri Mamluke dynasty were a period of general decline and internal conflict in Egyptian history. During these years the Copts were an object of a widespread persecution, particularly in the reign of Al-Salih (1351-54). According to one tradition, Patriarch Marqos (1348–63) sent word to Säyfā-Ar'ad announcing his imprisonment by the sultan and soliciting his help. Säyfā-Ar'ad at once mobilized a huge army and began moving towards upper Egypt. At the news of the impending attack of his southern frontiers by the Ethiopian army, the sultan released the patriarch, and made him send a delegation to Säyfā-Ar'ad asking him to return to his country. A high-powered delegation was accordingly sent, consisting of a certain Abba Yohannis and another bishop accompanied by some priests and Muslim officials, who carried the sultan's presents to the Ethiopian king. The latter welcomed the envoys, accepted peace on condition that no more persecutions should be conducted against the Christians, and marched back to his kingdom, taking with him Abba Yohannis whom he forced to remain in Ethiopia. Another version of the same story attributes the delegation to quite a different reason. Ethiopian Muslims, persecuted by

6 Perruchon, Notes pour l'histoire d'Éthiopie, in RS, i (1893), pp. 177–82. Budge, E. A. W., The Book of the Saints of the Ethiopian Church (1928), i, pp. 177–9. For the text see 'Sinkisar', Or. 667, MS. B.M. (17th C.), f. 52. Here the patriarchal envoys are identified with Abba Yohannis, Egyptian bishop of
Säyfā-Ar’ad, had appealed for Egyptian intervention, and it was in response to this call that the envoys went to Ethiopia. However, it is quite possible that the two incidents were not completely unconnected, and there are other traditions indicating that the king was in northern Ethiopia at the time with a very large army. It is also interesting to note, that these traditional accounts of Säyfā-Ar’ad’s expedition towards the Nile valley find an invaluable confirmation in the reports of a relatively contemporary European traveller. In 1432, Bertrand de la Brocquière met a Neapolitan called Pietro at the port of Pera, near Constantinople. Pietro had just been to Ethiopia on a mission there from the Duke of Berry in France. Pietro had stayed in Ethiopia for some years and had married an Ethiopian lady. His reports on Ethiopia during the reign of Yishaq (1413–30) are remarkably authentic. Pietro gave the following account of the expedition led by the grandfather of Yishaq, i.e. Säyfā-Ar’ad, in the direction of the Nile valley:

... When the king of Cyprus conquered Alexandria, the grandfather of the present king left his country to come to Jerusalem, and he had with him three million people. And when he reached the river Nile, he received reports that the king of Cyprus had abandoned Alexandria... He then asked his councillors how many of his troops had survived the heat of the desert route; and, discovering that he had lost two million of his followers, he decided to return to his kingdom.

The invasion and seven days’ occupation of Alexandria by Pierre de Lusignan, king of Cyprus, took place in 1365, towards the end of the reign of Säyfā-Ar’ad. Historians of the Crusades tell us that the Christians of Egypt paid very dearly for the adventures of Pierre de Lusignan, in the form of exorbitant taxes and popular persecution. It is interesting, therefore, that precisely such popular persecutions of Jerusalem, and Qérisot, Episcopos of Annas. Yohannis later died in Ethiopia in October 1390. In the Dabrā Şigė MS. he is simply referred to as Abba Yohannis, 'of the country of Ethiopia'.

1 The army was so large that an epidemic broke out in Aksum where they had camped. The king then led his men to Dabrā Libanos of Shimāzana further north, Conti Rossini, 'L’evangelo d’oro di Dabra Libanos', p. 177 n. 2. The king is elsewhere said to have visited the old monastic leader, Mādhanīnā-Igzī at Dabrā Bankol, Basset, Études sur l’histoire d’Ethiopie (1882), p. 100. Cf. also Liber Aksumae, pp. 21–2 (text), and p. 24 (tr.) n. 4.
4 Atiyah, op. cit., p. 377.
the Copts should be given as the reason for the traditional expedition of Säyfa-Ar'ad towards the frontiers of Egypt.¹

More reliable sources indicate that King Dawít (1380–1412) took the offensive against Egypt much further than his father ever did. Maqrizi² reports that in 1381 news arrived in Cairo that 'an army sent by Dawít, son of Säyfa-Ar'ad, king of Ethiopia, had entered in the territory of Aswan, had defeated the Arabs, and committed frightful ravages on the lands of Islam'. The Amir sent for Patriarch Matéwos (1378–1408) and ordered him to write at once to the Ethiopians to stop their hostilities against Egypt. The Patriarch at first refused to co-operate. Finally he agreed to send the letter required by the sultan, who also sent his own delegation, led by the Amir of the important Mediterranean port of Damietta. A whole cycle of traditional accounts is available about Dawít’s attempt to attack Mamluke Egypt, and, freed from their hagiographical trappings, these traditions essentially agree with Maqrizi. Some of these traditions indicate that Dawít’s aim was to go to Jerusalem.³ Others report precisely what Maqrizi says, that Dawít’s army had reached the territory of Aswan.⁴ There seems to be little or no doubt that, on the eve of the advent of the Burji dynasty of Mamluke Egypt, King Dawít had in fact led his troops beyond the northern frontiers of his kingdom, and created much havoc among the Muslim inhabitants of the area who had been within the sphere of influence of Egypt since the thirteenth century. The effect of this action was

¹ See p. 253 nn. 5 and 6.
² Extract in Quatremère, Mémoires, ii, pp. 276–7.
³ ‘And then Dawít II, King of Ethiopia, went to Jerusalem’, 'Mar Yishaq', MS. Dima, f. 171b. ‘And then Dawít II thought, and wished to go to Jerusalem. He looked for men who knew the way, and who would guide him there’, ‘Gädlä Särşä-Pétros’, MS. Dabrä Wärq, f. 47a. This Dawít was the first Ethiopian king to bear the name. He is called Dawít II in deference to the legend of the queen of Sheba and the first Dawít is King David of Isra’el. Perruchon did not apparently realize this when he attributed a document about this Dawít (1380–1412) to the reign of Libnä-Dingil (1508–40), who also bore the name Dawít II, 'Notes pour l'Histoire d'Éthiopie', in RS, vi (1898), pp. 157–71.
⁴ One version has it that the Egyptian sultan sent the patriarch to persuade Dawít to march back, and they met at Aswan, 'which is the frontier between Ethiopia and Egypt', 'Mäshafat-Tefut', MS. Institute of Archaeology, Addis Ababa. His itineraries are given elsewhere as follows: Hagärä-Nagran, 'on the borders of the Sudan', the Nile valley, 'and he reached the land of Kusa which is in Upper Egypt and camped there', 'Gädlä Särşä-Pétros', f. 48. According to a 17th-century version, Dawít’s huge army passed through Sära’ē, Hamsëñ, and into Sinnar where the patriarch’s message of peace reached them, Gàdlä Mürqor-ěwos, ed. Conti Rossini, pp. 42–4.
certainly felt in the Nile valley, and as far north as the Egyptian frontiers. Five years after the campaign, when Barquq (1382–9), the founder of the new dynasty, was well on the saddle of power, peaceful relations were re-established, and Dawit sent a rich gift to the sultan, consisting of twenty-one camel loads of Ethiopian curiosities.¹

The readiness displayed by Säyfî-Ar’ad and Dawit to come to a direct military confrontation with Egypt increased Mamluke determination to isolate Ethiopia from Christian Europe. But it also seems to have made a strong impact on European travellers in Palestine, and the attempts to create relations with the kingdom became particularly active from this period onwards. Ethiopian pilgrims were found in the monasteries of Egypt, in the Holy Places of Palestine, and in Cyprus,² and they served as an important link between Ethiopia and the rest of the Christian world. European travellers in Palestine transmitted exaggerated notions of the decisive role which the kingdom could play in a united Christian front against the Muslim powers of the eastern Mediterranean. But even these exaggerated notions were based on authentic reports which the travellers collected among the Ethiopians they met during their sojourn in the east.³ The Mamluke ‘iron curtain’ was never completely closed, and

¹ According to Maqrizi this gift ‘consisted of all kinds of precious objects which could be found in Ethiopia. There were, above all, many candlesticks full of gold grains of the size of peas’, Quatremerû, op. cit., p. 277.
² In Ethiopian traditions the presence of a community in Cyprus is represented in Gâdli Gövostatêwos, ed. B. Turalev, pp. 101–19. See also Conti Rossini, ‘Sulla communita abissino di Cipro’, in RSE, ii (1942), pp. 98–9. Cerulli, E., Etiopi in Palestina, i (1943), pp. 33–7. In the second half of the 15th century a small Ethiopian community was also formed in Lebanon, ibid., pp. 325–33.
³ A constant theme in the accounts of European travellers since the 14th century is the absolute control which the Ethiopians were believed to have over the flow of the Nile, ibid., pp. 116–19. This early tradition, was current both among the Ethiopians themselves and the Egyptian Muslims, Al-Umari, Masalik, tr. Gaudefroy-Demombynes, p. 30 and n. 1. Ethiopic hagiographies insist that one of the strategies used by Dawit during his campaign against Egypt was to divert the flow of the river Nile, ‘Gâdli Sârî-Petros’, f. 48v. ‘Mîshafî-Tefut’, MS. Institute of Archaeology, Addis Ababa. ‘Tarîkî-Nagást’, MS. Dabrâ Marquos ff. 31–2. Pietro, who was in Ethiopia in Yishaq’s reign, reported in 1432 that ‘if it pleases the Prester John, he could very well make the river flow in another direction’, Schefer, op. cit., p. 146. Even Zâr’â-Ya’iqub refers to the same point in a letter he wrote to Jaqmaq, Al-Sakhawi, Al-Tibr Al-Masbuk (Cairo, 1896), p. 70. This tradition was seriously considered by the strategists of the later Crusades, Hayton, ‘La Flor des Estoires de la Terre d’Orient’, in Recueil des historiens des Croisades: Documents Arméniens vi (1906), pp. 232, 241, 247. Blochet, E., ed., ‘Neuf Chapitres du “Songe du viel Pélerin” de Philippe de Mézières relatif à l’orient’, in ROC, iv (1889), pp. 373–4. Cerulli, op. cit., pp. 92–9, 155–61.
by the turn of the fourteenth century, when the Christian kingdom began to show a favourable response, isolated individuals from Europe could also penetrate the Ethiopian highlands.

The earliest known message to Ethiopia from a European monarch is the letter of King Henry IV, dated 1400, and addressed to the ‘king of Abyssinia, Prester John’. ¹ Before his coronation as Henry IV, the earl of Derby had been to the Holy Land in 1392–3, and had apparently heard of the Ethio-Egyptian hostilities in the early part of the reign of King Dawit (1380–1412). In fact, he complimented Dawit on his desire to liberate the Holy Sepulchre from the Muslims, and expressed his own hope of returning once again to Palestine. Apparently for security reasons, the bearer of the letter was instructed to convey in person Henry’s real intentions, and it seems that he aimed at concluding an alliance for Dawit’s participation in a crusade. It is not known if Henry’s letter ever reached Ethiopia.² However, Ethiopian traditions attribute very active external relations to the reign of King Dawit: “And the people of Rome, and Constantinople, and Syria, and Armenia, and Egypt, sent to Dawit II, king of Ethiopia, saying “... the rulers of the Muslims rose against us, to destroy (our) Faith in Christ so that we may not call his Name and that we may not worship his venerable Cross...””³ Soon after King Henry’s letter, the first Ethiopian embassy to Europe is reported, in 1402.⁴ Led by a Florentine called Antonio Bartoli, the embassy


² Apparently to avoid its possible interception by the Mamlukes the letter was entrusted to Archbishop John of Sultaniyah, on the eastern land route. Another reason for the choice of this route seems to be the king’s attempt to facilitate the reception of Dominican preachers from the diocese of Sultaniyah in which Ethiopia seems to have been included by the Papal Bull of John XXII. According to the archbishop himself, however, the missionary programme was still unfulfilled in 1402, ibid., pp. 207–8. Cf. also Conti Rossini, ‘Sulle Missioni dominicane in Etiopia nel secolo XIV’, in RRAL, ser. 7, vol. i (1940), pp. 71–98.

³ Mâšafâ-Ṭefût, MS. Institute of Archaeology, Addis Ababa.

⁴ Cerulli, op. cit., p. 208. An itinerary dated in Dawit’s reign and believed to
also included some Ethiopians and thus began a new chapter of intermittent, but direct, contact with Europe which was given a particular impetus in the reigns of Yishaq and Zăr’a-Ya’iqob.

Maqrizi accuses King Yishaq of writing to Europe proposing a grand Christian alliance against Islam.¹ According to Taghri Birdi (1409–70), Yishaq sent his envoys on the occasion of the Mamluke conquest of the kingdom of Cyprus.² Soon afterwards, in 1427, Alphonso V of Aragon received a delegation from Yishaq in the city of Valenta.³ Probably the same envoys also called at other European courts. King Dawīt had already received some Italian craftsmen consisting mainly of Florentines.⁴ Yishaq also had at his court a former Mamluke official in Upper Egypt and an Egyptian Christian with whose help he was reorganizing his army and the administration of his kingdom.⁵ It is apparent that, more than anything else, the purpose of the delegations sent out to Europe was to ask for more artisans and military experts. In a letter (September 1450) addressed to Zăr’a-Ya’iqob Alphonso refers to the men he had already sent to Ethiopia: ‘... those thirteen men, masters of the different arts, who were requested from us many years ago by you great brother. We sent them, and they died on the way being unable to pass [through the Muslim lands].’⁶ The embassy of the Duke of Berry was much


¹ History Regum Islamicorum in Abyssiniae, ed. and tr. Rinck (Leiden, 1790), p. 8.

² History of Egypt (1382–1469), tr. Popper, W., part 4, p. 60. The date of this conquest is 1424–6, Hitti, op. cit., pp. 697–9.

³ La Roncière, op. cit., pp. 115–16.


⁵ Maqrizi, op. cit., pp. 7–8.

⁶ Creone, F., ‘La politica orientale di Alfonso di Aragona’, in Archivio storico per le Province Napoletane, vol. 27 (1902), p. 40. Alphonso’s embassy to Yishaq was led by his own confessor, Joanne Boniae, who spoke Arabic. Their instructions were to proceed first to Jerusalem then to Ethiopia via Egypt, studying in the meantime the routes and military conditions of the country, La Roncière, loc. cit.
luckier. Consisting of the Neapolitan Pietro, a Spaniard, and a Frenchman, they apparently succeeded in reaching Ethiopia through Egypt in the reign of Yishaq. In 1432, two years after his companions had lost their lives on the way, Pietro was at Pera telling his story to Bertrand de la Brocquière. ¹ He was apparently busy recruiting craftsmen, and he even persuaded Bertrand to go back with him to Ethiopia. ² Pietro had already been in the country for some time before, and it seems that his mission was a result of Yishaq’s embassy to Europe in 1427. It was probably this embassy of King Yishaq that the Mamlukes intercepted in 1429 on its way back to Ethiopia. ³ It was led by a Persian merchant, Al-Tabrizi. Before his mission to Europe Al-Tabrizi had settled in Ethiopia for some time and had attracted the king’s attention for his resourceful pursuit of a mixed commercial enterprise. He kept a jeweller’s shop and was also engaged in long-distance trade importing arms and other goods from Egypt and Arabia. Yishaq had apparently taken him into his confidence, and entrusted to him his vital mission to the Christian princes of Europe. Two Ethiopian monks accompanied him. It is apparent that on their way out they avoided the lower valley of the Nile and proceeded, according to Maqrizi, ‘across the desert, beyond the oases...’, and took a boat from a north African port. This was a long and arduous route, and they decided to return through Egypt in disguise. But, betrayed by one of Al-Tabrizi’s slaves, they were arrested while disembarking in Alexandria. All their goods were confiscated, and Al-Tabrizi executed at a public square in Cairo, with the herald crying: ‘This is the punishment of those who carry arms to the enemy, and who play with two religions.’ ⁴

Zâr’a-Ya’iqob and his foreign relations

Like Dawit and Yishaq before him, Zâr’a-Ya’iqob pursued an active foreign policy. An essential part of this programme was his attempt to strengthen his control of northern Ethiopia, and to secure a direct


⁴ Maqrizi describes the goods confiscated from the Ethiopian delegation at the time, ‘... a large number of uniforms on which were embroidered [the symbols of] the cross, and the name of the Haty, written in golden letters. These were uniforms for the soldiers’, Quatremère, loc. cit.
outlet to the Red Sea. For over a century before his advent to power, the Christian tribes of Hamasná and Sārāʾē had undergone an active period of territorial expansion in what is today the Eritrean plateau. This had also been accompanied by the religious movement of the monastic centres in the outlying areas.1 One of these monasteries was Dābrā Bizān, first built in 1390/12 at a day’s distance from the [sea] of Ėrtra’.3 Situated on the eastern edge of the Eritrean plateau, and overlooking the major route between the Dahlak islands and the highland provinces, Bizān represented the movement of Christian expansion characteristic of the fourteenth century. In the early period of its development, its dependence on the kingdom for protection against its non-Christian neighbours was probably minimal. This seems to explain the hagiographical tradition that peaceful relations with the Muslims of the coastal areas could only be achieved through a bilateral agreement between Abba Filipos, its founder, and ‘the ruler of the sea who resides in the country of Delk’.4 During the whole period of the excommunication of the Ėwostathians, who gradually took control of the Eritrean region, their religious influence was an ominous force, and a potential danger to the unity of the Christian kingdom. It seems quite possible to discern this delicate situation, not only in Dawīt’s revision of his early anti-Ėwostatēwos policy,5 but also in Zār’a-Ya’iqob’s complete identification with their religious views.

His pacification of the Ėwostathian monasteries, and his re-unification of the Church in 1450, placed Zār’a-Ya’iqob in an excellent position to undertake a more radical policy in the Eritrean region than any of his predecessors. In 1448/9 he established military colonies in the districts of the Eritrean plateau. The colonists were recruited from a Shāwan tribe called Maya,6 and their reception by the local people was clearly hostile. A hagiographical tradition of the late fifteenth century puts this in very good relief: ‘... the earth trembled on their arrival, and those who inhabit (the earth) were distressed ... All the people left the country and fled out of fear ...’7 This was part of a

1 See pp. 210–11.
2 Kolmodin, Traditions, A23.
3 Gāddāt Filipos (Bizān), ed. Conti Rossini, p. 91.
4 Ibid., p. 106.
6 Kolmodin, op. cit., A24. The Maya were a pastoral people in the Shāwan district of Wāj. Armed with poisoned arrows their warriors were formidable among the Christian army in the Wars of Fragn, Fāṭūḥ al-Habasha, tr. Basset, p. 82 n. 3; Gāddāt Yonas, ed. and tr. Conti Rossini, pp. 181–3.
7 Ibid., p. 244; cf. Kolmodin, op. cit., Tr.20–1.
general policy adopted by Zär’a-Ya’iqob throughout his empire, and was aimed at strengthening the defence of his kingdom and ensuring a more direct military control of the provinces. In the Eritrean plateau, however, it was closely connected with his militant foreign policy and assumed a particular importance. He grouped together the districts of Shiré, Sāra’ē, Hamasén, and Bur, and placed them under one administration entrusted to the Bahir-Nāgash. These administrative and military rearrangements were only a prelude to a more aggressive move against the formerly independent Muslim rulers of the islands of Miṣiwwa and Dahlak. In 1449/50, only one year after the establishment of the military colonies in the highland districts, Zär’a-Ya’iqob started building his own sea-port at Girar, on the mainland opposite the island of Miṣiwwa. It is apparent that Yishaq had also made a similar attempt before him. Zär’a-Ya’iqob intended, however, a more permanent and effective occupation of the coastal districts. No doubt, this Christian activity was looked at with much hostility by the local Muslims, and it probably led to armed conflicts. In the end, it is reported that the islands of Miṣiwwa and Dahlak were pillaged in 1464/5, and that the Qadi lost his life in the encounter.

An exactly similar pattern of development characterized Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s relations with the Mamlukesultans of Egypt. It appears that, towards the beginning of his reign, he made a serious attempt to be on good terms with them. According to Maqrizi, Zär’a-Ya’iqob wrote to Sultan Barsbay (1422–38) in the year 1437/8. The letter expressed friendly sentiments towards the ruler of Egypt, whom he entreated to protect his Christian subjects, and to respect their churches. This friendly message was also accompanied with a present ‘consisting of gold, civet-cats, and other precious objects’. Only three years later, however, Patriarch Yohannis XI (1428–53) wrote to Zär’a-Ya’iqob announcing the demolition of the famous church of Mitmaq (al-Magtas) on the orders of the same sultan. When the news reached

1 Les Chroniques de Zar’a-Y’a’eqob et de Ba’eda Maryam, pp. 45–8.
2 Ibid., pp. 47–8.
3 Kolmodin, op. cit., A 25, A 32 n. 5.
4 Miṣiwwa is mentioned among numerous other places where the king seems to have conducted military campaigns, Guidi, I, ‘Le canzoni Ge’ez-Amarina in onore di re Abissini’, in RRA.L, ser. 4, vol. v (1899), p. 57.
5 Kolmodin, op. cit., A 25.
6 Extract in Quatremère, Mémoires, ii, pp. 278–9.
7 Cerulli, E., Il libro etiopico dei miracoli di Maria, p. 124; Perruchon, Les Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’eqob, p. 56.
him, Zâr’a-Ya’iqob held a public mourning with his bishops, and immediately provided for the building of a new church, to be named Dâbrâ Miţmaq (after the demolished Egyptian church), at Éguba in Tâgulât, where he was camping at the time. He then sent envoy to Sultan Jaqmaq (1438–53) with a strongly worded letter. The message reached Cairo in November 1443. Zâr’a-Ya’iqob declared to the sultan that the current persecutions against the Copts were a breach of the complete freedom of worship granted them by previous Muslim rulers. He complained strongly of the general insecurity of the Christians, whose possessions were unjustly taken from them, and whose churches were openly desecrated by the populace, with the full knowledge of the government officials. He reminded the sultan, too, that he had himself many Muslim subjects, and he was very kind to them. Unlike the restrictions on the Christians in Egypt, Muslims in Ethiopia dressed as they wished, rode well-harnessed horses and mules, and lived in peace. Not even the Jizya was levied on them. This Zâr’a-Ya’iqob declared, was a great sacrifice to his treasury for, if he collected Jizya from his Muslim subjects, his wealth would have been considerably increased. Zâr’a-Ya’iqob also made a reference to the Nile, which, he said, rose in his realms, and it was within his power to divert its course. He desisted from doing it, only for the fear of God, and in consideration of the human sufferings that would result from it. Finally, he reminded Jaqmaq that his father Dawît had corresponded with Barquq, and they had established peace and friendship between them. He now asked for the preservation of the traditional amicable relations between the two countries based on mutual respect.

In reply to this message Jaqmaq sent an envoy to Ethiopia, with complimentary gifts to the king. The envoy also carried a letter which rejected Zâr’a-Ya’iqob’s demand for the building and renewal of the churches in Egypt as extravagant. Disappointed at this reply, the king detained the envoy in Ethiopia. Relations between the two countries were at this low ebb when Zâr’a-Ya’iqob’s final victory over the Muslim king of Adal, Ahmad Badlay, in 1445, further increased Jaqmaq’s fury against his Christian subjects. The inter-

---

1 Ibid., pp. 56–7, 87–8.
3 It is not clear what Zâr’a-Ya’iqob meant by this.
4 A reference, no doubt, to Dawît’s message in 1386; cf. p. 256 n. 1.
5 The envoy’s name is given as Yahya b. Ahmad b. Shadibek, Al-Sakhawi, op. cit., pp. 71–2.
military struggle with Badlay had started in earnest in 1443, and was not completed until Badlay was defeated and killed in 1445, at the battle of Gomit in Dāwarī. Characteristically, Zār’a-Ya’iqob fully exploited the propaganda value of this success and, incorporated in the compilations of the miracles of St. Mary, it has become perhaps the best-known military campaign in the history of the Christian kingdom. The Egyptian envoy was still in detention at the time of the Christian victory, and apparently suffered a number of indignities on the occasion. In retaliation, Jaaqmaq called Patriarch Yohannis, and had him severely beaten, and threatened him even with death. He then sent another message to Ethiopia demanding the release of his envoy. Probably, the patriarch was also made to send his own request on behalf of the Muslim diplomat. After ‘nearly four years’ as Al-Sakhawi has it, Zār’a-Ya’iqob finally agreed to send his detaine back to Egypt.

The news of Zār’a-Ya’iqob’s success over his Muslim adversary in Adal, and his quarrel with the Mamluke sultan, reached Europe, and was received with much satisfaction. In a letter dated 3 July 1448, Jean de Lastic, the Grand Master of Rhodes, told Charles VII, King of France:

According to what has been reported to us by some Indian priests here in Rhodes, on the basis of veritable testimonies, the Prester John of the Indies has greatly demonstrated [his powers] over the Saracins, his

1 This early conflict is not mentioned in the king’s chronicles, and is very little known. It took place 9 years and 2 months after the advent of Zār’a-Ya’iqob to the throne. It apparently consisted of a surprise attack by Badlay on an unspecified Christian district where churches were burnt and many spoils carried away. It was a Muslim success, Cerulli, ‘L’Etiopia del secolo XV in nuovi documenti storici’, in Africa Italiana, v (1933), pp. 83–4, 88, 96.


3 All the above stories on the campaigns published by Cerulli, quoted above, were taken from the Miracles of St. Mary, Il libro etiopico dei miracoli di Maria, p. 94. According to the chronicler the remains of Badlay’s mutilated body were displayed in public in various parts of the country and his personal possessions distributed among the major royal churches, to be kept as souvenirs and displayed in public on the anniversaries of the victory, Les Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’eqob, pp. 65–6, 117. The visitor to Dābrā Wāqr is shown a finely worked shirt in iron chain, and a helmet which local monastic traditions claim belonged to Badlay, and were sent there by Zār’a-Ya’iqob, ‘Gádla Sāršā-Pēetros’, f. 40b.

4 Al-Sakhawi, op. cit., p. 72; Wiet, op. cit., p. 125. It was probably after the return of this envoy that Jaaqmaq forbade the patriarch to have any direct communications with Ethiopia except with special permission. The order was given in 1448, Al-Sakhawi, op. cit., p. 210; Wiet, op. cit., p. 122 n. 2.
neighbours, and he has killed many among them, above all those who claim descent from Muhammad. The ‘house’ of Wālasma, from which Badlay derived his origin, claimed Quraish or Hashemite descent from the Hijaz, and the last phrase quoted above seems to refer to his defeat in 1445. The Grand Master also recounts Zār’a-Ya’iqb’s quarrel with the Mamluke sultan, and gives some of the contents of the king’s letter to Jaqmaq in 1443 with a remarkable degree of exactitude. But, carried away by his Crusader’s spirit, and probably also by the patriotism of his Ethiopian informants, he declares that the Prester John would soon devastate Egypt, Arabia, and Syria. More important still, he also refers to the long detention of an Egyptian ambassador in Ethiopia. In reprisal against this, Jaqmaq had also arrested, we are told, an Ethiopian envoy, on his way back from the Holy Land. Jean Germain, bishop of Chalon-sur-Saône probably had the same information from similar sources, and he repeated many of the Grand Master’s points about the Prester John, in 1452.

The Ethiopian informants of the Grand Master of Rhodes were probably isolated individuals who had crossed over to Rhodes from the Holy Land. But their report of Christian military success in Ethiopia greatly facilitated Zār’a-Ya’iqb’s official mission to Europe in 1450. This time, the Ethiopian mission was entrusted to a Sicilian, Pietro Rombulo, who had been in Ethiopia since the last years of Dawit’s reign. He had previously carried out a successful trade mission to India on behalf of the king, and he was now sent back to Europe as Zār’a-Ya’iqb’s ambassador. He was accompanied by an Ethiopian priest, Firé-Mika’él, and two other individuals.

1 Marquis de Lastic, Chronique de la Maison de Lastic, i (1919), pp. 329–30; La Roncière, op. cit., p. 119.
3 According to Al-Sakhawi Jaqmaq detained for a short time Zār’a-Ya’iqb’s official who had accompanied Yahya back to Egypt, op. cit., p. 72.
6 Creone, F., ‘La politica orientale di Alfonso di Aragone’, pp. 71–2, 75–6. Alphonso’s letter only mentions one other member of the delegation, Anomer Jandi, elsewhere referred to as ‘Baumer Moro’. A third delegate, probably an Ethiopian Christian, called Demetrio is mentioned in an entry of Alphonso’s financial aid to the mission, Minieri, R. C., ‘Alcuni fatti di Alfonso di Aragone dal
A fifteenth-century Madonna by an Ethiopian painter, Firé-Šiyon, who lived in the reign of Zār’ā-Ya’iqob (1434–68)
principal object of the mission was apparently Pietro’s own homeland where Alphonso of Aragon, who had already established good relations with Yishaq, also ruled as king of Naples and Sicily.

In his letter to Zär’a-Ya’iqob, Alphonso says that the Ethiopian mission came to his court ‘having at first visited our lord, the Pope’. This itinerary is fully confirmed by two short but valuable documents recently published by Charles-Martial de Witte. Pietro, Firé-Mîka’sél, and ‘Abumar Elzend’ were given an audience by Pope Nicolas V (1447–55), and they proceeded to Naples with a papal escort and a letter of safe conduct. But the purpose of the visit to Rome is not clearly known. Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s strong monophysite stand rules out any theological and doctrinal reasons for his envoys calling at the papal court. Right from the beginning of their contact with European Christians, the Ethiopians had always been impressed by the political and military aspects of an over-all Christian solidarity against the Muslim powers of the Near East, and the advantages of sharing in the superior technical advancement of European nations. This had been the underlying motive of the policies of both Dawît and Yishaq, who sent their embassies to Europe asking for technical aid. Zär’a-Ya’iqob was only doing the same thing in 1450. With the advice of his Italian ambassador, Pietro Rombulo, the king did not hesitate to appeal for technical assistance even through the papacy, which was a strong European state in its own right.

15 Aprile 1437 al 31 Maggio 1458', in Archivio storico per le Province Napolitane, vi (1889), p. 257.

1 Creone, op. cit., p. 64.
2 Ch.-M. de Witte, ‘Une ambassade éthiopienne à Rome en 1450’, in Orientalia Christiana Periodica, xxi (1956) pp. 295–7. The letter of safe conduct is dated 20 May 1450. Abumar Elzend is the Anomer Jandi or ‘Baumer Moro’ of the Neapolitan documents; de Witte reconstructs the name as Abu Omar al-Zendi, and suggests that he may have been a Muslim business associate of Pietro Rombulo, ibid., pp. 288–9.
Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s mission to Alphonso was apparently successful. Although he was himself in dire need of more skilled labour, Alphonso wrote to Zär’a-Ya’iqob and informed him that he was sending him the artisans and masons he requested, to please ‘his dearest friend and brother’.¹ Pietro and his companions are also said elsewhere to have taken to Ethiopia ‘many of our artisans who adorned Ethiopia with their art’.² Evidence for their safe arrival in Ethiopia is almost completely absent, and, neither his own writings, nor his chroniclers, make any reference to Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s relations with Europe. But, according to the invaluable Vatican sources published by Charles-Martial de Witte, a certain George Sur was given a letter of safe conduct from Pope Nicolas V to go to Ethiopia. This happened soon after the departure of Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s delegation from Rome, and the papal letter was dated January 1451.³ Two other missions are also reported as having been sent to Zär’a-Ya’iqob from King Alphonso: the first led by one Michele Desiderio with a letter dated 18 January 1452; and the second under one Antonio Martinez with another letter dated 3 July 1453.⁴ There is no trace of these missions in Ethiopia, or in Ethiopian documents known to us so far. Nevertheless, Giovanni Battista da Imola, who came to Ethiopia in 1482 and returned safely to Jerusalem in 1483, reported that he met there ten Italians who had been in the country for twenty-five years. This takes the date of their arrival in Ethiopia to about 1457, in the reign of Zär’a-Ya’iqob. One of the people thus reported, a man called Lujas Bartuto, is particularly said to have gone to Ethiopia with a papal letter.⁵ It is not clear what this message was all about. But King Libnā-Dingil (1508–40), in the letter he sent to the Pope in 1526, refers to a book sent to Zär’a-Ya’iqob from the Vatican. Libnā-Dingil sent this document back to Rome, together with his other presents.⁶ It has been surmised that this document could have been the Bull of Union signed by the Conferees of the Council of Florence.

¹ Ibid., p. 40.
² Ch.-M. de Witte, op. cit., pp. 290–1, 297–8.
⁴ Suriano, F., Il Trattato di Terra Santa e dell’Oriente, ed. Golubovich, G., (Milan, 1900), p. 86. Somigli, T., Etiopia Francescana nei documenti dei secoli xvii e xviii, pt. 1 (1928), p. lxxxviii. The Franciscan mission of 1482 to Ethiopia is the last significant event in the early contacts between Ethiopia and Christian Europe in the period under review. But it will be considered in another context in the next chapter, see pp. 290–2.
⁵ Cf. Ramusio, Navigazioni e viaggi, i, p. 259.
A fifteenth-century painting by the famous Brancacone, also called Mäqrqwos. The inscription below the picture of St. George reads: 'I, Mäqrqwos, the Frank, drew this painting'
Despite the almost complete certainty that Union with Rome could not have been entertained in Ethiopia at the time, least of all by Zär’a-Ya’iqob,\(^1\) it is nevertheless possible that the unofficial Ethiopian observers sent from Jerusalem may have reported the results of the Council to King Zär’a-Ya’iqob. And there is nothing to preclude even a copy of the Bull of Union from having reached the Ethiopian royal Court.

In the traditions of the Ethiopian Church, the reigns of Dawît and Zär’a-Ya’iqob are particularly noted for the arrival in Ethiopia of numerous relics, including a piece of the True Cross. These relics are believed to have been deposited at Gishăn, the mountain prison of the royal princes. Zär’a-Ya’iqob is said to have entrusted the safe keeping of these treasures to the local clergy with the following message: ‘[The kings of the Franks] sent Us a piece of the True Cross. Enclosed within a golden box, it is placed inside another cross of gold of marvellous workmanship, and which can stand erect on a golden stand, provided for it by the wise men of the Franks.’\(^2\) It is probable that the story of the importation of these objects from Christian Europe in the reign of Zär’a-Ya’iqob largely refers to the mission led by Pietro Rombulo.

Although the advent of Europeans in Ethiopia in this period has left very little trace in Ethiopian documents, there can be no doubt that in the first half of the fifteenth century, precarious, but none the less continuous, relations were established between Europe and Ethiopia. The most authentic pieces of evidence for this are the map known as *Egyptus Novelo* (c. 1454) and Fra Mauro’s *Mappomondo* of 1460, which could only have been the outcome of many years of geographical knowledge about the Ethiopian highlands.\(^3\)

---

1. Cf. p. 265 n. 3.
2. Wright, *Catalogue*, Or. 481, ff. 208\(^b\)-209\(^a\). Together with the piece of the True Cross the king is said to have sent to Gishăn many other relics from the remains of the saints of the early Christian Church. A whole cycle of hagiographical traditions has developed around these relics, allegedly brought in the reigns of Dawît and Zär’a-Ya’iqob, and the possession of which is claimed by various monasteries, ‘Gadlâ Tâkâstâ-Birhan,’ MS. Dábâr Dima, ff. 1\(^v\), 16\(^b\)-17; ‘Gadlâ Sârças-Péţros’, MS. Dábâr Wârq, ff. 47-50; M. Cohen, ‘Dabra Worg,‘ in Mélanges René Basset, i (1923), pp. 148-50; ‘Mâshafâ-Tîfut’, MS. Institute of Archaeology, Addis Ababa; cf. also Basset, R., *Études*, p. 95; Cerulli, E., *Etiopì in Palestina*, i (1943), pp. 267-8.
CHAPTER VIII
Fifty Years of Decline
(1477–1527)

The internal struggle for royal succession among the descendants of Yikunno-'Amlak had been one of the major factors in the weakness of the dynasty in the first decade following the death of its founder.¹ The problem had never been entirely absent during the history of the next two centuries, and there were frequent signs of political unrest on the accession to power of many of the kings, even during the fourteenth century and in the first half of the fifteenth. By the last quarter of the fifteenth century, however, problems of succession assumed a very serious character, and in the end precipitated the decline of the Christian kingdom—a decline which served as an essential prelude to the remarkable military success of Ahmad Gragn.

We have already looked briefly at the constituent parts of the royal Court during the reign of Amdä-Šiyon.² Much of the struggle for succession was conducted there, and, to be able to appreciate the anatomy of medieval Ethiopian politics, we must now consider the development in the organization of the mobile Court.³ The life and

¹ Taddesse Tamrat, 'The Abbots of Däbrä Hayq', pp. 91–3.
² See pp. 103–6.
³ Material for this reconstruction has been obtained from isolated references in the chronicles and the abundant hagiographical traditions. Short unpublished accounts entirely devoted to the organization of the Court and the description of royal officials are available in the MS. collections of the British Museum, the Bodleian, and the Cambridge University Library: perhaps a copy of the earliest version is found in MS. Bruce, 88, Bodl., ff. 30⁵–34⁵, described in Dillmann, A., Catalogus Codicum manuscriptorum Bibliothecae Bodleianae Oxoniensis, pars vii. Codicis aethiopicorum. (Oxford, 1848), no. 29. The same version is also available at the British Museum, Wright, W., Catalogue of the Ethiopic Manuscripts in the British Museum (1877), Or. 821, ff. 99–108b. What appears to be a more recent version is given in the same MS., ff. 30⁵–35. At the Cambridge University Library, Or. 1873, ff. 3–11b also contains this later version, and is described by Ullendorff, E., Catalogue of the Ethiopic Manuscripts in the Cambridge University Library, pp. 62–3. It is also this later version that has been published according to different copies available in European libraries: Varenbergh, J., 'Studien zur abessinischen Reichsordnung (Sir'ata Mangist)', in ZA, xxx (1915–16), pp. 1–45; Guidi, I., 'Contributi alla storia letteraria di Abissinia', in RRLAL, ser. 5, vol. xxxi (1922),
person of the monarch constituted the focal point of the vast Christian empire of medieval Ethiopia. This was true in more than a literal sense, and the concentric arrangement of the royal camp was only its physical expression.

The organization of the Court

The private quarters of the king and his family consisted of two concentric enclosures at the heart of the huge conglomeration of people assembled at the court. The inner enclosure, separated from the outer one with curtains, or with a fence in cases of a longer sojourn at the site, was exclusively set aside for the use of the king, and all the tents pitched there served his personal convenience. The king’s special confidants, literally called ‘inner pages’, officiated here and provided for his personal needs. Thirteen separate exits, each with its own name, led to the outer enclosure. The principal exit, Wdlnash Däj, probably opened in a westerly direction, in a straight line with the residence of the king at the centre. On either side of this principal gate were six others, equidistant from each other, and thus constituting the remaining twelve exits of the inner

pp. 65–89. It is apparent, however, that what we have in these short accounts is only a small section of a much fuller treatise on the subject, now probably lost to us for ever. In Wright, op. cit., Or. 821, ff. 3b–5a, and Varenbergh, op. cit., pp. 12–15, we only have what appears to be a list of contents of a more complete description of the Court, and the institutions of the kingdom. Contemporary accounts which have come down to us intact are the description of Zār’-Ya’iqob’s court, Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’eqob, pp. 23–45; and Alvarez’s valuable description of Libnä-Dingil’s royal camp, The Prester John of the Indies, i, pp. 267–72; ii, pp. 437–45.

1 See the accompanying figure. A distinction between the two enclosures seems to be implied in the inconsistent use of the terms Jāgol and Māggerāja. Jāgol, used in general terms, apparently referred to the royal enclosures as a whole, but in the specific description of the arrangement of the Court it clearly indicated the outer enclosure only. Māggerāja is what Alvarez calls ‘mandilate’ (= Māntola‘it) and referred to the curtains drawn all around the royal tents. Here again there are inconsistencies in the use of Māggerāja which sometimes also seems to be applied to the outer enclosure. The difficulties of the scribe in giving a consistent usage to these terms probably arose from what Alvarez describes as follows: ‘... they surround (the tents) all with (tall) curtains, which they call mandilate: ... If (the king) is going to remain several days they surround these tents with a big hedge, which will be half a league round’, op. cit. ii, p. 437.

2 The Ethiopic descriptions do not give any directions. There was probably no hard and fast rule about this, and the terrain of the particular site where they camped at any particular time may have affected the arrangement. Here, for purposes of clarity, I have adopted Alvarez’s direction, ‘the principal (gate) is to the west’, loc. cit.
enclosure. The twin organization of the royal court, and of the king's officials, into those of the Right and of the Left is basically derived from this characteristic arrangement of the six gates on either side of the principal Widināsh Dājī. Each of these exits of the inner enclosure was closely guarded by high-ranking officials of the royal guard. Admittance to the royal presence was by sole permission of the king, and the use of each entrance was prescribed for particular members of the royal Court from the outer enclosure.

The outer enclosure itself was a direct duplicate of its inner counterpart. It had thirteen gates corresponding to those described above, and followed the same twin arrangement of the Court into Right and Left. All around the fence of the outer enclosure, and particularly at the gates, were stationed numerous guards, specially assigned to cover particular sides of the Court. The area within the enclosure between the Māggarājja and the Jāgol was very extensive, and in it were pitched many tents on either side. The treasure houses of the king, guarded and administered by a special group of officials, formed a prominent part of the collection of tents. More important still were the tents of the Queen Mother, and those of the queens of the Right and of the Left. Normally the number of the king's wives was three. Each with her own title: the Gira-Bā'altēhat, the queen of

---

1 Alvarez was told that the royal enclosures had a total number of 12 gates. He only saw four, 'for they let no one pass (behind)', loc. cit. The existence of a principal gate, Widināsh Dājī, at the front of the court, besides the twelve other gates, is however very clear from the available Ethiopic descriptions, cf. Bodl., MS. Bruce 88, f. 32b; Or. 821 (B.M.), f. 104b.

2 A partial list of the royal guards gives a total number of over 13,000 Bodl., MS. Bruce 88, f. 33b.

3 Alvarez estimated the circumference as one league, loc. cit. The editors of his work have taken the Portuguese league as equivalent to '3.2 geographical miles', op. cit., i, p. 56 n. 4.

4 Bodl. MS. Bruce 88, f. 32b. Here the various tents are collectively said to belong to the Māngis Bēt, a name used by the apparently later versions for a group of tents in the outer enclosure, together with others which had similar functions such as Bārakāt Bēt, and Mār'īd Bēt, ibid., f. 33b. Zār'a-Ya'iqob's chronicler also gives them considerable prominence, Chroniques, pp. 37–8. Alvarez gives a vivid description of how they were transported, op. cit. ii, pp. 447–8.

5 Amdā-Siyon had one principal queen and many wives and concubines, Histoire des guerres d'Amde-Siyon, ed. and tr. Perruchon, J., pp. 325–6; Conti Rossini, 'L'evangelo d'oro', pp. 202–6, and here his principal queen is given the title of Bā'llūt-Bihat. His son Sāyfa-Ar'ād is accused of marrying three wives, Gādāt Filippos (Asbo), ed. Conti Rossini, pp. 224–5, (text). For Dawit and Zār'a-Ya'iqob see p. 220 n. 2 and p. 243 n. 2. During his visit Alvarez only noticed Libnā-Dingil's queen who camped on the left side of the court, and he believed that the king was strictly monogamous, op. cit., p. 193. His acquaintance was probably with the king's favourite queen Sāblā-Wāngēl, a Tigré princess, whose
the Left, who camped just outside the Shilinat Dāj of the inner enclosure, and used this gate to communicate with the royal tents at the centre; the Qāgn-Bā'altēhat, the queen of the Right, and the Bā'altā-Shihna, a junior queen of the Right, had their tents just outside the Mābī'i Dāj. The tents of the Queen Mother, who apparently kept some of the king's children with her, were much more in the rear and were significantly pitched near the so-called Qulf Dāj (lit. = locked gate). Within the enclosure, the retainers of these queens only included their special guards, the Ūndārāba, their ladies in-waiting, and their household servants. Besides the royal kitchens, pitched within the Jāgol on both sides of the court, which were responsible for the daily banquets, each of the queens also prepared food for the king's table in her own quarters.

The royal chapels were also pitched within the Jāgol on both sides. It is apparent that the leading members of the clergy serving each of these chapels also camped around them. But the most important ecclesiastical official in court was the Aqabē-Sā'at, the titular head of the island monastery of Dābrā Hayq, and his tents were often courageous activities during the Muslim wars are attested by the chronicles, and the Portuguese writers, and who was the mother of Gālāwdēwos and Minas, both of whom reigned after the war. But a document about the pre-Gragn part of the reign speaks of the deaths of a Bā'altā-Shihna (a junior queen of the Right), and another Queen Qafo, Liber Aksumae, ed. Conti Rossini, p. 69 (text). It is difficult, however, to tell whether these were queens of his father Na'od, or of Libnā-Dingil.

1 These locations of the tents of the Queens of the Right and of the Left are given in Bodl., MS. Bruce 88, f. 33. Or. 821 (BM.), f. 106a. As to the tents of the Queen Mother, however, no explicit mention of the site is given. But it is said that she made use of the Qulf-Dāj, where I assume her tents were pitched.

2 Cf. also Alvarez, op. cit. ii, p. 443.

3 This is clearly brought out by Zār'a-Ya'iqob's chronicler, where it appears that additional contributions to the royal banquets were also supplied from other quarters, Les Chroniques, pp. 38–9; cf. also Alvarez, op. cit., pp. 437–8.

4 The number of the royal chapels at the Court increased through the years. Amdā-Šiyan, and probably also the kings before him, only had a chapel dedicated to Jesus, and this was taken along even on military expeditions, Perruchon, J., Histoire des guerres d'Amde-Siyan, roi d'Ethiopie, in JA, ii (1889), pp. 389, 408; Gālādā Yafqirānūnā-îgzt', ed. Wajnberg, i., pp. 18–22 (text). Dawīt established the Chapel of the Cross, and Zār'a-Ya'iqob that of St. Mary, Cerulli, E., Il libro etiopico dei miracoli di Maria, pp. 121–2. Sāyāf-î-Ar'ad's other chapel is not attested anywhere else. In the early 16th century, Alvarez does not mention the Chapel of Jesus, and adds another in the area of the royal kitchens, 'a church of St. Andrew and it is called the cook's church', op. cit. ii, pp. 437–8. Outside the enclosure in a straight line with the royal tent but very far from it he mentions 'the church of the justices', and further still 'the market square church', ibid., p. 442.

5 Hagiographical traditions, probably of the 15th century, claim that the title was given to Iyāsus-Mo'a's descendants by Yikunno-Amlak himself, in return
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pitched near the chapel of St. Mary, on the Right. The Egyptian bishop used the Mäsqäl Däj, and probably kept an official tent near the Chapel of the Cross.\(^1\) Some of the highest military and court officials could also camp within the Jägol, though unaccompanied by their respective followers who had to camp far away, outside the enclosure, on the same side of the court as their masters. Among these were the two highest military officials of the kingdom, the Bihtwäddäd of the Right and of the Left.\(^2\) These officials were thus given official premises within the Jägol and, outside, on the outskirts of the whole royal camp, their numerous troops pitched their tents and protected the kingdom.\(^3\) Both for their admittance into the royal presence as stated above, and for their communication outside the Jägol, each of these camping units within the enclosure utilized corresponding gates specially assigned for it.

The king’s numerous vassals, his district and provincial governors who camped in the extensive field outside, each with his large following, were also admitted into the Jägol through particular gates prescribed for them—either on the Right or on the Left. The two front gates—each called Särgwan Däj—on either side of the principal Widinäsh Däj were the most important, and were apparently in frequent use on solemn occasions. Admittance through them is explicitly said to have been possible by sole permission of the king.

for the monk’s spiritual help in the dynastic struggle of 1270, Gädlä Tyässus-Mo’a, ed. and tr. S. Kur, pp. 23–6. It is, however, with Agabé-Sä’at Särääq-Birhan (d. 1403), an intimate friend of King Dawit, that the political significance of the title emerges. Zar’a-Ya’iqob enhanced its importance by making Agabé-Sä’at Amha-Tyässus, his most trusted courtier, Les Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’eqob, pp. 7–8, 27–9. At the time of Alvarez’s visit the office had considerable influence and he calls the Cabeatta, ‘the second man in these Kingdoms’, op. cit. i, p. 270. For a complete review of the importance of the office of the Agabé-Sä’at from the thirteenth century onwards see Taddesse Tamrat, ‘The Abbots of Däbrä Hayq 1248–1535’, in the Journal of Ethiopian Studies, viii, no. 1 (1970).

\(^1\) Alvarez reports that during his visit the bishop’s camp was outside the enclosure, and suggests that his camp was on the left, loc. cit. His particular use of the Mäsqäl Däj is however given in the Ethiopic description, Bodl., MS. Bruce 88, f. 33a.

\(^2\) The earliest time we have a mention of these twin officials is in the reign of Zar’a-Ya’iqob, Chroniques, pp. 9–10, 12. This should not be taken, however, as suggesting that he was the first to institute them. The context in which they are mentioned clearly indicates that they were of a much older origin. Their respective duties are described as follows, ‘One (of them) conducts military campaigns, and the other protects the kingdom, and he camps at the outskirts of the royal camp’, Or. 821 (B.M.), f. 32b. Alvarez reports precisely the same thing, op. cit., pp. 270.

\(^3\) Ibid., pp. 336–7, 443–4.
The tributary vassals of the king and his provincial governors passed through these gates to present their tributes, or whenever they needed to see their sovereign. Once admitted through, however, another permission was specially required to leave the enclosure.\(^1\)

The royal Court maintained its meticulous rules and characteristic organization wherever it moved, and had exactly the same functions as a fixed city.\(^2\) Despite its mobility,\(^3\) it was deeply rooted in the country. Whereas its own movements brought it to the different parts of the Christian empire, the diverse elements of the king's subjects were also represented in the vast crowd always assembled around the royal enclosures. This ethnic and linguistic diversity is clearly brought out by Zār’a-Ya’iqb’s chronicler in his description of ceremonious acclamations of the royal troops in the presence of the king: ‘And they sing (his glory) each in the language of his country.’\(^4\) The king and his family formed the nucleus of this complex assortment of

\(^1\) ‘They shall be admitted through the left Sārgwan Dāj by permission of the king; and they shall not leave without his orders... they may not enter or leave through the right Sārgwan Dāj without the king’s will’, Bodl., MS. Bruce 88, ff. 32b–33a. Or 821 (B.M.), ff. 104b–105a. It is most likely that Alvarez’s most scrupulous report of the formalities of admittance into the royal enclosure is a reference to this rule, op. cit. i, pp. 282–3.

\(^2\) Cf. ibid., pp. 335–7, 442–4.

\(^3\) The mobile character of the Court has already been referred to above in Chapter III. Yet particular sites are specially associated with some of the medieval kings, and a general southward movement of these sites can be detected from the beginning of the 14th century onwards. At one time Widim-Rā’ad (1294–1314) seems to have had his Court in the region of Gishé, northern Mānẓ. Cf. Gādlā Baṣālūtā-Mika’āl, p. 9. Both his chronicler and Al-'Umarī locate Amdā-Ṣiyon’s Court at Mār’ādī, where Abba Samuel (Waldbibba) later visited Dawit, apparently in Shāwa, Gādlā Samu’īl, p. 14. Amdā-Ṣiyon is also said to have held Court at a place called Ṣawān in Shāwa, according to one tradition 3 days’ journey from Dābrā Asbo, Gādlā Filipes, p. 209; Gādlā Baṣālūtā-Mika’āl, p. 25. A hundred years later the same place is mentioned as the winter resort of King Yishaq, ‘Gādlā Abba Giyorgis of Gashā’, MS. Hayq, ff. 38b–39a. His father, Dawit, is also said to have resided at Tobya, somewhere east of Dābrā Sīna, Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’eqob, p. 152. Cf. also Futuh al-Habasha, tr. Bassett, p. 272. He also had another residence further south at Ṣīlq in Fātāgār, where Zār’a-Ya’iqb was born, Chroniques, p. 67. La Roncière has identified this name with the ‘pian de Tich’ of Fra Mauro’s map, La Découverte de l’Afrique au Moyen Âge, ii (1925), p. 128. Both Zār’a-Ya’iqb and his son Bā’idā-Maryam also resided there at times, and Iskindir (1478–94) was born there, Chroniques, pp. 155–6. Zār’a-Ya’iqb frequented Tāgulūt, ibid., pp. 55–7; he spent the last 14 years of his reign in his newly built residence at Dābrā Birhan, ibid., pp. 78–9. A list of the wintering areas of Na’od (1494–1508) and Libnā-Dingil (1508–40) also shows that there were particular sites favoured by these kings, Liber Aksumae, ed. Conti Rossini, p. 69 (text).

\(^4\) Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’eqob, p. 36.
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ethnic and religious communities. But the geometric unity imposed on the royal Court by its concentric arrangement belied the divergent interests of which it always consisted. Each of the camping units within the royal enclosure—particularly the tents of the Queen Mother—represented a separate community of political interests, which were reflected from the royal tents at the centre, right down to the outermost reaches of the royal camp as a whole. Essentially, this was the basis of the chronic struggle for royal succession in medieval Ethiopia.

_Amba Gishän, and problems of succession to the throne_

A king was normally succeeded by one of his own sons. Except in cases where he died without male issue, this was almost always the case in the whole period covered by this study. Normally too, the eldest son appears to have had priority of succession over his younger brothers. But although this was generally the outcome, it was only reached after serious dynastic conflicts among the princes, and their respective supporters. For all its unique importance in the constitution of medieval Ethiopia, the well-known institution of the Royal Prison of Mount Gishän was only effective in excluding the distant

---

1 Each of these units is represented by a small circle in the graphic illustration of the royal camp on p. 270.

2 One major exception, of which the true story is still unknown, stands out against this rule: It is not clear whether Widim-Rā'ad (1299–1314) assumed power on the deaths of all his nephews (= brothers?), or if any of the latter had left any successors at all, cf. Taddesse Tamrat, 'The Abbots of Dābrā Hayq 1248–1535', pp. 92–3. Another doubtful case is the accession of Yishaq (1413–1430) on the death of his brother Tewodros. Tewodros was a grown man at the time of his death, and it is unlikely that he did not have sons of his own to succeed him, cf. p. 220 n. 4.

3 For a description of this place see Alvarez, op. cit., i, pp. 237–48; Almeida, _Some Records of Ethiopia 1592–1646_, extracts tr. by Beckingham and Huntingford (1954), pp. 97–102. Almeida's is a much better (probably eyewitness) account. According to him Lalibāla built the first church on the top of the mountain. Alvarez was, however, told that one of Lalibāla's predecessors, King Yirmha, first instituted the Royal Prison, by divine inspiration. Although from the rest of his account Alvarez seems to have had extracts of the king's Gādl read for him, Yirmha's connection with Gishän is not mentioned in the copy of the Gādl I was able to consult at Lalibāla. Considering the frequent succession problems in the Zagwé period it seems unlikely that the institution of a Royal Prison had ever occurred to the Zagwé kings. But the idea of a mountain prison was not new, and Yitbarāk is said to have once detained Yikunno-Amlak on a mountain top at Mālōt, in Wadla, Gādlā 'Tyāşus-Mo'ā, p. 20 (tr.). The organization of Gishän as a Royal Prison probably dates from the troubled days following the death of Yikunno-Amlak, Ludolf, H., _A New History of Ethiopia_ (1684), pp. 195–7. Although there are many references to the detention of 'Isra'elites' on Mt.
relatives of a deceased monarch from taking active part in the struggle for his succession. His sons, his surviving brothers, and their immediate descendants were each a rallying point for conflicting political groups in the royal Court, who vied for a handsome share in the political and economic preferment of the next reign. There is a general tendency in the official chronicles to play down such conflicts. Despite this, however, many isolated notes strongly indicate that a major struggle for power often preceded the accession of a new monarch in medieval Ethiopia.¹

Of all the descendants of Yikunno-Amlak, in the male line, only the reigning monarch and his sons lived outside the Royal Prison of Mount Gishān. The children of a king spent the early part of their childhood in the royal Court, in the care of their own mothers and the Queen Mother. When they became older, the sons were apparently sent to one of the royal estates in the country, where they were entrusted to an important official who was also governor of the area. Closely guarded, the young princes grew there, undergoing a period of training in the art of warfare and horsemanship: ‘... And in this period [of his youth, Libnā-Dingil] completely preoccupied himself with riding horses, throwing arrows, and hunting, because this is the way in which the sons of kings were brought up until they were well versed at the art of Government.’² The site where the princes were kept probably varied according to the wishes of the king. Bāʾidā-Maryam grew up at Zāngo near Yālabash in Fāṭāgar, a frontier site just established by his grandfather, Dawīt (1380–1412), and where his father, Zār’a-Yaʾiqob, was also born.³ It is apparent, however, that Gishān, I have not been able to obtain an Ethiopic description of the Royal Prison as such. From the days of Dawīt and Zār’a-Yaʾiqob onwards the place is specially noted for the many relics—a piece of the True Cross included—which these kings are believed to have received from abroad and deposited at Gishān, cf. p. 267 n. 2. This religious legend has since completely replaced the historic importance of the site as a Royal Prison, of which neither the local elders nor the officials of the churches, to whom I spoke there, seem to have any recollections at all.

¹ Traditional signs of such conflicts—in the available sources—are completely absent only in the case of Amdā-Šlyon (1314–44) and his son Sāyfā-Arʿad (1344–71). A hagiographical note about the life of Patriarch Matéwos (1378–1408) seems to indicate that Sāyfā-Arʿad’s sons—Niwayā-Maryam and Dawīt—had both contested the succession. Niwayā-Maryam (1371–80) took the throne, but already before the end of his reign, the Patriarch is said to have had a revelation that Dawit would soon take over, Budge, E. A. W., The Book of the Saints of the Ethiopian Church, ii, p. 454.


³ Chroniques de Zar’a-Yaʾeqob, pp. 67, 91, 155.
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later in the fifteenth century the guardianship of the royal princes was assigned to the governor of Gänz—1—the Gänz Gärad. Bā'idā-
Maryam's sons were brought up there, and Gänz Gärad Matéwos was responsible for them.2 The young boys regularly visited the royal
Court with him, and there they had a special camping site reserved for them, apparently in the neighbourhood of the tents of the Queen
Mother and those of the Aqabé-Sât'at.3 Small private schools were run for them in the royal enclosure, where they received religious
instruction, and were taught how to read, and probably also how to
write. During their stay in the country too, their retinue included
prominent churchmen who continued the religious and literary
instruction of the young princes. This assignment of educating the
king's children was apparently given to the best scholars of the day,
and this probably accounts for the persistent tradition of consider-
able religious learning reported about many of the medieval kings
and other members of their family.4 Abba Giyorgis of Gascha, the
author of many religious works, started his career as a teacher of the
children of King Dawit, at the royal Court.5 One of Bā'idā-Maryam's
chroniclers was also entrusted with the care of the king's sons, and
resided with them in Gänz.6 Probably, too, a prince could sometimes
be sent to an ordinary monastic school, where he studied as long as
his father lived. For security reasons and to avoid embarrassment,
his identity would only be known to the abbot and the local officials,

1 Gänz was apparently a district between the headwaters of the rivers Gudru,
Awash, and Gibé, to the north-west of Hadya, and east of Damot, cf. Gâdîâ-
Mâb'a-Sâyon, pp. 25 (text), 79 (tr.). Alvarez, op. cit., p. 454; Futuh al Habasha,
tr. Basset, pp. 83 n. 2, 377-98. Zâr'a-Ya'iqôb's town of Jibat was probably in
Gänz.

2 'Histoire d'Eskender, d'Amda-Seyon II, et de Na'od', ed. and tr. Perruchon,
in JA, ser. 9, vol. iii (1894), pp. 345-7. It is most likely that this Matéwos was the
same official—elsewhere called Zândârâba Matéwos—who brought the good news
of the birth of his first son, Iskindir, to King Bâ'idâ-Maryam, Chroniques, pp.
155-6. Apparently he was a personal attendant of Queen Romna at first, and was
later promoted to the more prestigious office of Gänz Gärad.

3 Bodl., MS. Bruce 88, f. 33v.

4 The case of Zâr'a-Ya'iqôb is well known. The authorship of two prayers
Mâlki'a-Mika'âl and Mâlki'a-Qurban are attributed to his son, and successor
Bâ'idâ-Maryam. The latter's son, Na'od, is also represented as a deeply religious
man who composed Mâlki'a-Maryam, and Täfësihi Maryam, Conti Rossini,
'Il libro delle leggende e tradizioni abissini', p. 711. Na'od is particularly said to
have excelled in the well-known Ethiopic religious poetry, Qinë, and to have
actively participated in the religious songs and chanting of the church, 'Gâdîâ

5 See p. 224 n. 3.

6 Perruchon, 'Histoire d'Eskender', loc. cit.
and as soon as his father, the king, died troops would be sent to fetch the young prince to the Royal Prison of Amba Gishän. This last pattern seems to emerge from the story of the early life of Zär’a-Ya’iqob at the schools of Aksum and Dābrā Abbay.¹

Zär’a-Ya’iqob was the youngest son of King Dawīt. During the lifetime of his father, and so long as all his elder brothers were alive, there was probably little prospect of his eventual accession to the throne. This may have helped his mother, Igzi-Kibra, in obtaining Dawīt’s permission to send the young boy to monastic schools in Aksum and Dābrā Abbay,² under the care of her clerical relatives or protégés. But it does not seem that he enjoyed this freedom of movement for a long time. His father died soon afterwards, when he was only fourteen, and his brother Tewodros apparently ordered his detention at the time of his accession to power. The chronicler insists that Zär’a-Ya’iqob remained in his monastic school of Dābrā Abbay incognito for the whole period of twenty years, until his own accession to power. Many historical considerations make this most unlikely. Zär’a-Ya’iqob himself speaks of ‘... the mountain where we were imprisoned’, and from which he was only brought down on the eve of his accession in 1434.³ The particular mention of Tewodros’s hostility also indicates that it was at the accession of his eldest brother, in 1413, that he was taken to Amba Gishän.

As has already been said above, Tewodros only ruled for nine months and was succeeded, with no apparent difficulty, by his brother, Yishaq (1413–30). Yishaq was in turn succeeded by his own son, Indiryas, who however died after a few months. Yishaq probably had another son (or sons), and Maqrizi mentions a certain ‘Salmun ibn Ishaq’, as having ruled after Hizbä-Nagn.⁴ But at the time of the death of Indiryas, he may have been too young to obtain strong support from his father’s army. Thus the crown passed to Yishaq’s brother, Hizbä-Nagn. Such a transfer of power from the family of a monarch to that of his brother was always a dramatic event. It involved selecting one of the princes detained at Amba Gishän, and crowning him instead of his nephews, who immediately replaced him at the Royal Prison. Thus it marked an important occasion, and

¹ See p. 220 n. 3.
² It is interesting to note that according to a modern traveller Dābrā Abbay was also known as Addi Shāwa, Faitlovitch, J., Notes d’un voyage chez les Falashas, Juifs d’Abyssinie (1905), p. 14.
⁴ Historia Regum Islamicorum in Abyssinia, p. 39.
was not settled without a serious contest among the supporters of the sons of the deceased king, and the partisans of his surviving brothers. This seems to have been the case at the time of Hizbä-Nagn’s accession to power.

It is apparent that Zär’a-Ya’iqob, although younger than Hizbä-Nagn, was a serious contender for the succession of Indiyas. The conflict between his supporters and those of Hizbä-Nagn probably continued, even after the succession was settled in favour of the latter. This political situation is suggested by a hagiographical tradition about a contemporary monastic leader in eastern Gojjam:

A certain man accused Abba Sinoda at the Court of King Hizbä-Nagn saying: ‘Sinoda declares that another King, called Zär’a-Ya’iqob, shall rise’ ... and [Hizbä-Nagn] was exceedingly angry ... and ordered [Sinoda] to be brought ... and to be beaten ...; he also ordered [his men] to imprison him ... and take him to [the island of] Dāq ... and [the king] sent his soldiers [there] ... to cut off his hands and his feet.1

Four other men suffered similar treatment together with Abba Sinoda, and all died of the mutilation.2

The crisis of the royal succession flared up once again when Hizbä-Nagn died, and his army raised one of his sons to the throne. Hizbä-Nagn ruled for not more than three years,3 which was too

1 ‘Gädlä Sinoda’, MS. Dima, ff. 20–1. For the life and work of Sinoda, see p. 202, n. 4.
2 ‘Gädlä Sinoda’, f. 25b.
3 The chronology of the kingdom, particularly between the beginning of the reign of Dawit and the accession of Zär’a-Ya’iqob, has been very uncertain. The traditional dating of these reigns is represented by Wright, W., Catalogue, pp. vi–ix; Perruchon, J., Les Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’egob, p. 206, Table. It seems possible now to propose a fresh chronology of these years with the help of many additional historical notes. Dawit began his reign in 32 Year of Grace (= A.D. 1379/80), Kolmodin, Traditions, A23. He was still king in 65 Year of Grace (= A.D. 1412/13), ‘The Four Gospels’, MS. Kibran, f. 237b. Immediately following this, there is a land grant by King Yishaq dated 66 Years of Grace (= A.D. 1413/14), ‘in the sixth month of my reign’, ibid., f. 238a. Tewodros’s short reign indicated by other sources (see p. 220, n. 4) is also confirmed by these notes on Dawit and Yishaq. Maqrizi says that Yishaq was killed in the month of Dulkada in A.H. 833 (= August A.D. 1430). There is no good reason to reject Maqrizi’s report on this date, nor on the circumstances of Yishaq’s death. The date goes perfectly well with many Ethiopian traditions which give Yishaq, and his son Indiyas a joint reign of 17 years, cf. Bodl., MS. Bruce 92, f. 54b. Indiyas ruled for six months according to Basset, R., Études, p. 12; and only four months according to Maqrizi, op. cit., pp. 9, 39. It is at this point that Hizbä-Nagn began his reign. Most of the available Ethiopic chronological notes either give him 4 years on his own, or 5 years with his two sons, cf. Basset, loc. cit. But this is too long for the interregnum of only four years between Yishaq’s death and the accession of Zär’a-Ya’iqob in 87 Year of Grace (= A.D. 1434/5), which seems to
short a period for the consolidation of his newly acquired power in his family. This seems to have precipitated a serious conflict among three distinct political groups at the time of his death. The first apparently consisted of a section of the Christian army, still loyal to the family of King Yishaq, who made a strong bid for a come-back to political power in the kingdom with one of the king’s sons as their candidate. Maqrizi’s invaluable mention of the short interregnum of ‘Salmun ibn Ishaq’, on the death of Hizbā-Nagn, strongly indicates at least the existence of this third party. The second group, and probably the strongest at the time, consisted of the officials of Hizbā-Nagn who defended the continuation of the rights of his family to the crown. They apparently won the first round of the political struggle, and Mihrka-Nagn ascended the throne for a time. But he died soon afterwards, and his followers immediately crowned his younger brother, Bädl-Nagn, who was only a very small child. It is at this stage that the supporters of Zär’a-Ya’iqob became particularly strong in the conflict, and finally succeeded in bringing him to power:

And [the wicked slaves of Hizbā-Nagn] . . . crowned a small child, Bädl-Nagn, because they wanted to rule [the country] themselves. And when God perceived their arrogant intentions, he brought forth Zär’a-Ya’iqob, the Orthodox, to the throne; and those rebels and wicked slaves were jailed and fastened with iron bars and chains . . . .

Maqrizi also ends his contemporary account of Ethiopia with the accession of a ‘small boy’, and the tradition just quoted above does be a very secure date, cf. Grébaut, S., ‘Table de comput et de chronologie’, in ROC, i (1918–19), p. 326. From all these notes the following table of Dawit’s succession is obtained:

1. Dawit (1380–1412)

2. Téwodros
   (Oct. 1412–
   June 1413)

3. Yishaq
   (1413–30)

4. Indiryas
   (Aug.–Nov. 1430)

5. Hizbā-Nagn
   (1430–33)

6, 7. His two sons
   (to July 1434)

8. Zär’a-
   Ya’iqob
   (1434–68)

1 ‘Istigubu’i’, MS. Dâbrâ Wârq, f. 3.
2 Op. cit., p. 41. This is almost certainly a reference to Bädl-Nagn, and the prince whom he succeeded and who is said to have died in an epidemic, may be his brother Mihrka-Nagn. Maqrizi collected his notes about the period while he was staying in Mecca in A.H. 839 (= A.D. 1435/6), ibid., p. 1. Cf. also Guidi, I., ‘Sul testo dell’Ilmam d’Al-Maqrizi’, in Centenario della nascita di Michele Amari, li (1910), p. 388 n. 2. Maqrizi dates the accession of the ‘small boy’ in 1435/6. But since he does not mention Zär’a-Ya’iqob, it seems that the Arab
not specify what actually happened to Bädl-Nagn himself. But most
Ethiopic sources and king-lists assign to him a short reign of eight
months. Nevertheless, the great feud between Zär’a-Ya’iqob and the
family of Hizbä-Nagn, of which the tradition was also recorded
by Almeida in the seventeenth century, strongly indicates that the
whole period of the reign of Bädl-Nagn—the last prince of that
family—was specially marked by a protracted political struggle
within the Christian kingdom.

Despite the conflicts between the supporters of rival princes,
however, it is apparent that careful consultation among the high-
ranking (secular and ecclesiastical) officials of the kingdom was also
an important factor in the determination of a successor. This no
doubt accounts for the definite rules that one can detect in the
available king-lists. A tradition about the tenth century describes
the process in the following terms: ‘When the king died, the bishop,
and the governors, and the generals of the royal army took counsel
together, and it was said among them: “The younger son will be far
better for the kingdom than the elder”.’ The reports attributed to
European travellers in Ethiopia in the fifteenth century, and the
more authentic accounts of Álvarez and Almeida, all describe
historian was not aware of the latest developments in Ethiopia, and he simply
dated the last king he had heard of to the time of his own sojourn in Mecca.
Nevertheless, his information about the troubled years following the death of
Yishaq are remarkably accurate. The number of kings he gives within this
period, until the advent of the ‘small boy’, is fully confirmed by the Ethiopic
sources, except in the case of ‘Salman ibn Ishaq’, for whom Maqrizi is certainly
preferable to the Ethiopic scribes who tend to suppress such information. The
chronological imperfections, which Maqrizi’s account of these years displays,
appear to have been largely due to the uncertainties of the political situation in
Christian Ethiopia itself.

3 Almeida gives an interesting account of how the election of a new monarch
was undertaken, and describes the general ceremony of coronation, Historia de
Ethiopia a alta, bk. ii, Ch. 21, in Beccari, C., Rerum Aethiopicorum Scriptores
Occidentales inediti, v (1910). Prof. Cerulli has published a translation of a short
extract from a story of the miracles of St. Mary regarding the life of the princes
4 The Book of the Saints of the Ethiopian Church, tr. Budge, E. A. W., (1928),
p. 667.
5 Trasselli, C., ‘Un Italiano in Etiopia nel secolo XV: Pietro Rombulo di
Messina’, in RSE, i (1941), pp. 190–1. The account of Pietro of Napoli, one
of the messengers of the Duke of Berry to King Yishaq, is to be found in Le
Voyage d’outremer de Bertrand de la Brocquière, ed. Schefer, C., (Paris, 1892),
essentially the same process. Particularly in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, the security of the Christian kingdom as against its Muslim and pagan neighbours was always an overriding factor in the choice of a monarch, and provided an important restraint to the extent of the political disputations among rival groups. It is indeed remarkable that, in precisely the same period in which he reports rapid successions to the throne, Maqrizi also describes the continued success of the Christian army in the wars against Adal.1

The most valuable contribution of Mount Gishân to the stability of the medieval kingdom consisted in the fact that most of the rival princes themselves were not at large, and could not take an active part in the conflict. During such a crisis of royal succession, only the sons of the deceased monarch were outside the Royal Prison, in the protection of their father’s army, and with all the political patronage of his reign still in their hands. It was in fact largely due to this tactical advantage that the family of the deceased monarch always seems to have won the day, at the initial stage of the political struggle. The interests of the other princes were only represented by a section of the Christian army and the royal Court which had special family or other connections with them. It is most significant that, in a hagiographical tradition, Zär’a-Ya’iqob is made to say the following words about his advent to power: ‘The troops of my relatives raised me to the throne of my father...’2

Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s succession, like that of all other kings of medieval Ethiopia, may have certainly been promoted by his relatives in particular. But other factors also seem to have been working in his favour in 1434. He was the only surviving son of King Dawít, and he was about thirty-five years of age,3 no doubt much older than any of his nephews living at the time. Probably too, his reputation as a scholar prince had already spread among both the secular and clerical officials of the kingdom. Moreover, the internal political situation in the preceding years had been particularly unstable, and this may have induced much of the Christian army and the royal Court to favour a strong leadership. It also seems that there was a closing of ranks between the former supporters of Yishaq’s family,

---

1 Op. cit., p. 9. This was particularly the case towards the end of the reign of Jamal ad-Din, and immediately after his assassination, ibid., pp. 45-36. Cerulli seems to envisage a possible Christian hand in this last act, ‘Documenti arabi per la storia dell’Etiopia’, in MRAL, ser. 6, vol. vi (1931), p. 47 n. 2.
3 See p. 220 n. 1.
and those of Zär’a-Ya’iqob against the descendants of Hizbä-Nagn. While this explains the lasting enmity between the families of Zär’a-Ya’iqob and Hizbä-Nagn,¹ it probably also accounts for the absence of ‘Salman ibn Ishaq’—remembered by Maqrizi—from the Ethiopic list of the princes who contested the succession. ‘Brought down from the mountain’, in his own words, ‘where we were imprisoned’,² Zär’a-Ya’iqob was crowned king of Ethiopia, and he more than succeeded in providing the personal leadership of a strong monarch which the country had lacked so much since the death of Yishaq in 1430.

The death of a monarch was not the only occasion which brought about a struggle for the crown. There are a number of cases in which attempts were made to usurp power while the king was still alive. Apart from many instances in the early days of the dynasty,³ attempts to replace the monarch are evident even in the reigns of Dawit and Zär’a-Ya’iqob, who were perhaps the most powerful kings of medieval Ethiopia. The incident in the reign of Dawit is preserved in a story of the miracles of St. Mary.⁴ A group of local saints are said to have received a revelation that Dawit should retire and abdicate in favour of his son. They sent word to the king about their vision which troubled him a great deal. He summoned his former teacher and confidant, Aqabé-Sä’at Säräqä-Birhan of Däbrä Hayq, and one of the commanders of the army. He broke to them the sad news, and they all agreed to appeal to St. Mary for help. She heard their prayers and promised the king that he would retain his crown for the rest of his life. Aqabé-Sä’at Säräqä-Birhan died in 1403, and therefore the incident must have taken place before this date. Although the king’s son in whose favour the abdication was proposed is kept anonymous, the particular mention of the Aqabé-Sä’at and ‘the commander of the king’s forces’ certainly implies that it was a high-powered political event. But this apparent movement against King Dawit was a failure, and the king kept his throne for at least 10 years after this incident.⁵

² Mäshafä Birhan, ii, p. 157 (text).
⁴ Cerulli, E., Il libro etiope dei miracoli di Maria (1943), pp. 79–86.
⁵ It is most likely that this was the origin of the story that Dawit did actually abdicate, and his son Téwodros took over. Cerulli accepts this, op. cit., p. 86. But the chronology of the reigns of both Dawit and Téwodros (see p. 279 n. 3) make it impossible.
Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s reign was also marked by some attempts to replace him. We have already made a reference to the abortive revolt of 1453.\(^1\) It was apparently led by high-ranking officials of the kingdom and, as indicated above, it seems to have been motivated by political and economic grievances. But towards the end of the reign Zär’a-Ya’iqob was led to believe that even members of his own family at the royal Court were plotting against him. The report involved many of his own children and their mothers. After an ostentatious public trial held at the Court, the king ordered them all to be flogged, as a result of which many lost their lives.\(^2\) The witnesses produced against them testified that they consulted witch-doctors and offered sacrifices to pagan gods,\(^3\) and the king’s verdict is therefore said to have been given on religious grounds. However, the victims of this latest purge in Zär’a-Ya’iqob’s reign included ‘high-ranking officials, governors, monks . . .’, and Abba Indiriyas, the Abbot of Däbrä Libanos, was one of them.\(^4\) The religious façade of the story does not stand a close examination. The political nature of the king’s preoccupation is even implied in the cautious writing of the chronicler: ‘They accused them of many other things. Only the king knows the [true] story. They did not make public [the charges] other than that [the accused] worshipped däsk. . .’.\(^5\) Zär’a-Ya’iqob himself discloses a more acceptable reason for his wild fury against the princes. After describing how their mothers misled them into pagan worship and black magic, the king singles out one of his sons, Gälawdéwos, for having ceremoniously burned copies of ‘Ţomarä-Tisbi’it’ and ‘Mäşhafä-Birhan’\(^6\) at a witchcraft ceremony. Gälawdéwos did this, the king tells us, in return for a promise by the witch-doctor of an eventual succession to the throne.\(^7\) The chronicler also gives a similar story about the death of Queen Siyôn-Mogäsa, mother of King Bä’idä-Maryam (1468–78). Zär’a-Ya’iqob once accused her of trying to depose him in favour of

---

1 See pp. 240–2.

2 Les Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’eqob et de Ba’eda Maryam, pp. 4–7, 97–100, 105–7; Das Mashafa Milad und Mashafa Sellase, ii (1963), pp. 95–6.

3 For the king’s decrees forbidding these practices, see pp. 233–5.

4 Les Chroniques, pp. 99–100.

5 Ibid., p. 98.

6 These are two of the religious writings attributed to Zär’a-Ya’iqob himself.

7 Das Mashafa Milad, ii (text), pp. 95–6. Gälawdéwos was probably the eldest son of Zär’a-Ya’iqob. Immediately before his disgrace and imprisonment, Abba Täklä-Hawaryat of Gábärma (Mugär) was invited to court by Gälawdéwos’s mother to bless and edify her young son, ‘Gädlä Täklä-Hawaryat’, MS. Däbrä Libanos, f. 49a. At that time, which was probably soon after the abortive revolt of 1453, the prince seems to have been on good terms with his father.
her son. He had received reports, he told her, that she had been soliciting the help and prayers of many local saints for the success of her rebellious schemes. And despite her protestations of innocence, Queen Šiyon-Mogāsā was ordered to be flogged, as a result of which she died some time later. Her young son, Bā'īdā-Maryam, was also detained for a time with his favourite slave, Māhārī-Kristos for having privately celebrated the anniversary of her death.¹ It is apparent that these palace recriminations took place in about 1462.² Bā'īdā-Maryam’s later revenge on Gābrā-Kristos, whom ‘he had stoned . . . to death’³ strongly implies that they all took place as a connected series of political incidents.

Zār’a-Ya’iqob lived on, and continued to rule with an iron hand for another period of six years. In terms of the concentric organization of the medieval Court, the conflicts between a reigning monarch and the rest of his family can best be described as a tug-of-war between the inner and the outer enclosures of the royal camp. At times when the kingdom was ruled by powerful monarchs—Dawit and Zār’a-Ya’iqob for instance—the inner enclosure always had the upper hand, and the country was thus assured of a strong leadership. When the king was weak or a child, however, the diverse elements and political interests of the outer enclosure asserted themselves, and the Christian nation lacked the unity of purpose so essential for the defence and administration of its extensive empire. This characterized the reigns of Zār’a-Ya’iqob’s grandsons after 1478. Between this year and the end of the period covered by this book, the average age of the princes at the time of their accession was only eleven years. And except in the case of Na’od (1494–1508), who was already twenty when he took over, the nation was ruled by a council of regents for the first part of each of the reigns.

¹ Les Chroniques, pp. 105–7.
² The date is obtained from the story of the life of Mārha-Kristos, who succeeded Indiryas as abbot of Dābrā Libanos. Mārha-Kristos died in January, in the second year of the reign of Na’od (1494–1508), after 33 years and 5 months rule, ‘Gādlā Mārha-Kristos’, MS. Dābrā Libanos, pp. 115–17, 246. This is equivalent to A.D. 1496, and Indiryas must have been deposed and exiled in 1482. For short notices about the life of Indiryas see ibid., pp. 102, 106–7, 112; Les Chroniques, pp. 90, 100, 130; Sinkisar (Hamlé), ed. and tr. in PO vii, pp. 345–6; Cerulli, E., ‘Gli abbatii di Dabra Libanos’, pp. 143–5.
³ Les Chroniques, p. 100. Gābrā-Kristos was one of the three most trusted officials of Zār’a-Ya’iqob at the time. These officials are said to have given the king false reports about the princes and other personalities including Indiryas. Bā’īdā-Maryam’s later fury against Gābrā-Kristos most probably indicates that he also had a hand in the death of Queen Šiyon-Mogāsā.
The palace struggle for power (1478–94)

Iskindir (1478–94), the eldest of Bā’idā-Maryam’s baby sons, was crowned at six years of age, with no apparent problem of succession. At first, his mother Queen Romna, Aqabé-Sā’at Täsfa-Giyorgis, and Bihiwāddād Amdā-Mika’el jointly assumed power as regents.1 Amdā-Mika’el was an elderly official who had held considerable power since the days of Iskindir’s grandfather. Zār’Ya’iqob had invested him with much authority, particularly as governor of the whole of the frontier district of Fāṭāgar.2 Towards the end of Bā’idā-Maryam’s reign, we still find him wielding great influence in the royal Court, and he played a decisive role in the council of 1477 as an ally of Dābrā Lībanos.3 Of the members of the council of regents, he was certainly the strongest and the most experienced hand in the government. It is most likely that Romna and Täsfa-Giyorgis were at first included in the council largely because of the traditional importance of the high offices they held as Queen Mother and Aqabé-Sā’at, respectively. From the start, however, both of them seem to have been overshadowed by the greater influence of Amdā-Mika’el, and the Queen Mother soon disappeared from the political scene altogether. Even in the Court of Bā’idā-Maryam, Romna was not one of the principal queens,4 and her position had only been enhanced by giving the king his two favourite sons, Iskindir and Inqo-Isra’el.5 She probably felt more important after this, and apparently became quarrelsome among the queens in Court: the king was forced to exile her to Amhara, where she remained until recalled on the coronation of her son.6 Some time later, however, she abandoned the world and entered a small convent near Dābrā Lībanos where she died, apparently not very long afterwards.7

Unmatched by any of his colleagues, Amdā-Mika’el ruled the kingdom almost single handed. This unprecedented position of personal power held by the old man aroused an outcry against him.

---

2 Les Chroniques de Žar’a Ya’eqob, p. 15.
3 ‘Gādlā Mārha-Kristos’, pp. 223, 245–9. Here he is referred to as ‘the head of the governors, the great (man), called Amdā-Mika’el’.
4 The more prestigious titles of Gira-Bā’altēhat, Qāgn-Bā’altēhat, and Bā’altē-Shihna were borne by three other princesses, Žan-Sâyfa, Illēnī, and Dawit-Éra respectively, Les Chroniques, pp. 125, 149, 176.
5 Ibid., pp. 155–6, 161.
THE MOVEMENT WAS PREDOMINANTLY LED BY MEMBERS OF THE INFLUENTIAL CLERGY, BUT AMDÁ-MÍKA’ÉL HAD LITTLE DIFFICULTY IN SUPPRESSING IT:

AND AFTER SOME TIME ABBA HASBO, ABBA AMDU, AND MANBÁSÍDQU QUARRELED WITH BIHTWÄDDÁD AMDU WHEN THEY SAW HIM RULING ALL ETHIOPIA ON HIS OWN. FOR THIS REASON [THE TROOPS] ARRESTED ALL THOSE WHO QUARRELED WITH HIM, AND FLOGGED THEM MANY TIMES. AND THEY IMPRISONED THEM AND SENT THEM TO EXILE. THERE ARE SOME WHO DIED ON THE WAY, AND SOME WHO SURVIVED.¹

Thus the Bihtwäddád won the first round of the personal challenge organized against him. But the opposition seems to have gathered momentum over the years. As the young king grew up, he apparently began to be surrounded with new officials, who increasingly looked at the old Bihtwäddád as the greatest obstacle to their own advancement. His ruthless suppression of his opponents had made him the most formidable figure in the kingdom, and a large number of Court officials seem to have combined to bring an end to his power. From the confused traditions about this incident, it is impossible here to specify exactly the reasons for his downfall. But it is clear that his enemies alienated him from the young king who finally ordered his arrest, and exiled him to an unknown place. Sometime later he was secretly brought back to the royal Court and executed.²

A list of the officials of King Iskindir—probably dated 1486³—seems to suggest the identity of the personalities who promoted the movement against AMDÁ-MÍKA’ÉL. At the head of the list appears Illéní as the Queen Mother, and immediately next to the three wives of the king is mentioned a certain Täsfa-Giyorgis. Täsfa-Giyorgis holds the unusual title of Mäkbibä Bétä-Kristiyán and is listed prior to a new Aqabé-Sâ’at. Most probably this Täsfa-Giyorgis was the same official who, as Aqabé-Sâ’at, was a member of the council of regents, together with Romna and AMDÁ-MÍKA’ÉL, at the beginning of the reign. Given little share in the exercise of power by his fellow regent AMDÁ-MÍKA’ÉL, Täsfa-Giyorgis was probably sympathetic to the predominantly clerical movement against him. On the overthrow

² The accounts we have about him are mostly short, and hagiographical, Zotenberg, Catalogue, no. 126, Hidar 3, and Sene 29. Wright, Catalogue, Or. 667, f. 60. Le Synaxaire éthiopien, ed. and tr. Guidi, 1., in PO, i (1904), pp. 697–8.
³ Wright, Catalogue, Or. 481, f. 208. This land grant only bears Megabiti 7 as its date, and the year is not given. But the land grant was probably made in the same year as another one, given in the 7th year of the king’s reign, ibid., f. 92a. Two officials—Pétrós and Bishilé—appear in both land grants, and they held the same appointment in each.
of the old Bihtwädäd the former Aqabé-Sâ’at probably assumed the more dignified title Mäkbibä Bëtä-Kristiyan [=the Crown of the Church (lit.)], and was succeeded in his original office by Täklä-Iyäsus-Mo’a.¹

The new Queen Mother was a more formidable character in the political scene. Illêni was originally a Haday princess, married to King Zár’a-Ya’iqob as early as 1445, some years before Bâ’idä-Maryam was born.² When Zár’a-Ya’iqob died, Illêni retained her old title Qägn-Bâ’altéhat in the Court of his successor.³ Her position appears to have been purely ceremonial, and she was not numbered among the four wives of the new king.⁴ Bâ’idä-Maryam had lost his own mother before he took power, and it was this role that the talented Illêni was particularly intended to play:

And as for the Qägn-Bâ’altéhat, whose name was Illêni, the king loved her exceedingly, for she was accomplished in everything: in front of God, by practising righteousness and having strong faith, by praying and receiving the Holy Communion; as regards worldly matters she was accomplished in the preparation of food [for the royal table], in her familiarity with the books, in her knowledge of the law, and in her understanding of the affairs of state. For all these qualities, the king loved our Queen Illêni very much, and he considered her like his own mother.⁵


² Les Chroniques de Zar’a Ya’eqob, pp. 16, 59. No doubt the marriage was a political one and Illêni must have been very young. The king of Haday had obliged King Dawit by his loyal services against his co-religionist Sâ’adädin, ruler of Adal, Maqrizi, op. cit., p. 23. This and the increasing importance of the southern regions probably motivated the marriage. Although we have no other references to similar unions, it is probable that less notable princesses may have followed Illêni to the Christian Court. One of the complaints of the Hadya Muslims to Ahmad Gragn was that these marriages were forced on them, Futuh al-Habasha, tr. Basset, p. 371. Cf. also Alvarez, op. cit., p. 427.

³ Les Chroniques, p. 125.

⁴ Altogether, Bâ’idä-Maryam had four wives: Žan-Säyfa to whom he gave the title of Girä-Bâ’altéhat, a title reserved for the king’s most favoured queen, ibid., pp. 125, 174–5; Dawit-Èra, who bore the other title of Bâ’altd-Shihna reserved for the junior queen of the Right, ibid., p. 149; Romna, who gave him his sons Iskindir and Inqu-Isra’èl (= Na’od), ibid., pp. 155–6, 161; and Irésh-Gâzét who bore him his other son Tëwodros, ibid., p. 156.

⁵ Ibid., pp. 175–6. It is very clear from this that Illêni was not Bâ’idä-Maryam’s wife. But, in deference to his father Zár’a-Ya’iqob, and to her own apparently remarkable capacity, he let her retain the title of Qägn-Bâ’altéhat which she already held in Zár’a-Ya’iqob’s court, ibid., pp. 59, 125. According to the Vatican MS. described by Prof. C. Beckingham, Alvarez also confirms this point. He describes her as ‘Queen Illêni who had been the wife of the Prester John [who ruled] before the grandfather of the present Prester John’, Beckingham, C., ‘Notes
Illénī occupied this position of great influence throughout the reign of Bā'īdā-Maryam, and apparently gathered a huge political patronage in the whole kingdom. It is interesting that she was at first left out of the council of regents on the accession of Iskindir. She probably had a hand in the disgrace and exile of Iskindir’s mother, Romna, during the lifetime of Bā'īdā-Maryam. When Romna was recalled to assume the regency, there simply could not be any place for Illénī in the council. Most likely too, there was an old misunderstanding between her and Bihtwāddād Amdā-Mika’el, who ensured that she was excluded from all positions of authority. Certainly, Illénī’s sudden re-emergence as Queen Mother in Iskindir’s Court, soon after the disappearance of both Romna and Amdā-Mika’el, is very indicative of her decisive role in the political conflicts following the death of Bā'īdā-Maryam. Another sign of Illénī’s hostile attitude towards Amdā-Mika’el and his protégés is the systematic silence which the dominant traditions of Dābrā Lībanos maintain about her. As has already been said above, Amdā-Mika’el was a great patron of the monastery of Tākā-Haymanot. His relationship with the abbot of the day, Mārha-Kristos, was very affectionate, and the hagiographer once refers to the Bihtwāddād as ‘the (spiritual) son of Mārha-Kristos’. After his execution, Amdā-Mika’el was first buried at Dābrā Lībanos, and the opposition of the monastery to his unjust treatment at the royal Court is still preserved in the canonization which has been conferred upon him. Probably the same reason motivated the diplomatic silence the scribes of Dābrā-Lībanos observed about Illénī, even after her return to power in 1486. It is also significant that not long after Illénī’s death King Libnā-Dingil restored the memory of Amdā-Mika’el to a place of honour by transferring his remains from Dābrā Lībanos to the royal cemetery of Atronisā-Maryam in Amhara.


1 ‘Gādlā Mārha-Kristos’, p. 223.
2 This is said to have been done 40 years after his execution, Wright, op. cit., Or. 667, f. 60. This places the date at c. 1525. Illénī probably died in 1522, Alvarez, op. cit., p. 425 n. 1. The restoration of Amdā-Mika’el’s honour was probably part of the political changes following her death, of which Alvarez was a personal witness, ibid., p. 434.
Apart from its disastrous effects on frontier defence, which will be discussed later, the removal of the strong personal rule of Biht-wäddād Amdā-Mika'ēl resulted in an intensive political struggle at the royal Court, and in serious insubordination among the troops. Even the official chronicler could not avoid writing about the royal guards of the young king in the following terms: 'His soldiers destroyed the whole world. They afflicted the poor, and (the King) did not reprimand them.'¹ For quite a long period after their takeover, Illēnī and the new officials of the kingdom were not in complete control of the situation. There were even some religious undertones in the partisan political clashes of the time.

One of the earliest acts of Amdā-Mika'ēl as a regent was to implement the decision of Bā'īdā-Maryam at the council of 1477, in which he himself had played a significant role.² A delegation was sent with rich gifts to the patriarch and Sultan Qait-bay (1468–95), and they returned in 1480/1, bringing with them two bishops (Yishaq and Marqos), two ecclesiastics bearing the title of Épisqposos (Mika'ēl and Yohannis), and one Qomos (Yosēf).³ This was the first time for the country to have Egyptian bishops since the death of Mika'ēl and Gābrēl, twenty-three years before. The occasion produced a great sensation throughout the Christian provinces, and numerous candidates flocked towards the main road to Shāwā to be ordained by the bishops on their way to the king’s Court.⁴ The great relief which was then felt in the Christian kingdom by the arrival of the bishops is described by the chronicler: 'And in his [Iskindir’s] reign came bishops from Holy Jerusalem. The priests became many, the Churches were restored, and happiness filled all the land.'⁵ Some time later, probably in 1482, a Franciscan, Fr. Ioane de Calabria,

¹ 'Histoire d’Eskender', p. 342.
² See p. 247.
³ 'Gadla Marha-Kristos', pp. 251–2. Here the names of the leading delegates are given as Sæga-Za'ab and Gæbrâ-Birhan. Ibn Iyas also describes this delegation which was in Cairo in A.H. 886 (= A.D. 1481/2). It was led by a high-ranking dignitary and carried rich gifts to the sultan, Quatremère, Mémoires, ii (1811), pp. 279–83. For the date see Kolmodin, op. cit., A53–4. Conti Rossini, 'Pergamene di Dabra Damma', in RSO, xix (1940), pp. 50–1.
⁴ Yishaq was the senior bishop and we have some traditions of such ordinations by him on the way to Shāwā. The candidates were already advanced in years, and well known for their monastic pursuits, Conti Rossini, 'Besu’ Amlak e il convento della Trinità' in RRAL, ser. 5, vol. xi (1902), pp. 409–10; 'Gadla Gæbrâ-Mâših’, MS. 40, Inst. of Archaeology, Addis Ababa. The Stephanite leader was already 63 when he was ordained by Yishaq.
arrived in the country with a lay companion, Giovanni da Imola.\textsuperscript{1} They sought an audience with the king, but they were kept waiting for eight months without any success. Finally, Giovanni da Imola returned and reached Jerusalem in 1483. He reported that the regents of the young king were unfavourable to the mission and had denied them an interview with him. At this news the head of the Franciscans in Jerusalem is said to have sent another message to Ethiopia in 1484.\textsuperscript{2} It is not known if this message ever reached there. But an interesting document seems to indicate that there was a change of heart towards Fr. Ioane de Calabria at the court of King Iskindir: ‘And in those days there came Franks from Rome. One of them was a priest called Yohannis. And [the king] received them with honour. When the priests saw this they grumbled and spoke ill of him saying “The king has joined the religion of the Franks.”’\textsuperscript{3} The similarity of the name of the foreign priest, Yohannis, and that of Fr. Ioane de Calabria is particularly significant. As to the Franks referred to, both Giovanni da Imola\textsuperscript{4} and Alvarez\textsuperscript{5} report the presence of many Europeans in the country at the time. No definite conclusions can as yet be drawn from this, but it may be that after having stayed in the country for some years Fr. Ioane may have gained sympathy from one of the Court officials. This may have enabled him to see the young king, which could no doubt have produced an outcry among the local clergy. Alvarez was told by Bishop Marqos that Iskindir had tried to change the traditional practice of the Ethiopians, particularly regarding the Sabbath and prohibitions of food.\textsuperscript{6} All these isolated notes tend to show that there was a religious aspect to the political strife in the days of Iskindir. They may also explain the hagiographical traditions of hostile relations between the king and some of the local clergy: ‘There came an order from Iskindir, king


\textsuperscript{2} Suriano, op. cit., pp. 81–3.

\textsuperscript{3} ‘Tarikā-Nägāst’, MS. Dābrā Sigé, p. 56.

\textsuperscript{4} Suriano, op. cit., p. 86.


\textsuperscript{6} Op. cit., p. 357.
of Ethiopia, asking all the priests of the Tabernacle of Wala (?) to be assembled [at the Court]... and the king became angry on a trivial point and he had them flogged. Iskindir was still young, and all these official acts were certainly looked at as the work of his most influential courtiers. This only aggravated the rivalries and internal divisions among the officials of the royal Court.

The antagonistic feelings in the kingdom simmering under the surface suddenly erupted at the unexpected death of Iskindir, at the early age of twenty-two. The chronicler1 singles out two officials—Zäsillus and Täklä-Kristos—as the leading figures in the conflicting groupings that emerged at the time. Zäsillus was at the royal Court when the king died. As soon as he realized this, he commanded his followers to keep the king's body at the Court for as long as possible, and he swiftly marched towards Mount Gishān in Amhara. There, he apparently took one of the princes—probably Iskindir's younger brother, Na'od—and declared him king of Ethiopia. Back at the royal Court, in the meantime, Zäsillus's secret disappearance and his orders regarding the remains of Iskindir had been discovered. Täklä-Kristos who was apparently the most powerful official at Court at the time immediately crowned as the next king Iskindir's little son, Amdā-Şiyon, a boy of only seven years of age or even younger.2 Having done this he sent Iskindir's body to Atronisā-Maryam3 for burial, and he marched with the king's army to fight Zäsillus and his partisans. Zäsillus probably had only a small force at his disposal, and Täklä-Kristos triumphantly marched back to the royal Court, where all the followers of Zäsillus were made prisoners and blinded.

This did not end the civil war in the country, which apparently continued throughout the short reign of the infant king. According to a contemporary observer,

Amdā-Şiyon, Iskindir's son, was crowned, but he was an infant. For this

1 'Gādlā Ḣyasū', MS. Dābrā Libanos, f. 4.
3 That he was seven is given in Basset, Études, p. 13. Conti Rossini, 'Il libro delle leggende e tradizioni dell'Etiopia', p. 711. This would mean that he was born when his father, Iskindir, was only 15!

4 Many of the late compilations of the chronicles place the tomb of Iskindir at Dābrā Warq, in eastern Gojam, where the visitor is still shown what is believed to be the king's mausoleum. If there is any truth in this, the body must have been transferred there only later—from Atronisā-Maryam just across the Nile, probably during the Gragn wars. A similar problem arises in the case of Dawit, whose remains are said to be at Daga with Zār'a-Yā'iqob's, Basset, op. cit., pp. 11–13. Zār'a-Yā'iqob's body was transferred there in 1498, 'Histoire d'Eskender', p. 350.
reason . . all the troops of the king killed one another, and they had no one to stop them. There was mourning [for the dead] in every province. The holy objects of the church were sacked. The officials of [the kingdom of] Ethiopia destroyed one another like the fish of the river, and they became like beasts with no shelter.\footnote{Gādālā Mārha-Kristos', p. 285.}

Amdā-Šiyon’s reign lasted for only six months, and even the hagiographer betrays a sense of great relief at the announcement of his death.\footnote{In six months the sacrifice of the Holy Qurban ascended into heaven, and King Amdā-Šiyon died', ibid., p. 286.} But the early part of the next reign did not bring any immediate comfort. For Na’od, who now succeeded to the throne, was the same prince who was brought forward by Zāsillus as his candidate, only six months before. The prince was the second son of Bā’idā-Maryam and Queen Romna, only two years younger than Iskindir.\footnote{Romna is said to have had two sons: Iskindir and Inqo-Isra’ēl, Les Chroniques de Zar’a-Ya’eqob et de Ša‘ēda Maryam, pp. 155–6, 161. The second name was probably an early appellation for Na’od, who was certainly her son, ‘Gādālā Mārha-Kristos’, p. 256. Cf. also Cerulli, Il libro etiopico dei miracoli di Marta, p. 24.} It seems that, right from the beginning, the struggle for Iskindir’s succession had also assumed some regional undertones. Zāsillus had declared Na’od king of Ethiopia, in Amhara, and Tāklā-Kristos had to march from Shāwa (where the Court was established) to suppress the movement. An exactly similar pattern is evident during Na’od’s successful accession to the throne six months later. He was crowned in October, 1494, in Amhara. When the news reached them, many of the officials, who had opposed his candidature in favour of Amdā-Šiyon, apparently fled into the country and prepared themselves for armed conflicts. One of them called by the chronicler Tākka-Kristos (which is probably a misprint for Tāklā-Kristos) remained in the district of Ifat, and openly rebelled against the new king.\footnote{‘Histoire d’Eskender’, pp. 348–9.} To suppress these movements in the region as early as possible, Na’od marched to Shāwa for Christmas, and in January, 1495, he made a formal visit to the monastery of Dābrā Libanos.\footnote{The impressive story of this visit is told in ‘Gādālā Mārha-Kristos’, pp. 289–94.} 

Besides calling at his mother’s tomb and paying homage to Tāklā-Haymanot, Na’od’s visit to the monastery had a strong political motivation. This is quite evident from the tradition about the speech he is said to have made in the midst of the assembled monks: ‘Pray in earnest so that Ethiopia is not made waste. You know [what
happened in] the last reign, and how the kingdom was ruined. [And now pray] so that the sheep that have gone astray may return under one shepherd.' The king’s message was very clear. He was requesting the help of the clergy to influence as many of the rebels in the Shāwan region to accept him as the legitimate king. With the complete support of the clergy on his side, Na’od began military operations against his opponents in the area. The rebel leader in Ḥfat, Tākka-Kristos, was captured and blinded. Many others were similarly arrested and speared to death. It is apparent that Na’od put an end to the revolts against him soon afterwards. The king seems to have been anxious to restore normal conditions in the kingdom, and to bring to a close the atmosphere of discord that had reigned in the country, particularly after the death of Iskindir. But public recrimination and litigation about wrongs committed during the confused period probably continued to be made among the people, and Na’od had to pass a special decree forbidding them. Only land disputes, arising from the conflicts during the civil war, were permitted.

The weakness of the frontier defences

Na’od’s reign promised more calm and tranquillity within the Christian kingdom itself. But serious damage had been done to the frontier defences, particularly in the direction of Fāṭāgar, where the united command of the local troops was disrupted with the downfall of Bihtwāddād Ṭamā-Mika’el. The last decisive battle against the Muslim kingdom of Adal was fought in 1445, when Zār’a-Ya’iqob defeated and killed Ahmad Badlay on the Dāwaro frontiers. The military success of the kingdom at that time was apparently effective for many years, and we find the son and successor of Ahmad Badlay, Muhammad (1445–71), sending a message of submission, as soon as Bā’idā-Maryam succeeded his father. On the death of Muhammad, however, Adal apparently resumed hostile activities, and Bā’idā-Maryam had to drop his intended visit to Aksum to hurry back to the frontiers in the south.

6 See pp. 262–3.
8 Les Chroniques, p. 150. Cerulli, op. cit., p. 48 n. 5.
FIFTY YEARS OF DECLINE

It is apparent that at this stage of the conflict it was particularly in the provinces of Dàwaro and Bâlí that the Muslim pressure was increasing. Revolts among the local troops stationed in Bali are reported, and the king suppressed them by resettling the rebels en masse in Gojjam. Following this, Bâ’idâ-Maryam directed successive campaigns to Adal from Dàwaro and Bali. The first two of these campaigns were a complete success. The third and the last, conducted in 1474, was however a great setback to the Christian army, which lost both its highest commanding officers and was practically decimated.

It is interesting to note that all this time Bâ’idâ-Maryam directed his offensives against Adal with his Court established in the Wâj and Gurage area, south of the Awash. He seems to have had no fears about the Fâtâgar frontiers. There, Amdâ-Mîka’él had continued in the office, first given to him by Zâr’a-Ya’iqob, as governor of the province. It seems that, over the years, he had established a great reputation as a general, and he had set up a strong united command for the defence of the kingdom in this direction. His downfall, in about 1485, seriously disturbed this effective system of defence. It is apparent that, with the removal of the old man, the province was divided into smaller units and distributed among petty officials who may have co-operated in bringing about his downfall. Even the chronicler of Ahmad Gragn refers to this basic change in the defence of the province: ‘In the reign of the grandfather of the king of Ethiopia there was only one governor in Fâtâgar who was the chief commander [of the Christian forces there].’ He goes on to say that in Libnâ-Dingil’s time, however, the province of Fâtâgar was divided into seven different commands.

Iskindir’s first campaign into Adal had a mixed result. At first he successfully marched as far east as Dakar, but suffered serious military reverses on the long way back, when he lost most of his army. It is specially significant and highly indicative of the great

---

2 Ibid., pp. 159, 165–7.  
4 Ibid., p. 15.  
5 *Futuh al-Habasha*, tr. Basset, p. 83. The Muslim chronicler considered this, however, as a point of strength, since each unit tried to excel the other in military actions.  
6 For this site see Cerulli, op. cit., pp. 42 n. 1, 50 n. 1. It was to the south-east of Harâr.  
harm done to the Christian army by the internal political conflicts that after this major defeat, Iskindir could not send even a single successful expedition to Adal. The civil war during the succession of Amdā-Šiyon II and Na’od considerably aggravated the weakness of the army, and the political discord among the Christian officials. Compared with the records of his two predecessors, Na’od’s achievements in the defence of his kingdom were certainly much better, and even Gragn’s Muslim chronicler attributes to him many successes in Balī and Dāwaro. However, defections from the Christian army stationed on the frontiers were rife, and the Muslim attacks, particularly on the frontier districts of Ḥafat and Fāṭāgar, were considerably increased. Na’od himself lost his life while on his way to repulse a Muslim invasion in the district of Ḥafat. The first years of Libnā-Dingil’s reign were also marked by continuous Muslim military successes in the eastern frontiers. Even the official chroniclers do not report any Christian victory until Libnā-Dingil had been on the throne for eight years.

1 He died during an expedition to an unknown place, ‘Gädlä Märha-Kristos’, pp. 281–4. His chronicler attributes his death to a pastoral people called ‘the people of the Ar’iho’, against whom he had marched to revenge an official, ‘Histoire d’Eskender’, p. 343. Later compilations have identified these people with the Maya, Basset, Études, p. 12.


4 Ibid., p. 58.

CHAPTER IX

Epilogue

Half a century of political strife among the warlords of the Christian kingdom provided the immediate, favourable background for the swift Muslim conquest under the remarkable leadership of Imam Ahmad ibn Idris or Gragn. But the more basic reasons for the sudden disintegration of the Christian empire transcended the events of those fifty years with which we were concerned in the last chapter.

Despite the extensive conquests in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries\(^1\) and the resultant expansion of the Church,\(^2\) the Christian empire was still very heterogeneous on the eve of the disastrous conflict with Ahmad Gragn. A large number of diverse linguistic, ethnic, and religious communities had been brought under the control of the Christian kings. But the process of cultural assimilation and political integration had not been completed. Of the territories conquered and annexed since the days of Amdä-Siyon, only Shäwa north of the Awash, eastern Gojjam, Dämbya, and Wägära had been intensively Christianized and Semitized. The remaining vast dependencies to the west, south, and south-east of the river Awash were only minimally affected by the presence of the Church. It appears that most of the areas south of the Awash—places like Hadya and the other smaller Sidama principalities—were controlled by the central royal Court only through an old system of indirect rule. The Christian kings still appointed for these places members of the old hereditary families who ensured the regular payment of the annual tributes and the continued loyalties of their people to the Christian empire. The same policy was pursued towards the Fälasha of Simén, and the pagan Agäw south of Lake Tana. All these peripheral people consisted of small segmentary societies and they could hardly pose any serious challenge to the continued Christian domination. As long as the Christian kingdom was militarily superior and could maintain its garrisons in the outlying territories, these weak tribal communities continued to pay forced allegiance to their Christian overlords. But when Imam Ahmad Gragn overran the Christian empire, these

\(^1\) See Chapter IV. \(^2\) See Chapter V.
subject people spontaneously rebelled and thus rendered invaluable services to the Imam from within the Christian frontiers. The Fālasha easily submitted to him, and they became his best guides during his campaigns between the river Tākāzē and Lake Ṭana. The pagan

Agäw looted and destroyed Christian villages adjoining their tribal areas. The people of Hadya and Gänz actively supported the Muslim conquerors and established excellent terms of friendship with the Imam and his army. But it was in the eastern frontier provinces of Ifat, Däwaro, and Bal that Ahmad Gragn scored his earliest and most decisive victories.

We have already seen that these territories were conquered from a series of Muslim rulers in the fourteenth century. At first, the Christian kings were content to entrust the local administration of these areas to the more loyal members of the Muslim ruling families. There was apparently constant rivalry among the local Muslim princes, and this made the task of their Christian conquerors tremendously easier. When a Wälasma prince was disgraced, for example, many members of his own family were always at hand to replace him as governor of Ifat. It appears from Al-‘Umari’s statements that similar conditions also obtained in all the conquered Muslim territories. These internal feuds weakened the Muslim position and greatly facilitated the military successes of King Amdä-Şiyon and his successor Säyfa-Ar‘ad.

In the last quarter of the fourteenth century, however, a very militant branch of the ruling Wälasma family of Ifat moved its headquarters to somewhere near the present location of Harär, and established the kingdom of Adal. The new Muslim state took the place of the large number of small disunited sheikdoms against whom Amdä-Şiyon led his famous campaigns of 1332. The Christian–Muslim struggle was then resumed with renewed vigour, and the new kingdom of Adal provided a strong leadership against the expanding Christian empire. However, both Christian and Muslim sources indicate that the Christian army preserved its supremacy until the last years of the fifteenth century.

Yet, the very existence of a viable Muslim state beyond its eastern borders considerably limited the chances of the Christian empire to

---

1 This is confirmed by an interesting reference in the hagiographical traditions about a local saint in eastern Gojjam. Abba Täklä-'Alfa, the abbot of Däbä Dima during the wars of Ahmad Gragn, once took refuge in a district, apparently in central Gojjam. The Christian families there told him that 'the Agäw people had surrounded them, and confiscated all their belongings...'. There were also many of [the Christians] whom the Agäw killed...'. The incident had taken place shortly before the saint’s arrival at the locality, and ‘he praised God who saved him from the face of the Muslims and the Agäw’, *Gli atti di Tekle 'Alfa*, ed. and tr. Cerulli, E., p. 37.


3 See Chapter IV.


5 *Masalik*, p. 19.

integrate the frontier provinces of Ḫafat, Dāwaro, and Bali. Despite their annexation in the fourteenth century, the inhabitants of these provinces were still predominantly Muslim on the eve of the wars of Ahmad Gragn. The Christian kings recognized the risk that Adal would undermine their position in this region; and unlike most other non-Christian provinces, Ḫafat, Dāwaro, and Bali were placed under the direct rule of the Court. Governors for these areas were carefully recruited from among the most loyal warriors, and they were often closely related to the royal family. Strong military colonies were established at selected places in these provinces, and they were under an almost constant state of emergency. But this military occupation could not dispel the divided loyalties of the Muslim majority. The kings of Adal were in regular contact with these frontier areas and they always encouraged the spirit of independence of the Muslim inhabitants. Sometimes, this subversive propaganda from Adal affected even the Christian military colonies stationed along the frontiers. There were many cases of defection to Adal, and, sometimes, whole units of the Christian frontier troops deserted en masse.¹ The Christian kings deeply suspected their Muslim subjects in this region, particularly during active military conflicts with Adal.² Thus, the eastern frontier provinces were never fully integrated, and only the military prowess of the Christian empire continued to give a façade of tranquillity to the whole region. These adverse feelings between the Christian kings and the majority of their Muslim subjects continued until Ahmad Gragn led his forces into the Ethiopian highlands.

The brilliant successes of Imam Ahmad ibn Ibrahim against Christian Ethiopia must be seen within the context of the sixteenth-century history of the Near and the Middle East. The Ottoman conquest of the Arab countries also had a great impact on the whole of the Red Sea area. There was a definite revival of Islam in these regions under the protective umbrella of imperial Istanbul. New techniques of warfare and fire-arms trickled through the peripheral districts of the Ottoman empire, and reached the Red Sea and the hinterland of the Gulf of Aden including the Horn of Africa. This tremendously increased the bellicose attitude of the Muslim communities in Adal

towards Christian Ethiopia. Joined by many Turkish and Arab adventurers from the south Arabian peninsula, these communities poured into the frontier provinces of the medieval Christian empire. This process of Muslim resurgence was particularly enhanced by the internal conflict and decline within Christian Ethiopia in the last quarter of the fifteenth century. Thus, the balance of power between the Church and Islam had definitely changed in favour of the latter. It was under these circumstances that Ahmad Gragn led his tribal forces from the semi-desert areas of eastern Ethiopia, and completely overwhelmed the Christian empire.

The Muslim occupation of the Christian highlands under Ahmad Gragn lasted for a little more than ten years, between 1531 and 1543. But the amount of destruction brought about in these years can only be estimated in terms of centuries. Ahmad Gragn and his followers were dazzled at the extent of the riches of the Church, and at the splendour of Ethiopian Christian culture at the time. And, as the most important repository of the cultural heritage of Christian Ethiopia, the Church was a special target for the destructive furies of the Imam. His chronicler outlines in the Futuh al Habasha a large number of cases in which beautiful churches were pulled down, their riches plundered, the holy books burnt to ashes, and the clergy massacred. In this way, the rich material and spiritual culture attained by medieval Ethiopia was almost completely destroyed in not more than a decade. Today, only a short glimpse at the splendour of cultural life in medieval Ethiopia can be had in the remote libraries of some island and mainland monasteries, and in the fast growing collection of the Institute of Ethiopian Studies in Addis Ababa.

Christian Ethiopia was never the same again after the wars of Ahmad Gragn. Indeed, many of the difficulties which befell the Christian kingdom in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries can be attributed, directly or indirectly, to the disastrous effects of the Muslim wars. The Galla expansion could never be contained in the sixteenth century largely because Ahmad Gragn’s forces had effectively disrupted the system of frontier defence of the medieval Christian empire. The Christian kingdom suffered from a long period of dynastic conflicts and political instability—particularly between 1559 and 1607—mainly because the Royal Prison of Amba Gishân had been destroyed by Ahmad Gragn, and many of the contending princes had been left at large. Even the religious conflict with the Jesuit mission was a by-product of the Muslim wars, since direct
European involvement in Ethiopia only started after the Portuguese military assistance in 1541.

Yet, the best key for the swift victories of Imam Ahmad ibn Ibrahim seems to be the heterogeneous character of the medieval Christian empire of Ethiopia. Among the rulers of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, King Zär’ā-Ya’iqob stands out as the only monarch who made a serious attempt to grapple with the overriding problem of creating a nation out of the manifold communities which constituted his extensive empire. In doing this, however, he sought to superimpose a religious nationalism on his subjects, and his efforts ended in a substantial failure. And when, in the reign of his great grandson, Imam Ahmad Gragn broke into the frontier provinces, the Christian nation could outlast the trying years of the Muslim invasion only where a very long history of ethnic and religious fusion had been effected, namely, in Tigré, Lasta, Amhara, Bāgémdir, eastern Gojjam, and in small isolated pockets in Shāwa.

Ethiopian history has a particular tendency to repeat itself. The military exploits of King Amdā-Ṣiyon strongly remind one of the great achievements of the Aksumite kings Ezana and Kaléb on whom he seems to have modelled himself. More recently, in the nineteenth century, the extensive conquests and expansion of Amdā-Ṣiyon were dramatically re-enacted by Emperor Minilik who, just like his fourteenth-century predecessor, had the Shāwan plateau as the centre of his military activities. It remains to be seen, however, whether Minilik and his successors have been more successful than their medieval counterparts in the essential task of building an Ethiopian nation.
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